**COMMUNICATION MESSAGE FORM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>CLASSIFICATION</th>
<th>PRECEDENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APRIL 1, 1983</td>
<td>UNCLAS</td>
<td>PRIORITY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*F1338PP CEIDE HQ 10133 *H04UUP 012222Z APR 9*83

**START HERE**

FM DIRECTOR {44-81521}

TO FBI CHARLOTTE {44A-3527} PRIORITY

BT

UUNCLAS

GREENKIL CIVIL RIGHTS, 00: CHARLOTTE.

BUREAU AUTHORITY HAS BEEN GRANTED TO PERMIT REVIEW OF EDWARD DAWSON INFORMANT FILE BY DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY

CHARLOTTE REVIEW FILE PRIOR TO DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW TO DETERMINE WHETHER THERE IS ANY INFORMATION IN THE FILE ABOUT WHICH THERE WOULD BE SUCH STRONG PRIVACY CONCERNS RELATING TO THIRD PARTIES THAT EXCISION OF SUCH MATERIAL SHOULD BE DISCUSSED WITH THE DEPARTMENT BEFORE ITS REVIEW.

BT

DO NOT TYPE MESSAGE BELOW THIS LINE

**ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED**

DO NOT TYPE MESSAGE BELOW THIS LINE

APPROVED BY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRAFTED BY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>ROOM</th>
<th>TELE EXT.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:14-ERH</td>
<td>4/1/83</td>
<td>5131</td>
<td>4272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RETURN TO MR. [ ] ROOM 5131

1 - MR. [ ]

1 - MR. [ ]

44-81521 - 447

APR 6 1983

DO NOT FILE WITHOUT COMMUNICATIONS STAMP
NOTE: BUREAU AUTHORITY TO RELEASE FILE TO DOJ ATTORNEY WAS GRANTED BY ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OLIVER B. REVELL WHO HAS DISCUSSED THIS MATTER WITH ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ON APRIL 15, 1983.
To: Director, FBI  
(Attn: Photographic Operations Unit, Rm. 1B903)  
From: Charlotte (44A-3527) (P)  
Subject: GREENKIL  
OO: Charlotte

1. ENCLOSED PHOTOGRAPHIC WORK RELATES TO:  
☐ Current Investigation  ☐ Mug Shot Program  ☐ Other

2. ENCLOSURE(S)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Film To Be Processed</th>
<th>Movie Film or Microfilm</th>
<th>Negatives To Be Printed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Color</td>
<td>B&amp;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 X 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. WORK REQUESTED

☐ Process only
☐ Process & make print
☐ Process & make contact print
☐ Slides to be processed
☐ Slides to be duplicated
☐

4. REMARKS
(include trial date or other mandatory deadline and any other specific instructions)

Photographs should be forwarded to Supra Room 5131, JEH

- Bureau (44A-81521) (Enc's 44-81521)
- Charlotte  
GAM: gam

FBIHQ Enclosure
GENERAL

ALL photographic work must be submitted under the substantive case caption when it relates to an investigative matter. Include FBIHQ file number when available. All other photographic processing forms (including FD-482) are obsolete and should not be used. The FD-523 is to be utilized for all photographic processing work forwarded to FBIHQ except employee identification and/or credential cards. Requests for official FBI identification and credential cards must be submitted on form FD-464, Attention: Property Procurement and Management Section.

The FD-523 may be filled out in legible hand printing. The following information is set forth to assist in properly filling out this form. Remove third sheet only (yellow field copy) and submit the remaining five pages.

1. ENCLOSED PHOTOGRAPHIC WORK RELATES TO:
   All photographic work submitted should be checked as Current Investigation, Mug Shot Program, or OTHER in appropriate block. If "OTHER" is checked a short description of what it is should be stated under item #4 (Remarks).

2. ENCLOSURE(S):
   - Film To Be Processed - Check appropriate column for Color, Black and White film, alongside the size of the film. Also, indicate the number of sheets or rolls or cassettes in the quantity column. Slides refers to Ektachrome ONLY, DO NOT SEND KODACHROME.
   - Movie Film Or Microfilm - Check appropriate block for size and quantity. DO NOT SEND COLOR MOVIE FILM.
   - Negatives To Be Printed - Check appropriate block for size; Color or Black and White and quantity submitted. If 135mm negatives are to be printed, indicate by frame number, do not cut up into individuals frames. The same applies to any roll film.
   - Other - Enclosures to be copied e.g., photographs, documents, objects, etc.

3. WORK REQUESTED:
   - Processing - Only means to develop the film and NO COPIES or PRINTS e.g., microfilm.
   - Process And Print - Means to develop film and make one or more prints.
   - Process And Make Contact Strip - Means to develop film and make prints same size as negatives.
   - Slides To Be Processed - Means Ektachrome film to be developed and slides mounted.
   - Slides To Be Duplicated - Means process slide film and make one or more duplicates of each slide.
   - Prints To Be Made - From 135, 126 and 110 film, check size 3¼ X 5 or 5 X 7. These are the only size prints available from our machine printers. Check Color or Black and White.
   - From 4 X 10 and 4 X 5 Film - Check size 4 X 5 or 8 X 10 and indicate Color or Black and White. These are the only size prints available from our machine printers.
   - Cibachrome Prints - Prints made directly from slides. Machine prints can be made in sizes 3¼ X 5, 5 X 7 and 8 X 10.
   - Custom Prints - From any size negative or slide; indicate quantity and size of prints either Color or Black and White. Custom prints are available in various sizes but require extensive special handling. This type of printing is done on special request and proper justification must be furnished under item #4 (Remarks).

4. REMARKS:
   - Justification for custom prints. Any special instruction or short description of "other" photographic work in item #1 of this form.
Airtel

Director, FBI (44-81521)

SAC, Charlotte (44A-3527)

GREENKIL
CIVIL RIGHTS
OO: CHARLOTTE

Re FBIHQ airtel to Charlotte 3/18/83.

Enclosed are two copies of a self-explanatory Departmental letter dated 4/11/83, along with its enclosures.

Complete requested transcription and su airtel to FBIHQ for dissemination to the Department.

Enclosures (12)
DOCUMENT(S) CANNOT BE SCANNED

DESCRIPTIONS:

PHOTO NEGATIVES
INSTRUCTIONS - REVERSE SIDE

FBIING USE ONLY

Received
Developed
Enlarged
Printed

Slides
Copied
Other

Date: 3/18/83

To: Director, FBI
At: Photographic Operations Unit, Rm. 1B903
From: [Data on form]
Subject: GREENKILL CR
(00: Charlotte)
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

1. ENCLOSED PHOTOGRAPHIC WORK RELATES TO:
   [ ] Current Investigation [ ] Mug Shot Program [ ] Other

2. ENCLOSURE(S)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>B&amp;W</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4X5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>B&amp;W</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8(super)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 mm</td>
<td>AHU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>B&amp;W</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8X10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4X5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. WORK REQUESTED
   Processing
   [ ] Process only
   [ ] Process & make print
   [ ] Process & make contact print
   [ ] Slides to be processed
   [ ] Slides to be duplicated
   [ ] Other

   Prints To Be Made
   (From 135, 126, and 110)
   [ ] 3½ X 5.
   [ ] 5 X 7
   [ ] # prints from each frame
   [ ] Color
   [ ] B&W
   (From 120 and 4X5)
   [ ] 4X5
   [ ] 8X10
   [ ] # prints from each frame
   [ ] Color
   [ ] B&W

   Negatives To Be Printed
   [ ] Cibachrome
   (Prints from slides)
   [ ] 3½ X 5
   [ ] 5 X 7
   [ ] 8X10
   [ ] 4X5
   [ ] 8X10
   [ ] # prints from each frame
   [ ] Color
   [ ] B&W

   Custom Prints
   (From any size negative or slide)
   [ ] Color
   [ ] B&W
   [ ] Size
   [ ] Quantity
   [ ] Other

4. REMARKS
   (include trial date or other mandatory deadline and any other specific instructions)
   Photographs should be forwarded to Supervisor Room 5131, JEH, and not returned to Charlotte.
   Phone call to Charlotte 3-30-83 to Ad: Photo Check & Condition of film. Telephone: NOT RECORDED

Enclosure

003387
INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL

ALL photographic work must be submitted under the substantive case caption when it relates to an investigative matter. Include FBIHQ file number when available. All other photographic processing forms (including FD-482) are obsolete and should not be used. The FD-523 is to be utilized for all photographic processing work forwarded to FBIHQ except employee identification and/or credential cards. Requests for official FBI identification and credential cards must be submitted on form FD-464, Attention: Property Procurement and Management Section.

The FD-523 may be filled out in legible hand printing. The following information is set forth to assist in properly filling out this form. Remove third sheet only (yellow field copy) and submit the remaining five pages.

1. ENCLOSED PHOTOGRAPHIC WORK RELATES TO:
   All photographic work submitted should be checked as Current Investigation, Mug Shot Program, or OTHER in appropriate block. If "OTHER" is checked a short description of what it is should be stated under item #4 (Remarks).

2. ENCLOSURE(S):
   - Film To Be Processed - Check appropriate column for Color, Black and White film, alongside the size of the film. Also, indicate the number of sheets or rolls or cassettes in the quantity column. Slides refers to EXTACHROME ONLY, DO NOT SEND KODACHROME.
   - Movie Film Or Microfilm - Check appropriate block for size and quantity. DO NOT SEND COLOR MOVIE FILM.
   - Negatives To Be Printed - Check appropriate block for size; Color or Black and White and quantity submitted. If 135mm negatives are to be printed, indicate by frame number, do not cut up into individuals frames. The same applies to any roll film.
   - Other - Enclosures to be copied e.g. photographs, documents, objects, etc.

3. WORK REQUESTED:
   - Processing - Only means to develop the film and NO COPIES or PRINTS e.g. microfilm.
   - Process And Print - Means to develop film and make one or more prints.
   - Process And Make Contact Strip - Means to develop film and make prints same size as negatives.
   - Slides To Be Processed - Means Ektachrome film to be developed and slides mounted.
   - Slides To Be Duplicated - Means process slide film and make one or more duplicates of each slide.
   - Prints To Be Made - From 135, 126 and 110 film, check size 3½ X 5 or 5 X 7. These are the only size prints available from our machine printers. Check Color or Black and White.
   - From 120 and 4 X 5 Film - Check size 4 X 5 or 8 X 10 and indicate Color or Black and White. These are the only size prints available from our machine printers.
   - Cibachrome Prints - Prints made directly from slides. Machine prints can be made in sizes 3½ X 5, 5 X 7 and 8 X 10.
   - Custom Prints - From any size negative or slide; indicate quantity and size of prints either Color or Black and White.

Custom prints are available in various sizes but require extensive special handling. This type of printing is done on request and proper justification must be furnished under item #4 (Remarks).

4. REMARKS:
   Justification for custom prints. Any special instruction or short description of "other" photographic work in item #1 of this form.
TO DIRECTOR (SUBJECT), ROUTINE ATTENTION CIVIL RIGHTS SECTION,
SUPERVISOR [Blanks], ORGANIZED CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE UNIT,
CHIEF [Blanks], BT

UNCLASSIFIED

REFERENCE CHARLOTTE TELECALL FBI HQ TO SUPERVISOR AND UNIT CHIEF [Blanks] ON MARCH 9, 1983.

BY MEMORANDUM DATED MARCH 8, 1983, ATTORNEYS U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, CIVIL RIGHTS, CRIMINAL SECTION, REQUESTED AUTHORITY FROM FBI HQ TO REVIEW CHARLOTTE FILE [Blank], REFERENCED CAPTIONED INFORMANT [Blank].

CAPTIONED INFORMANT WAS IDENTIFIED AS BEING [Blank], IN GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA, WHERE THEY CONFRONTED A GROUP OF [Redacted].

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED.
WORKER'S VIEWPOINT ORGANIZATION (CWP) SYMPATHIZERS AND A RIOT ERUPTED. FIVE COMMUNIST LEADERS WERE LEFT DEAD AND NINE OTHERS WERE WOUNDED.

SPECIAL GRAND JURY INQUIRY HAS BEEN CONDUCTED SINCE _______ AND IS EXPECTED TO CONCLUDE IN _______.


INITIAL UNDERSTANDING OF USDJ ATTORNEYS WAS THAT THEY WOULD HAVE ACCESS TO THE ENTIRE FILE TO REVIEW; HOWEVER, UPON THE OBJECTION OF UNIT CHIEF _______, FBIHQ, ATTORNEYS CONCURRED
THAT THEY DID NOT NEED TO REVIEW THE SUBSTANCE OF INFORMANT FILE AS TO ANY INFORMATION WHICH MAY HAVE BEEN RELATED PRIOR TO THE CLOSING OF THE INFORMANT IN SEPTEMBER, 1976, AND THAT THEIR IMMEDIATE INTEREST WAS ONLY IN DOCUMENTING THAT INFORMANT WAS IN FACT CLOSED BY THE FBI AND THERE WAS NO CONTACT WITH INFORMANT PRIOR TO INCIDENTS OF NOVEMBER 3, 1979.

THE ABOVE INFORMATION WAS FURNISHED TO UNIT CHIEF SA WHO AUTHORIZED THE LIMITED REVIEW OF INFORMANT FILE FOR IN ORDER TO DOCUMENT THAT SOURCE WAS PROPERLY CLOSED ACCORDING TO FBI GUIDELINES IN 1976, AND THAT THERE WAS NO FURTHER USE OF INFORMANT UP TO AND INCLUDING THE EVENTS OF NOVEMBER 3, 1979.

CHARLOTTE REGARDING SOURCE'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE EVENTS OF NOVEMBER 3, 1979, AND FBIHQ'S AUTHORITY TO DISCLOSE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE PAYMENT OF INFORMANT DURING PERIOD OF HIS SERVICE.

NO OTHER PORTIONS OF THIS FILE WERE REVIEWED, AND NO SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION REGARDING INFORMANT CONTACTS AND INFORMATION FURNISHED WAS REVIEWED BY DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEYS.

A PRESENT, U.S. DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY [blank], ASSISTANT CHIEF, CRIMINAL DIVISION, CIVIL RIGHTS SECTION, USDJ, ADVISED HE BELIEVES THIS TO BE SATISFACTORY AND HAS NO FURTHER DESIRE FOR ANY FURTHER INFORMATION FROM INFORMANT FILE.
AIRTEL

4/13/83

Director, FBI (44-81521)

SAC, Charlotte (44A-3527)

GREENKIL
CIVIL RIGHTS
OO: CHARLOTTE

Re Charlotte airtel (FD-523) to FBIHQ, 4/11/83.

Enclosed are four rolls of photographic negatives and two copies of each photograph.

Enclosures (6)
FEDERAL GRAND JURY, MDNC, SITTING IN WINSTON-SALEM, N. C.,
RETURNED INDICTMENTS THIS DATE ON THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS
IN CONNECTION WITH THE NOVEMBER 3, 1979, SHOOTOUT BETWEEN THE
KU KLUX KLAN AND NAZI PARTY AGAINST THE COMMUNIST WORKERS PARTY,
AKA WORKERS VIEWPOINT ORGANIZATION: EDWARD W.
DAWSON,
ROLAND WAYNE WOOD, ROY CLINTON TONEY,
RAEFORD MILANO CAUDLE.

DETAILS OF CHARGES ARE BEING MADE PUBLIC BY PRESS RELEASE
FROM U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AFTER ARRESTS ARE EFFECTED.

ABOVE INDIVIDUALS ARE ALL CURRENT RESIDENTS OF N. C. AND
ARRESTS WILL BE HANDLED BY THE CHARLOTTE DIVISION WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ROY CLINTON TONEY.

TONEY IS A FORMER RESIDENT OF LINCOLN COUNTY, N. C. AND GASTON COUNTY, N. C., BUT HAS RECENTLY MOVED TO SOUTH CAROLINA.

ON APRIL 21, 1983, N. C. (PROTECT IDENTITY), ADVISED THAT TONEY RECENTLY MOVED FROM A TRAILER PARK IN BELTON, S. C. TO A HOUSE IN THE BELTON BELIEVED THIS HOUSE WAS LOCATED IN AN AREA CALLED "HORSE PASTURE" OR A NAME SIMILAR TO "HORSE PASTURE". TONEY PROVIDED THE USDJ THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS WHEN HE RECENTLY APPEARED BEFORE A FEDERAL GRAND JURY: "CARE OF..."

FEDERAL GRAND JURY INDICTED TONEY FOR VIOLATION T. 18, USC, SECT. 371 - CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT; SECTION 245(B)(2)(B) AND 245(B)(4)(A) AND ONE SUBSTANTIVE COUNT OF VIOLATION OF T. 18, USC, SECT.B(2) 4(A) - SERIOUS INJURY RESULTING. BENCH WARRANT ISSUED THIS DATE USD C, MD NC, SIGNED BY GEORGIA TAYLOR, ASSISTANT CLERK. WARRANT CURRENTLY ON FILE.
U.S. MARSHAL'S OFFICE, GREENSBORO, N. C. BOND RECOMMENDED $30,000.

TONEY DESCRIBED WHITE MALE, BORN JUNE 23, 1947, FIVE FEET, ELEVEN INCHES, 175 POUNDS, BROWN/BLEND HAIR, BLUE EYES. TELEPHOTOS BEING FURNISHED COLUMBIA DIVISION.

INDICTMENTS AND WARRANTS ARE BEING SEALED BY MDNC. INDICTMENTS WILL BE MADE PUBLIC UPON ARREST OF ALL ABOVE INDIVIDUALS.

PRESS INQUIRIES SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO PRESS OFFICE, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. AFTER PRESS RELEASE BY USDJ, CHARLOTTE OFFICE WILL PROVIDE ADDITIONAL LIMITED INFORMATION TO THE PRESS.

LEAD:

COLUMBIA DIVISION, AT BELTON, SOUTH CAROLINA: APPREHEND ROY C. TONEY. TONEY CONSIDERED ARMED AND DANGEROUS.
Re: GREENKIL
CIVIL RIGHTS
OO: CHARLOTTE

On November 3, 1979, a confrontation occurred between members of The Workers Viewpoint Organization, also known as The Communist Workers Party of North Carolina, and a coalition consisting of Ku Klux Klan and American Nazi Party members. After a brief fight with sticks and clubs between the opposing groups, the Klan/Nazi members obtained various firearms from their vehicles and began shooting at the Workers Viewpoint Organization demonstrators. This confrontation resulted in five of the Workers Viewpoint Organization members being killed. Six Klan/Nazi members were tried in state court on murder charges and were acquitted on 11/17/80. The Federal Grand Jury hearing evidence in this matter reconvened on

The attached Charlotte teletype advises:

both from the Greensboro Justice Fund, made an unauthorized appearance before the Federal Grand Jury meeting in Greensboro, North Carolina, on

BAM: bam

1 - Mr. 1 - Mr.
1 - Mr. 1 - Mr.
1 - Mr. (ATTN: Mr.)
1 - Mr.
1 - Mr.
had been advised that the FGJ agreed to however, he refused stating this was insufficient time for The FGJ has now stated they will have no further testimony from.

The Civil Rights Division, Department of Justice, has authorized, and the FBI Charlotte Office, is conducting an Obstruction of Justice investigation.
ENTRY INTO THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY ROOM, U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NC, MADE AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS, AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY WAS PRESENT. AFTER MAKING ENTRANCE, WITNESS AT A TIME IN WHICH NO U.S. ATTORNEY OR DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY W1: CHARLOTTE.

GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE - ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS THROUGH WRITTEN DOCUMENTS, 00: CHARLOTTE.
ON ALL PREVIOUS OCCASIONS WHEN BEFORE FEDERAL GRAND JURY OR WHEN OTHER WITNESSES OF THE WORKERS VIEWPOINT ORGANIZATION (COMMUNIST WORKERS PARTY) HAD ATTEMPTED TO APPEAR BEFORE A GRAND JURY, THEY PROCEEDED THROUGH U. S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND/OR USDJ ATTORNEYS AND WERE SUMMONED BY U. S. MARSHAL OR ATTORNEY TO TESTIFY.

FOR A PERIOD OF APPROXIMATELY TWO WEEKS HAS BEEN CONTINUALLY MAKING PRESS RELEASES RELATIVE TO HIS ATTEMPTS TO SEE THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY WITHOUT THE PRESENCE OF USDJ ATTORNEYS. COMMUNICATIONS BY HAVE BEEN FORWARDED TO USDC, HONORABLE JUDGE IRWIN, MDNC, GREENSBORO, NC; AND THROUGH U. S. ATTORNEY WAS ADVISED ON EACH OCCASION BY LETTER FROM THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ATTORNEY OR IN TELEPHONE CONVERSATION THAT THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY HAD AGREED TO HIS APPEARANCE BEFORE THE GRAND JURY REFUSED TO APPEAR AS HE STATED IT WAS INSUFFICIENT TIME FOR HIS APPEARANCE AND GRAND JURY DECIDED TO HAVE NO FURTHER TESTIMONY FROM TELEVISION NEWS, GREENSBORO,
NC, was standing directly behind [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] when they made entrance into the grand jury room. Grand jurors immediately reported the intrusion to special agent, FBI, assigned to grand jury as investigator and the names of all grand jurors were present when this intrusion was made has been obtained.

U. S. Department of Justice, Civil Rights Section, has authorized a criminal investigation for a violation of Title 18, Section 1503; or violation of Title 18, Section 1504, and interviews are being conducted.

Charlotte investigation is opening a new substitutive criminal investigation for obstruction of justice relative to this inquiry rather than have it contained within the present civil rights investigation.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44A-81521)
ATTN: CIVIL RIGHTS UNIT, ROOM 5131

FROM: SAC, MILWAUKEE (157-2954) (RUC)

SUBJECT: GREENKIL: CIVIL RIGHTS;
00: CHARLOTTE

Reference Milwaukee telcall to Charlotte Supervisor on April 15, 1983, and Bureau telcall from Supervisor to Milwaukee on April 15, 1983.

Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and three copies of an LHM dated and captioned as above. Enclosed for Charlotte are two copies of this LHM, two original FD-302's containing interviews of a 1-A envelope containing interview notes, and a 1-A containing original Federal Express receipt, air bill number 165 607 315.

Being forwarded under separate cover is one box containing various Bureau and Department of Justice documents concerning captioned matter, which were misdirected by Federal Express to a private citizen in Milwaukee.

Because of cooperation with the Milwaukee Office in the prompt return of the misdirected evidence, a letter from the SAC Milwaukee has been sent to him commending him for his assistance to this bureau.

3-Bureau (44A-81521) (Enc. 4)
2-Charlotte (44A-3527) (Enc. 6)
1-Milwaukee (157-2954)
RAM/emw

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 6/12/83 BY

Approved: Transmitted Per
(Number) (Time)
GREENKIL;
CIVIL RIGHTS

On April 15, 1983, I telephonically contacted the Milwaukee Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and he advised that he had just received a box via Federal Express at his office today which was filled with Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) tapes and typed transcripts, which were apparently misdirected to him.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
advised that sometime between 10:00 a.m. and 11:00 a.m. on April 15, 1983, a box was delivered to his office from their warehouse services office. He stated that the box had already been opened by their Receiving Department, and that the box had originally been delivered by Federal Express. He stated that he examined the contents of the box and determined that it contained tapes and reports of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), and that he immediately contacted the Milwaukee Office of the FBI, as he had no idea why he received this box. He noted that the box contained a Federal Express receipt, air bill number 165 607 315, from

He then furnished the box to the interviewing agents and examination of the contents of the box determined that it contained an original report of interview (FD-302) of [ ] conducted by Special Agent [ ] North Carolina, on June 17, 1982, in Charlotte file number 44A-3527. This box also contained two cassette tapes labeled [June 29, 1982], and [September 1, 1982], respectively, and this box contained various other documents from the Department of Justice, and various newspaper clippings. This box also bore a United States Department of Justice address label addressed to [ ], United States Department of Justice, 9th and Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20530.

Special Agent [ ] assumed custody of the box, its contents, and the original Federal Express receipt, air bill number 165 607 315, at 2:50 p.m.

Investigation on 4/15/83 at Milwaukee, Wisconsin File # MI 157-2954

SA [ ] emw Date dictated 4/21/83

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
advised that the package that had been received by him at his office on April 15, 1983, had been delivered by Federal Express at 10:20 a.m. He stated that the package was initially received by their receiving clerk, who opened and resealed the package. He thereafter forwarded the package to the Warehouse Services Department for delivery to and the package was subsequently delivered by who is in their Department.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-81521) (ATTN: CIVIL RIGHTS SECTION)
FROM: SAC, CHARLOTTE (44A-3527) (P)

GREENKIL CIVIL RIGHTS (00: CE)

Re Charlotte airtel (FD-523) to Bureau, 4/11/83 and Bureau airtel to Charlotte, 4/13/83.

Enclosed for the Bureau are two copies of photographs.

Negatives are being retained by Charlotte Division in the event more copies are required.

REQUEST OF THE BUREAU

Enclosed photographs should be forwarded to Mr. Civil Rights Division.

44-81521-456

ENVELOPE

2-Bureau (Enc. 2 sets)
2-Charlotte
GAM/jmg
(4)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
**ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT**

**DIRECTOR, FBI**

**FROM:** Charlotte (CR(A))

**CO: CHARLOTTE**

**Subject:** 44-81521

**Bureau File Number:** 44A-3527

**Field Office File Number:**

**Squad or RA Number:** 5

**Date:** 4/22/83

### Investigative Assistance or Techniques Used

Were any of the investigative assistance or techniques listed below used in connection with accomplishment being claimed? **No**

- **1. Acctg Tech Rating:** 6
- **2. Aircraft Assistance:** 111
- **3. Computer Assistance:** 1
- **4. Consentual Monitoring:** 1
- **5. ELSUR - FISC:** 1
- **6. Hypnosis Assistance:** 1
- **7. Informant Information:** 1
- **8. (dent Div Assistance:** 11
- **9. Informant Information:** 11
- **10. Lab Div Exams:** 11
- **11. Lab Div Field Support:** 11
- **12. Pen Registers:** 11
- **13. Photographic Coverage:** 11
- **14. Polygraph Assistance:** 11
- **15. Show Money Usage:** 11
- **16. Survell. Operation:** 11
- **17. SWAT Team Action:** 11
- **18. Telephone Toll Records:** 11

### A. Preliminary Judicial Process

- **Complaints:**
  - **Informations:**
    - **Complaints:**
      - **Informations:**
        - **Indictments:**
          - **Subject Priority:**
            - **A:**
              - **B:**
                - **C:**

### B. Arrests, Locates & Summonses

- **Number of subjects:**
  - **FBI Arrests:**
    - **Number of subjects:**
      - **FBI Locates:**
        - **Number of subjects:**
          - **Criminal Summons:**
            - **Number of Subjects of FBI Arrests Who Physically Resisted:**
              - **Number of Subjects of FBI Arrests Who Were Armed:**

### C. Release of Hostages: (Number of Hostages Released)

- **Hostages Held By Terrorists:**
  - **All Other Hostage Situations:**

### D. Recoveries, Restitutions, Court Ordered Forfeitures or Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property of PELP Type Code</th>
<th>Recoveries</th>
<th>Restitutions</th>
<th>Court Ordered Forfeitures</th>
<th>Potential Economic Loss Prevented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E. Civil Matters

- **Amount of Suit:**
  - **Settlement or Award:**
    - **Enter AFA Payment Here:**

### F. Final Judicial Process

**Judicial District:**

- **District:**
  - **State:**

**Subject 1 - Name:**

- **Convictions:**
  - **Conviction:**
    - **Title:**
      - **Section:**
        - **Counts:**
          - **In-Jail Term:**
            - **Suspended:**
              - **Probation:**
                - **Fine:**

**Subject 2 - Name:**

- **Convictions:**
  - **Conviction:**
    - **Title:**
      - **Section:**
        - **Counts:**
          - **In-Jail Term:**
            - **Suspended:**
              - **Probation:**
                - **Fine:**

**Subject 3 - Name:**

- **Convictions:**
  - **Conviction:**
    - **Title:**
      - **Section:**
        - **Counts:**
          - **In-Jail Term:**
            - **Suspended:**
              - **Probation:**

### Remarks:

- **Subject:** arrested by FBI Agents at Mt. Holly, N. C., 4/21/83, for violation T. 18, Sect. 371 and Sect. 245, USC.
**Property Type Codes * **

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cash (U.S. and foreign currency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stock, Bonds or Negotiable Instruments (checks, traveler's checks, money orders, certificates of deposit, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>General Retail Merchandise (clothing, food, liquor, cigarettes, TVs, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vehicles (autos, trucks, tractors, trailers, campers, motorcycles, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Heavy Machinery &amp; Equipment (heavy equipment, computers, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bulk Materials (grain, fuel, raw materials, metals, wire, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Jewelry (including unset precious and semiprecious stones)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Precious Metals (gold, silver, silverware, platinum, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Art, Antiques or Rare Collections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dangerous Drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Weapons or Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Businesses or Assets Forfeited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>All Other Recoveries (not falling in any category above)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The case file must contain an explanation of the computation of the recovery value or loss prevented. An explanation aeriel must accompany this report if the recovery is $1 million or more, or if the PELP is $5 million or more.

**Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP) Type Codes * **

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Blank Negotiable Instruments or Tickets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Counterfeit Stocks, Bonds, Currency or Negotiable Instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Counterfeit or Pirated Sound Recordings or Motion Pictures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bank Theft Scheme Aborted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Ransom, Extortion or Bribe Demand Aborted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Theft From, or Fraud Against, Government Scheme Aborted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Commercial or Industrial Theft Scheme Aborted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>All Other Potential Economic Loss Prevented (not falling in any category above)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subject Description Codes * **

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Boss, Underboss or Consigliere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Capodecina or Soldier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Possible LCN Member or Associate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>OC Subject Other Than LCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Top Ten or I.O. Fugitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Top Thief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Top Con Man</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>Legal Alien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Illegal Alien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Foreign Official Without Diplomatic Immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>U.N. Employee Without Diplomatic Immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E</td>
<td>Foreign Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F</td>
<td>All Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>Known Member of a Terrorist Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B</td>
<td>Possible Terrorist Member or Sympathizer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If a subject can be classified in more than one of the categories, select the most appropriate in the circumstance.

**Instructions**

**Subject Priorities for FBI Arrest or Locates:**

A - Subject wanted for crimes of violence (i.e. murder, manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault) or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.

B - Subjects wanted for crimes involving the loss or destruction of property valued in excess of $25,000 or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.

C - All others

**Claiming Convictions Other Than Federal:**

It is permissible to claim a local (state, county or local) conviction if the FBI's investigation significantly contributed to the successful local prosecution. A succinct narrative setting forth the basis for claiming a local conviction must accompany this report. When claiming a conviction other than Federal, enter the word “LOCAL” in the “Conviction-Section” block, disregard the number of conviction counts, but enter the sentence in the appropriate blocks. Enter “LP” in the “In-Jail” block for all life sentences and “CP” for all capital punishment sentences.

**Reporting Convictions:**

- Convictions should not be reported until the sentence has been issued. There are two exceptions to this rule. The conviction information can be submitted by itself if:
  1. The subject becomes a fugitive after conviction but prior to sentencing.
  2. The subject dies after conviction but prior to sentencing.

An explanation is required in the Remarks section for either of the above exceptions.

**Rule 20 Situations:**

The field office that obtained the process (normally the office of origin) is the office that should claim the conviction, not the office where the subject enters the plea in cases involving Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures.

**Investigative Assistance or Techniques (IA/Ts) Used:**

- Since more than one IA/T could have contributed to the accomplishment, each IA/T must be rated.
- If the IA/T used must be rated each time an accomplishment is claimed. (For example - if informant information was the basis for a complaint, an arrest, a recovery and a conviction and if separate FD-515s are submitted for each of the aforementioned accomplishments, the “Informant Information” block must be rated on each FD-515 even if it was the same information that contributed to all the accomplishments.)
**ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT**

Submit within 30 days from date of accomplishment

**DIRECTOR, FBI**

FROM: **GREENKIL, Charlotte**

SUBJECT: **CR (A)**

OO: **CHARLOTTE**

---

### Investigative Assistance or Techniques Used

Were any of the investigative assistance or techniques listed below used in connection with accomplishment? □ No □ Yes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Used, but did not help</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Helped, but only minimally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Helped, substantially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Absolutely essential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **1. Acctg Tech Assistance**
- **6. ELSUR - Title III**
- **11. Lab Div Field Support**
- **16. Survell. Sqd Asst**

---

### A. Preliminary Judicial Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaints</th>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Indictments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### B. Arrests, Locates & Summonses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FBI Arrests</th>
<th>FBI Locates</th>
<th>Criminal Summons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Number of Subjects of FBI Arrests Who Physically Resisted: 0

Number of Subjects of FBI Arrests Who Were Armed: 0

### C. Release of Hostages

Hostages Held By Terrorists: [All Other Hostage Situations]

### D. Recoveries, Restitutions, Court Ordered Forfeitures or Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP)

- **Recoveries**
- **Restitutions**
- **Court Ordered Forfeitures**
- **Potential Economic Loss Prevented**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property of PELP Type Code</th>
<th>Recoveries</th>
<th>Restitutions</th>
<th>Court Ordered Forfeitures</th>
<th>Potential Economic Loss Prevented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E. Civil Matters

- **Government Defendant**
- **Government Plaintiff**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of Suit</th>
<th>Settlement or Award</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### F. Final Judicial Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject 1 - Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- **Convictions**
- **In-Jail Term**
- **Suspended**
- **Probation**

Subject's Description Code:

- **Conviction**
- **In-Jail Term**
- **Suspended**
- **Probation**

- **Fine**

**Remarks:**

Subject was arrested by FBI Agents, Lincoln County, N.C., 4/21/83, for violation T.18, Sect. 371, and Sect. 245, USC.

---

* See codes on reverse side. Subject description codes in Section F are required only when reporting a conviction.
The case file must contain an explanation of the computation of the recovery value or loss prevented. An explanation air is required in the Remarks section for either of the above exceptions.

The field office that obtained the process (normally the office of origin) is the office that should claim the conviction, not the office where the arrest, a recovery and a conviction and if separate FD-515s are submitted for each of the aforementioned accomplishments, the “Informant Information” block must be rated on each FD-515 even if it was the same information that contributed to all the accomplishments.)

Instructions

A - Subject wanted for crimes of violence (i.e. murder, manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault) or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.

B - Subjects wanted for crimes involving the loss or destruction of property valued in excess of $25,000 or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.

C - All others

Claiming Convictions Other Than Federal:

It is permissible to claim a local (state, county or local) conviction if the FBI’s investigation significantly contributed to the successful local prosecution. A succinct narrative setting forth the basis for claiming a local conviction must accompany this report. When claiming a conviction other than Federal, enter the word “LOCAL” in the “Conviction-Section” block, disregard the number of conviction counts, but enter the sentence in the appropriate blocks. Enter “LP” in the “in-Jail” block for all life sentences and “CP” for all capital punishment sentences.

Reporting Convictions:

Convictions should not be reported until the sentence has been issued. There are two exceptions to this rule. The conviction information can be submitted by itself if:

1. The subject becomes a fugitive after conviction but prior to sentencing.
2. The subject dies after conviction but prior to sentencing.

Rule 20 Situations:

The field office that obtained the process (normally the office of origin) is the office that should claim the conviction, not the office where the subject enters the plea in cases involving Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures.

Investigative Assistance or Techniques (IATs) Used:

- Since more than one IAT could have contributed to the accomplishment, each IAT must be rated.
- The IAT used must be rated each time an accomplishment is claimed. For example, if informant information was the basis for a complaint, an arrest, a recovery and a conviction and if separate FD-515s are submitted for each of the aforementioned accomplishments, the “Informant Information” block must be rated on each FD-515 even if it was the same information that contributed to all the accomplishments.)
ROY CLINTON TONEY ARRESTED THIS DATE BY BUREAU AGENTS AT ANDERSON COUNTY, S.C., WITHOUT INCIDENT. TONEY PRESENTLY IN GREENVILLE COUNTY, S.C., DETENTION CENTER AWAITING APPEARANCE BEFORE U. S. MAGISTRATE.

CHARLOTTE ADVISE U. S. MARSHAL'S SERVICE, GREENSBORO, N.C., TO FORWARD NECESSARY PAPERS TO U. S. MARSHAL'S SERVICE, GREENVILLE, S.C. CHARLOTTE ALSO CLEAR NCIC.

ARMS AND DANGEROUS

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HERIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE MAY 4, 1983

MAY 23, 1983
RE COLUMBIA TELETYPE DATED APRIL 22, 1983.

ROY CLINTON TONEY BROUGHT BEFORE U. S. MAGISTRATE WILLIAM CATOE, DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA, GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA, AND SIGNED WAIVER OF REMOVAL. U. S. MAGISTRATE IMPOSED $35,000 SURETY BOND AND TONEY REMANDED TO THE GREENVILLE COUNTY DETENTION CENTER IN LIEU OF THAT BOND AWAITING REMOVAL TO NORTH CAROLINA. TONEY INQUIRED AS TO STATUS OF CASE AND WAS TOLD THAT PRESS REPORTS INDICATE ONE SUBJECT ALREADY PLEADED GUILTY, AND POSSIBLY COOPERATING WITH GOVERNMENT. TONEY ADVISED HE WAS LEANING IN THAT DIRECTION HIMSELF, BUT WOULD WAIT TO TALK TO AN ATTORNEY IN NORTH CAROLINA BEFORE MAKING ANY DECISION.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED.

MAY 4, 1983

MAY 23, 1983

THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY OF EVENTS FOR APRIL 22, 1983, CONCERNING CAPTIONED MATTER:

WHO WAS ARRESTED ON APRIL 21, 1983, WAS AFFORDED AN IDENTITY HEARING BEFORE U.S. MAGISTRATE, WINSTON-SALEM, N.C., AND REMANDED TO CUSTODY OF USM IN LIEU OF POSTING $50,000 SECURED BOND. SCHEDULED FOR HEARING MAY 2, 1983.

ROLAND WAYNE WOOD SURRENDERED TO F.B.I. AGENTS AT WINSTON-SALEM, N.C. AT APPROXIMATELY 9:30 A.M., APRIL 22, 1983. HE WAS AFFORDED AN IDENTITY HEARING BEFORE U.S. MAGISTRATE AND RELEASED ON PERSONAL RECOGNIZANCE BOND OF $25,000. HE IS.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED.

DATE 4/23/83 BY sp cypher

66 MAY 23 1983
SCHEDULED FOR A HEARING ON MAY 2, 1983.


UNCLASSIFIED

GREENKIL, CR (A). 00: CHARLOTTE.

RE CHARLOTTE TEL APRIL 21, 1983.

THE FOLLOWING IS SUMMARY OF EVENTS ON APRIL 21, 1983, CONCERNING CAPTIONED MATTER:

EDWARD WOODROW DAWSON WAS INDICTED APRIL 21, 1983, BY FEDERAL GRAND JURY (FGJ), FOR VIOLATION OF T. 18, USC, SEC. 371—CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT; T. 18, USC, SEC. 245 (B) (2) (B) AND 245 (B) (4) (A); T. 18, USC, SEC. 371—CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE T. 18, USC, SEC. 1510—OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. DAWSON SURRENDERED TO U. S. MARSHALS AND FBI APRIL 21, 1983, AT WINSTON-SALEM, N.C. HE WAS AFFORDED AN IDENTITY HEARING BEFORE U. S. MAGISTRATE AND RELEASED ON A PERSONAL RECOGNIZANCE.

66 MAY 23 1983

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 4.5.83 BY SPATZ/LEP
RAEFORD MILANO CAUDLE WAS INDICTED APRIL 21, 1983, BY FGJ FOR VIOLATION OF T. 18, USC, SECTION 371 - CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT; T. 18, USC, SECT. 245 (B)(2)(B) AND 245(B)(4)(A); AND FOUR COUNTS OF SUBSTANTIVE VIOLATION OF T. 18, USC, SECT. 245(B)(4)(A) - WITH DEATH RESULTING; AND ONE COUNT OF T. 18, USC, SECT. 245(B)(4)(A) - WITH SERIOUS INJURY RESULTING. HE WAS ARRESTED APRIL 21, 1983, AT HIS RESIDENCE IN NEWTON, N. C. BY SAS OF THE FBI. HE WAS AFFORDED AN IDENTITY HEARING BEFORE U. S. MAGISTRATE, WINSTON-SALEM, N. C., AND REMANDED TO CUSTODY OF USSMS IN LIEU OF POSTING $100,000 SECURED BOND. HE IS SCHEDULED FOR HEARING ON MAY 2, 1983.

ROLAND WAYNE WOOD WAS INDICTED APRIL 21, 1983, BY FGJ FOR VIOLATION OF T. 18, USC, SECTION 371 - CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT; SECTION 371 - CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT; SECTIONS 245(B)(2)(B) AND 245(B)(4)(A). EFFORTS TO APPREHEND ON APRIL 21, 1983, NEGATIVE. CONTACT RECEIVED FROM ATTORNEY INDICATES HE INTENDS TO SURRENDER TO THE FBI, WINSTON-SALEM, N.C., AT APPROXIMATELY 11:00 A.M., APRIL 22, 1983. HE WILL BE AFFORDED AN IDENTITY HEARING AT THAT TIME.
FOR VIOLATION T. 18, USC, SECTION 371 - CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT; T. 18, USC, SECT. 245(B)(2)(B) AND 245(B)(4)(A) AND HE IS CHARGED WITH ONE COUNT OF VIOLATION OF T. 18, USC, SECT. 245(B)(4)(A) AND SECTION 2 - AIDING AND ABETTING WITH DEATH RESULTING; AND FIVE COUNTS OF T. 18, SECT. 245(B)(4)(A) - SERIOUS INJURY RESULTING. EFFORTS TO APPREHEND WOOD ON APRIL 21, 1983, NEGATIVE. CONTACT WAS RECEIVED FROM WOOD DURING P.M. ON APRIL 21, 1983, INDICATING HE INTENDED TO SURRENDER TO FBI WINSTON-SALEM AT 9:30 A.M., APRIL 22, 1983. HE WILL BE AFFORDED AN IDENTITY HEARING BEFORE U.S. MAGISTRATE AT THAT TIME.

ROY CLINTON TONEY WAS INDICTED APRIL 21, 1983, BY FGJ FOR VIOLATION OF T. 18, USC, SECT. 371 - CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT; SECTION 245(B)(2)(B) AND 245(B)(4)(A) AND ONE SUBSTANTIVE COUNT OF VIOLATION OF T. 18, USC, SECT. B(2) 4(A) - SERIOUS INJURY RESULTING. EFFORTS TO LOCATE AND APPREHEND TONEY IN THE VICINITY OF BELTON, S.C. ON APRIL 21, 1983, WERE NEGATIVE. EFFORTS CONTINUING.

ABOVE INDIVIDUALS WHO WERE REMANDED TO CUSTODY USM WERE PLACED IN FORSYTH COUNTY JAIL, WINSTON-SALEM, N.C.

THE BUREAU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED OF ALL PERTINENT DEVELOPMENTS. TONEY CONSIDERED ARMED AND DANGEROUS.

BT
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
THURSDAY, APRIL 21, 1983

A Greensboro, North Carolina, man was indicted by a federal grand jury today on charges of giving false testimony during its investigation of the fatal shootings of five Communist Workers Party members during a demonstration against the Ku Klux Klan in 1979.

Assistant Attorney General William Bradford Reynolds, head of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice, said a five-count indictment was returned in U.S. District Court in Winston-Salem, North Carolina.

The indictment charged Henry C. Byrd, Sr., 35, with giving false testimony on five points during an appearance before the grand jury Tuesday:

-- That Greensboro Police Officer R.N. Bell had given him a revolver and asked him to shoot Nelson Johnson, head of the Communist Workers Party in Greensboro.

-- That Greensboro Police Officer Raymond Davis had given him a polygraph examination regarding whether Bell had asked him to shoot Johnson.

-- That Byrd had told Greensboro Police Detective Herbert Belvin about Bell asking him to shoot Johnson.

(MORE)
That Belvin had asked him to infiltrate the Workers' Viewpoint Organization, forerunner to the Communist Workers Party, several weeks before the November 3, 1979, anti-Klan demonstration.

That Byrd was at the scene of the shootout between Ku Klux Klansmen and American Nazi Party members on one side and Communist Workers Party members on the other.

The maximum penalty upon conviction on each count of false declaration before a grand jury is five years in prison and a $10,000 fine.

# # # #
Six present or former Ku Klux Klansmen and three American Nazi Party members were indicted by a federal grand jury today on charges of conspiring to disrupt the 1979 anti-Klan demonstration in Greensboro, North Carolina, resulting in the fatal shooting of five Communist Workers Party members.

Another former Klansman was charged on March 24, 1983, with participating in the conspiracy and entered a guilty plea that day before Chief Judge Hiram Ward in U.S. District Court in Winston-Salem, North Carolina.

Assistant Attorney General William Bradford Reynolds, head of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice, said the nine were named in a 14-count indictment returned in U.S. District Court in Winston-Salem.

Indicted were:

Virgil L. Griffin, 38, of Mount Holly, North Carolina, who was grand dragon or head of the North Carolina chapter of the Invisible Empire, Knights of the Ku Klux Klan, and who also headed the secret inner circle of the Klan.

Edward W. Dawson, 64, of Greensboro, a former member of the United Klans of America and North Carolina Knights of the Ku Klux Klan.
Roy C. Toney, 35, of Gastonia, North Carolina, who was a member of the Lincolnton klavern and the "kleagle" or Klan officer in charge of recruitment.

Roland W. Wood, 38, of Winston-Salem, leader of the Forsyth County, North Carolina, unit of the National Socialist Party of America, also known as the American Nazi Party.

Raeford M. Caudle, 40, of Winston-Salem, who was a member of the Forsyth County Nazi Party unit.

Named in the March 24 criminal information was _, North Carolina, a former Klan member who is a student of the

All were charged with conspiring to interfere with the federally protected rights of the demonstrators to participate in a parade authorized by the city of Greensboro, which resulted in the five deaths and bodily injury to six other marchers and a television cameraman on November 3, 1979.

(MORE)
pleaded guilty to a charge of opening fire with a .44 caliber black powder pistol and exhorting other Klansmen and Nazis to shoot the "niggers." He is awaiting sentencing.

The indictment named Wood, and as firing shots that resulted in the deaths of demonstrators James Waller, Cesar Cauce, Michael Nathan, Sandra Smith, and William Sampson.

Toney, and Wood were charged with causing bodily injury to demonstrators

, Winston, Salem.

All nine named in the indictment were charged with conspiring from October, 1979, to November 3, 1979, to use force and threat of force to intimidate and interfere with the federally protected rights of the participants in the anti-Klan parade, resulting in death and bodily injury.

The indictment said Griffin, and began planning at a state board meeting of the Klan during October, 1979, for members to attend the anti-Klan demonstration.

During statewide Klan meetings in or around Lincolnton, Griffin told Klansmen that if they loved their children, they would go out and kill "niggers," the indictment said.

Two days before the demonstration, the indictment said, Dawson put up Klan posters along the parade route that stated, "Notice! to the Traitors, Communists, Race-Mixers and Black Rioters, Traitors (MORE)
Beware, Even now the cross-hairs are on the back of YOUR necks, KKKK, It's time for old-fashioned American Justice," and showed a silhouette of a man hanging from a tree.

On the day of the demonstration, Dawson led a Klan-Nazi car caravan to the parade site, where he shouted curses at the demonstrators before Wood, Smith, and Toney opened fire, the indictment said.

Griffin and Dawson were also charged with conspiring during the week after the shootout to obstruct the FBI investigation of the incident by hiding out with five other persons and intimidating them to lie or give misleading statements to FBI agents.

The charges against each defendant are:

Griffin: Two conspiracy counts.
Dawson: Two conspiracy counts.

Wood, Smith, and Toney opened fire, the indictment said.

Griffin and Dawson were also charged with conspiring during the week after the shootout to obstruct the FBI investigation of the incident by hiding out with five other persons and intimidating them to lie or give misleading statements to FBI agents.

The charges against each defendant are:

Griffin: Two conspiracy counts.
Dawson: Two conspiracy counts.
Wood, Smith, and Toney opened fire, the indictment said.

The charges against each defendant are:
Griffin: Two conspiracy counts.
Dawson: Two conspiracy counts.
Wood: One conspiracy count, one count of interference resulting in death, and five counts of interference resulting in bodily injury.

The charges against each defendant are:
Griffin: Two conspiracy counts.
Dawson: Two conspiracy counts.
Wood: One conspiracy count, one count of interference resulting in death, and five counts of interference resulting in bodily injury.

(MORE)
One count of conspiracy and one count of interference resulting in death.

Caudle: One count of conspiracy.

was charged in the information with conspiracy.

The maximum penalties upon conviction on each count are:

Conspiracy to commit a federal offense (18 U.S. Code 371), five years in prison and a $10,000 fine.


Interference with federally protected rights resulting in bodily injury (18 U.S. Code 245(b)(4)(A)), 10 years in prison and a $10,000 fine.

said the charges resulted from an exhaustive investigation by the FBI under the supervision of the Civil Rights Division and the United States Attorney for the Middle District of North Carolina. The grand jury had considered the matter since March 22, 1982.

# # # #
To: SAC, Charlotte (44A-3527)
From: Director, FBI (44-81521)
Subject: GREENKIL
CIVIL RIGHTS
CO: CHARLOTTE

Date: April 21, 1983
Time: Transmitted

Special handling instructions:

☐ Fingerprint Photo  ☐ Fingerprint Record  ☐ Map  ☐ Newspaper clipping  ☐ Photograph
☐ Artists Conception  ☒ Other Two Dept. of Justice press releases dated 4/21/83.

No comment is to be made by Charlotte beyond what is covered in these two DOJ news releases.

Approved: ____________________________

FBI/DOJ
**INSTRUCTIONS - Reverse side**

NOTE: Priority "A" and "B" Fugitives - With initial submission, set forth a synopsis of crime on reverse side.

- **XX** Initial Submission
- Supplements PD-65 dated
- Indicate Fugitive Priority
- A
- B
- C

---

**Subject:** GREENKIL; ROY CLINTON TONEY - FUGITIVE (A); ET AL

**CR**

(00: CHARLOTTE) **FUGITIVE INDEX**

---

**Caution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ROY CLINTON TONEY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MKE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NAME</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEX</strong></td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEX RACE</strong></td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Place of Birth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POB</th>
<th>Birth Date</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6/28/47</td>
<td></td>
<td>6'00</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Eye Color**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EYE</th>
<th>Hair Color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Brown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scars, Marks, Tattoos, etc.**

**NCIC Fingerprint Classification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FPC</th>
<th>Other Identifying Number</th>
<th>MNU</th>
<th>Social Security #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Operator's License Number**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OLN</th>
<th>Operator's License State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2785643</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operator's License State**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OLS</th>
<th>Year Expire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Offense Charged OFF**

- Civil Rights Act; Conspiracy

**U. S. Code, Title and Section**

- Title 18, Section 371, Section 245(b)(2)(B) and Section 245(b)(4)(A)
- USDC, MDNC on 4/21/83

**Warrant Issued By**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USDC, MDNC</th>
<th>on 4/21/83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Date PBV or Bond Default Case Referred to Office**

**MIS**

**Fingerprint Classification (Identification System)**

**License Plate and Vehicle Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>License Plate Number</th>
<th>LIC</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>LIS</th>
<th>Year Expires</th>
<th>LIY</th>
<th>License Plate Type</th>
<th>LIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Identification #</th>
<th>VIN</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Make</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aliases</th>
<th>ADDITIONAL IDENTIFIERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DOB 6/23/47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NCIC #**

**W307462017**

**Additional Identifiers**

- **DOB:** 6/23/47

**STOP CARDS**

**AUTOMATED**

**SUBJECT ARMED AND DANGEROUS SINCE CHARGED WITH CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATION IN WHICH VICTIMS WERE KILLED WITH FIREARMS.**

**FBI HQ (1 - Fugitive Unit)**

**FBHQ (1 - Fugitive Unit)**

**2 - Charlotte (44A-3527)**

**CBW: kmc**

**44A-3527**

**ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREBIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 4/22/83 BY Signature**

**FBI/DOJ**
Subject is charged with violation of civil rights of several individuals who were killed with firearms in an incident in Greensboro, N. C., in 1979. Subject was allegedly armed and participated in this incident and should be considered armed and dangerous.
**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Office</th>
<th>Office of Origin</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Investigative Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHARLOTTE</td>
<td>CHARLOTTE</td>
<td>5/2/83</td>
<td>4/13/83 - 4/28/83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Title of Case**:  

[Redacted], aka [Redacted]

**Character of Case**: PERJURY

**Reference**:  

Charlotte teletype to Director, entitled "GREENKIL" dated 4/14/83, Bufile 44-81521, Charlotte file 44A-3527.

**All information contained herein is unclassified date 6-12-84 by [Redacted]**

---

**Approved**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Copies made:</th>
<th>Special Agent in Charge</th>
<th>Do not write in spaces below</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 - Bureau (2-74-44-81521)</td>
<td>44-1521</td>
<td>947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - USA, Greensboro, N.C. (ATTN: USA KENNETH W. MC ALLISTER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Charlotte (2-74-105) (1-44A-3527) (Info)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notations**:  

7 JUN 9 1983

**Cover Page**
PROSECUTIVE REPORT OF INVESTIGATION CONCERNING

PERJURY

also known as
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE OF CONTENTS</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Narrative of Offense</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Defendant</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecutive Status</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witnesses</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table of Contents for Report Forms (FD-302s)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Forms (FD-302s)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Copy to: 1 - USA, Greensboro, N.C.
(Attn: U.S. Attorney Kenneth W. Mc Allister)

Report of: SA  
Office: CHARLOTTE

Date: 5/2/83

Field Office File #: 74-105

Narrative of Offense:

On 4/13/83, delivered an Affidavit prepared by of the Greensboro Justice Fund, and signed by , to the U.S. Attorney for the Middle District of N.C., at Greensboro, N.C.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Investigation at the Greensboro, N.C., Police Department determined that before 11/3/79, and for approximately 6 months prior to that date, Det. was assigned to an undercover "sting" operation and was not working in the Intelligence Unit of the Greensboro, N.C., Detective Division. Det. advised that he never saw 2 or 3 weeks prior to 11/3/79, and had not seen him for approximately 1 - 1½ years before 11/3/79. further advised he never asked to infiltrate the Worker's Viewpoint Organization, or the Communist Worker's Party in 10/79, or in 11/79, and to furnish information to him. Records of the city police department and fellow officers of Det. will testify that Det. was involved in a "sting" operation during the period of time in which alleged asked him for intelligence information on CWP. Officer, polygraph examiner, Greensboro, N.C. PD, who is the officer alleged to have given him his polygraph examination either before 11/3/79 or shortly thereafter, was on special duty assignment during the month of October, November and December, 1979, at San Diego, Calif., and was in the process of studying polygraph examination techniques. Officer advised that on only one occasion had he given a polygraph examination to , and that was on 4/18/80, and it was relative to the question as to whether or not was being truthful when he told police and prosecutors that he was at Everitt and Carver Street at the time the shootings occurred. At no time did ever make the allegation to that Officer had requested to shoot . Records of Greensboro, N.C. PD will document and verify Officer duty status at San Diego, Calif., during the pertinent periods in which alleges he was given polygraph examination. Det. also advised that at no time did ever make an allegation to him that Officer had asked to shoot . Officer Greensboro, N.C. PD, advised that during the pertinent period he was assigned to Automobile Accident Investigation Follow-Up and that in October and November, 1979, never knew . In early 1980, Officer while investigating a hit and run accident in which was the victim, determined that had been the claimant of approximately 30 insurance claims resulting from alleged automobile accidents in the period of a few years. Officer initiated investigation into filing of claims with insurance company as related to automobile accidents, and as a result of Officer initial inquiry, State Bureau of Investigation and the N.C. Insurance Commission conducted investigation which resulted in a 24-count indictment in 2/83, charging with 7 counts of conspiracy and 17 counts of filing false insurance claims.
CE 74-105

NAME OF DEFENDANT:

1. ________________________, also known as ________________________, described as:

   Race: _______________________
   Sex: _______________________
   Date of Birth: _______________________
   Place of Birth: _______________________
   Residence: _______________________

   Height: _______________________
   Weight: _______________________
   Build: _______________________
   Hair: _______________________
   Eyes: _______________________
   N.C. driver's license: _______________________
PROSECUTIVE STATUS:

1. On 4/21/83, U.S. Attorney KENNETH MC ALLISTER; U.S. Department of Justice Attorneys, authorized the prosecution of for five counts of Perjury before a Federal Grand Jury at Winston-Salem, N.C. On the same date, a bill of indictment was returned by the Federal Grand Jury charging with five counts of violation of Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 1623. was arraigned before U.S. District Court Judge, Middle District of N.C., on 5/2/83, and entered a plea of not guilty.
WITNESSES:

1. U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina
   Federal Grand Jury
   Will read into the record [Redacted]

2. SA
   FBI
   Greensboro, North Carolina
   Will identify and read into the record [Redacted]

3. Officer
   Greensboro, N.C. Police Department
   Will testify that [Redacted]

4. Officer
   Greensboro, N.C. Police Department Officer
   Will testify that [Redacted]

5. Officer
   Greensboro, N.C., Police Department
   Will testify that [Redacted]
WITNESSES:

6. Captain [underline]
Greensboro, N.C. Police Department

Will produce
TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPORT FORMS (FD-302s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Officer</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<th>28</th>
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<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>30</td>
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Page 1
Mr.____ had been an

Present during

the course of this interview was U.S. Department of Justice Trial
Attorney____________ Mr.____ was advised at the outset
of the interview of the identity of the interviewer as a Special
Agent of the FBI and of being an attorney for the
U.S. Department of Justice. He was further advised that he was
being interviewed relative to the allegations which he had made
in ______regarding Officer______ of the Greensboro Police
Department; specifically, that Officer______ had solicited Mr.
____ to shoot ______ shortly before November 3, 1979.

Mr.____ acknowledged that he understood his purpose for being
at Winston-Salem, North Carolina, and acknowledged that he was
the ______ in the possession of the interviewer. Mr.____ was shown the
______ and he acknowledged that he had, and further acknowledged
______ to the interviewer that the information contained in the______ was truthful. Mr.____ advised the interviewer that his dealings
with Officer______ began in late 1977 or 1978, and that he first
became acquainted with Officer______ when______ was investigating
an automobile accident in which he had been a victim. Mr.____
then outlined a series of events which he attributed to Officer
______ which included______ and
indicating that Officer______ was also a ______ Mr.____ advised that he had given all this information
to the Internal Affairs of the police department; to the news
media; and also to ______ who he assumes then put him
in touch with ______ lawyers who ______

______ was questioned specifically as to whether or not
he was at Everitt and Carver Street on November 3, 1979, at the
time the shooting had occurred between members of the Ku Klux Klan/
Nazi Organization and the Worker's Viewpoint Organization. Mr.

4/13/83 Winston-Salem, N.C. CE 74-105

by SA______ Date dictated 4/20/83

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Mr. [person] was confronted with his statements that he had made to the Greensboro Police Department on November 5, 1979; to Detective [person] and on November 6, 1979, to SA [person] of the FBI in which he alleged he was at the scene of the shooting, had observed the gunfire from the Klan and Nazis, and was able to identify from photographs various individuals which he claimed he observed shooting on that day. After denying being at Everitt and Carver Street several times, then changed his statement and advised the interviewer that he was, in fact, at Everitt and Carver Street but was always afraid to tell the truth because he had been threatened by the police, particularly Officer [person], not to be a witness to what he had observed. At this point, Mr. [person] was taken to the Grand Jury Room and shown a diagram of Everitt and Carver Street and was asked to place himself on the map as to his location on November 3, 1979, when he observed what he said was the shooting at Everitt and Carver Street. Mr. [person] advised that he was across the street from the intersection of Carver and that after the first shot had been fired, he became scared and ran behind the buildings which formed the small shopping center on Everitt Street. He then stated that he had not immediately run behind the buildings but he had seen one individual shot in the head who was laying in the center of Carver Street near the Channel 8 TV news station wagon. Mr. [person] then retracted that statement and said that he had not immediately run behind the building but waited until all the shooting was over and that he had observed other individuals shooting who he had previously identified but was afraid to testify because the police had intimidated him. He advised that the police had intimidated him because he and Officer [person] had been involved in numerous incidents over the years and he was told not to be a witness against Officer [person].

At this point, Mr. [person] was returned to the U.S. Attorney's Office, and since he had acknowledged that he and Officer [person] had been involved in numerous incidents, he was advised of his rights by the interviewer as they appear on the signed statement furnished by Mr. [person] on that night. As to his attorney, Mr. [person] advised that Mr. [person] was well aware of the fact that he had given these statements to the Internal Affairs of the police department; to the news media; and to Mr. [person] and his attorney had no objection to him speaking with the authorities about
the allegations involving him and Officer [redacted]. He stated that it was not necessary for Mr. [redacted] to be present with him during this time and he was willing to advise the interviewer and the U.S. Department of Justice Attorney of all the information he had regarding criminal activity with Officer [redacted].

At that time, a signed statement was taken from Mr. [redacted] which upon conclusion, he read for approximately 10 to 15 minutes, and then in the presence of the interviewer, and U.S. Department of Justice Attorney, signed the statement. The following is a signed statement obtained from [redacted] on April 13, 1983:
Officer _______ Greensboro, North Carolina, Police Department, was telephonically contacted at his hotel at _______ North Carolina, where he was attending a school on behalf of the Greensboro, North Carolina, Police Department. Officer______ advised that he first became acquainted with______ sometime in early 1980, possibly late January, 1980, as a result of an investigation assigned to him to follow up a reported hit and run accident in Greensboro, North Carolina. He stated that in reviewing the information on the accident, he identified the victim as______ and in discussing this matter with a fellow officer in his section, the officer advised him that______ had also been a victim of another hit and run accident less than 30 days before, which this officer was investigating. Officer______ advised for that reason he then made a computerized check of the Greensboro Police Department records relative to______ and determined that______ had been the victim or had been involved in motor vehicle accidents approximately 30 times in the past few years.

Because of this, he began an investigation and first attempted to have the Detective Division of the Greensboro Police Department investigate______ for possibly filing false automobile accident reports and then later referred the investigation to the North Carolina Insurance Commission, and after that, the State Bureau of Investigation became involved. Officer______ advised as a result of all these investigations,______ had now been indicted on 24 counts, 17 counts of filing false claims and 7 conspiracy counts.

Officer______ advised that he had arrested______ in October,______ for______ and______ had been convicted as a result of that investigation. He stated that______ since his arrest in October,______ has continually made threats to him that he would get even with the officer because he felt Officer______ had framed him. Officer______ advised that at no time had he ever solicited______ to shoot______ and that he had no reason to want______ shot. He stated that in addition to this wild allegation,______ has made continuous allegations to the Internal Affairs Unit of the police department which now include murder, cocaine and heroin dealing,
and being involved with [insert name] in faking numerous automobile accidents. Officer [insert name] advised that none of these allegations are true, and that he has had no dealings with [insert name] other than the investigations which resulted in his arrest, and whatever role he played in effecting the indictments of [insert name] on the pending 24 criminal counts against him in Superior Court.
Police Officer, Greensboro, North Carolina, Police Department, was interviewed in the Detective Squad Room at the Greensboro Police Department. Mr. advised that he is acquainted with and knew him back in the period of 1977 and 1978 and did have some contact with him at that time. He stated during that period had come to him at one time somewhere around late 1977 or early 1978 and told him that he knew and if he, Detective wanted information on, he could provide it. Mr. advised at that time he was working in the Section of the Police Department and was involved in numerous activities in the community and he advised that he would accept any information that could offer. He stated, however, at that time, he never asked to join any organization in which was a member, nor did he advise that he would be an informant of the police department and definitely never assigned him any type of code name "Blue". He stated that informants of the Greensboro Police Department, including his past informants, always had a numerical code and were not assigned any type of name such as "Blue" as had alleged.

advised that this was all long before November, 1979, and that at no time prior to, specifically two or three weeks prior to November 3, 1979, did he ever ask to infiltrate the Worker's Viewpoint Organization or the Communist Worker's Party. advised that that allegation was totally unfounded and that prior to November 3, 1979, for some six months or more, he had not been working in the Intelligence Section of the police department and had no interest in the activities of or any of the groups in which he was associated. advised that for some six months prior to November 3, 1979, he was involved in a "sting" operation in which he played an undercover role in which the Greensboro Police Department was buying back stolen property taken from residential burglaries and other thefts in the Greensboro area. advised that this could be substantiated by his work records and also by the other officers who were with him from the Greensboro Police Department on that assignment. He advised that at no time did he ever meet with or see just prior to November 3, 1979.

Investigation on 4/18/83 at Greensboro, N.C. File # CE 74-105

by SA jat Date dictated 4/25/83
advised that he was called back to duty on
the afternoon of November 3, 1979, to help investigate the street
violence which had erupted at Everitt and Carver Street on that
day. advised that approximately 2 or 3 days later, came to him and told him that he had been at Everitt
and Carver Street during the shooting, and gave a statement.
advised that that was the only statement he had taken from
relative to what happened on November 3, 1979, and that at
no time had ever told him that Officer of the Greensboro
Police Department had attempted to solicit to shoot
advised this was the first occasion that he had
ever heard such a statement, and never even knew the allegation
until it was brought up during this interview.

advised that he did have take a polygraph
a few months after November 3, 1979, but that was relative to
whether or not was telling the truth when he had told himself
and the prosecutors that he was at Everitt and Carver Street on
November 3, 1979, and had seen the shootings. He stated that
the prosecutors, and had arranged for the
polygraph and that had given the polygraph. He
stated that completely failed the polygraph and therefore
was never used as a witness. advised that at no time during
this polygraph examination did make the allegation to him
that Officer had solicited him to shoot and
the polygraph had nothing to do with that allegation whatsoever.
Captain, Greensboro, North Carolina, Police Department, advised that during the pertinent periods; October - December, 1979, Polygraph Examiner was not at the Greensboro, North Carolina, Police Department but was in training at San Diego, California. Captain advised that he would cause the records to be obtained from the Personnel Department to include his travel vouchers and his certificate of attendance and completion at this school to further document Officer attendance.

Captain also advised that during the period between the summer of 1979 up through November 3, 1979, Detective was not assigned to the Intelligence Section of the Greensboro Police Department as was on a special assignment, undercover, with other officers conducting a "sting" operation. This operation was conducted for at least six months prior to November 3, 1979, and the officers operated out of County.

Captain advised that the Greensboro Police Department, in maintaining its informant files, maintains the identity of informants by code numbers and letters and does not use any such code names as "Blue". Captain advised this is totally fictitious and that a review of the informant records for the Greensboro Police Department report that at no time was ever used as an informant by the Greensboro, North Carolina, Police Department. Captain advised that when was a security officer, had numerous contacts with officers from the Greensboro Police Department and would furnish information relative to his knowledge of any criminal activity as it related to his employment; however, this had nothing to do with being an informant, or anything to do with intelligence regarding investigations of possible civil unrest.
Court, Guilford County, Greensboro, North Carolina, was interviewed relative to his dealings with Mr. [redacted] advised that [redacted] first came to his attention and co-prosecutor, [redacted], when they were preparing for the trial of the defendants indicted for the violence at Everitt and Carver Street on November 3, 1979. He stated that from his initial interview with the police and the FBI, [redacted] seemed to be a fairly credible witness as to what had happened during the shooting on November 3, 1979. For that reason, he and [redacted] began interviewing [redacted] to determine his reliability as a witness. He stated that it became evident to him during their interview with him that he had not been at the scene and that major portions of his statement were fabricated. He stated it appeared that [redacted] had seen the film and was able to make certain statements from what he had observed in the film but other key important points that he would have observed had he been at Everitt and Carver Street were missing. Particularly, the fact that he did not know there was a yellow van in which the vast majority of the Klan members had come out of prior to the shooting and had attempted to flee in after the shooting and was stopped and arrested by the police. For this reason, they requested a polygraph examination be conducted of [redacted] and the polygraph examination was conducted by Officer [redacted]. The questions on the polygraph were relative to [redacted] truthfulness about being a witness on November 3, 1979, and all questions were prepared relative to that issue only. Mr. [redacted] advised that [redacted] failed the polygraph and his credibility as a witness was completely discounted.
Officer [___] Greensboro, North Carolina, Police Department, was interviewed at the Greensboro, North Carolina, Police Department relative to [___]
Officer [___] advised that [_____] never told him that Officer [_____] had asked him to "shoot" [_____] nor did he ever polygraph him on that issue. [_____] advised that when [_____] claims he gave him the polygraph; either 1 or 2 days before November 3, 1979, or 7-10 days after, he [_____] was assigned to Polygraph School in San Diego, California.

[_____] advised he did test [_____] on April 18, 1980, as to the issue as to whether or not he observed the shootings at Everitt and Carver Street on November 3, 1979. [_____] advised [_____] had claimed to have seen the shootings, but failed the polygraph on that issue.
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On [date] a Federal Grand Jury (FGJ) for the Middle District of N.C., was impaneled at the U.S. District Court in Winston-Salem, N.C., to hear evidence relative to a violent confrontation which had occurred between members of the Ku Klux Klan - Nazi organizations; and the Worker's Viewpoint Organization (Communist Worker's Party) at Everitt and Carver Street in Greensboro, N.C., on 11/3/79. The purpose of the inquiry of the Grand Jury was to

On [date] the day before the Grand Jurors entered their deliberation, and just after the presentation of summary evidence, [name] of the Communist Worker's Party and his attorney, [name] of the Greensboro Justice Fund, [name] made an unauthorized entrance into the Grand Jury deliberation room and attempted to find the [name] and other Grand Jurors a letter and numerous written documents prepared by [name] and [name] and other members of the Greensboro Justice Fund. These documents included but were not limited to (1)

Before making his entrance into the Grand Jury room area, [name] had been told by Winston-Salem that the Grand Jury was in recess and had gone to lunch. [name] were accompanied by [name] to the Grand Jury room area. [name] advised that neither nor made any effort to contact any members of the U.S. Attorney's staff that were in their office on the same floor as the Grand Jury prior to their entrance into the Grand Jury room area. [name] was confronted by members of the Grand Jury as
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he attempted to leave the above described documents in the Grand Jury room and ordered to leave. \[\text{[redacted]}\] and \[\text{[redacted]}\] then went to the USA's Office and demanded that USDJ attorneys furnish the above documents to the Grand Jury and when it was discovered that they had made the unauthorized entrance into the Grand Jury room area, FBI Agents seized the documents \[\text{[redacted]}\] was carrying.
CE 72-119

NAMES OF DEFENDANTS:

1. described as:

   Race:
   Sex:
   Date of Birth:
   SSAN:
   FBI #:
   Address:
2. [Blank], described as:
   Race:
   Sex:
   Occupation:
PROSECUTIVE STATUS:

CE 72-119

WITNESSES:

1.  

Winston-Salem, N.C.

observed [redacted] and [redacted] on the first floor of the U.S. District Court, Winston-Salem, N.C., and advised [redacted] and [redacted] that the Grand Jury had recessed for lunch.

2.  

accompanied [redacted] and [redacted] to the second floor of U.S. District Court and [redacted] and [redacted] went directly to Grand Jury Room area. Neither [redacted] nor [redacted] made any attempt to contact USA's office whatsoever. [redacted] and [redacted] entered outer door and [redacted] knocked on door marked Grand Jury; and when a woman's voice said come in, [redacted] walked into the Grand Jury room area and [redacted] stood in the doorway behind him. [redacted] was carrying a large volume of documents and [redacted] asked for the Foreman of the Grand Jury and was told to leave and go to the USA's office.

3.  

Grand Jurors:

All of the above Grand Jurors observed [redacted] enter the Grand Jury room and observed [redacted] in the doorway behind him. All heard him say that he was looking for the Grand Jury and had documents to give him. All observed [redacted] attempt to place the exhibits on the witness table when he was ordered out of the Grand Jury room by Grand Juror, [redacted] and [redacted].
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4. Grand Jurors:

All of the above Grand Jurors observed enter the Grand Jury room on April 20, 1983, but did not hear the full context of his conversation with other Grand Jurors. All observed him carrying large volume of documents into the Grand Jury room area.

5. SA

SA will testify that

6. U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.

Mr. will testify

7. Federal Grand Jury
Middle District of North Carolina

Will identify
8. U.S. District Court
Middle District of North Carolina
Will testify
EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are in the custody of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and have been marked as Grand Jury Exhibits for the Grand Jury:

1.

2.

3.

4.
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for the Winston-Salem Sentinel, was interviewed at the Office of the U.S. Attorney in Winston-Salem relative to his conversations that he had with and on advised that after the Grand Jury had recessed for lunch and some had gone down in the elevators, he was leaving the Federal Building when he saw on the first floor and He stated that he went over to them and began talking to them as he had known from other reporters that had just given a press conference in Greensboro about coming to Winston-Salem in an attempt to see the Grand Jury. advised that he could not recall if he was asked by them if the Grand Jury was in or not, or he just brought it up to them, but he did advise them that the Grand Jury was not in session, that they had gone to lunch.

He stated that after he returned from lunch, he had a further conversation with and and speaking in the presence of had told him that had entered the Grand Jury room looking for the Foreman of the Grand Jury in order to furnish him various documents he had brought for the Grand Jury. He stated that stated that he entered the Grand Jury room when they were invited in after they had knocked on the door and some woman had told them to come in. advised that when they discovered that the foreman was not there, they left and went to the U.S. Attorney's Office. told him at that time that FBI Agent had come in and ordered them out of the U.S. Attorney's Office and later told them that was under investigation for unlawfully attempting to influence the Grand Jury and that the documents he had for the Grand Jury were being seized by him. also told that he and did not intend to leave the documents in the Grand Jury room, but intended to deliver them to the Foreman of the Grand Jury for the Grand Jurors.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription: 4/28/83

---

Mr. [redacted] was advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and told he would be questioned concerning an incident which occurred in the Federal Building in Winston-Salem, North Carolina on [redacted]. Mr. [redacted] was informed that this incident concerned the activities of [redacted] and [redacted] and their entrance into the Federal Grand Jury room on the second floor of the Federal Building at approximately 11:35 a.m.

Mr. [redacted] stated that he exited the elevator on the second floor of the Federal Building and walked into the lobby area at approximately 11:35 a.m. [redacted] had looked at his watch as he left the elevator. He observed Mr. [redacted] and Mr. [redacted] standing in the lobby area speaking with another [redacted] by the name of [redacted]. As Mr. [redacted] approached, [redacted] and [redacted] proceeded from the lobby to the area immediately outside of the Grand Jury room. Mr. [redacted] knocked on the Grand Jury room door and a female voice asked him to come in. Mr. [redacted] opened the Grand Jury room door and stepped inside. Mr. [redacted] also stepped inside of the room. Mr. [redacted] stated that he remained in the hallway, but observed the activity of [redacted] and Mr. [redacted] through the open door.

[redacted] stated that once inside the door, Mr. [redacted] inquired of a female Grand Juror whether Mr. [redacted] of the Grand Jury, was available to speak. The female Grand Juror told Mr. [redacted] that Mr. [redacted] was not in and he had gone to lunch. Mr. [redacted] then asked where the Justice Department Attorney, [redacted] could be found. He was informed by the female Grand Juror that Mr. [redacted] was probably in the office of the United States Attorney, which was located on the opposite side of the building. Mr. [redacted] stated emphatically that Mr. [redacted] did not do or say anything else, did not attempt to hand anyone any documents nor did he attempt to place any documents on the table. Mr. [redacted] advised that during the time [redacted] was in the room, he maintained the documents which he had in his possession in his hands.

Mr. [redacted] was asked to leave the Grand Jury room and he did so, without saying anything or creating a protest. Mr. [redacted] followed [redacted] and both then went to the office of the United States Attorney on the opposite side of the Federal Building. Mr. [redacted]
stated that Mr. was polite at all times and used no abusive or coercive language. He did not attempt to give any documents to anyone in the Grand Jury room nor did he attempt to have a conversation with members of the Grand Jury about matters they were currently investigating. Mr. did not say anything to anyone, but merely stood just inside of the Grand Jury room.

In closing, Mr. stated that he is an employee of and that he would be available for testimony in this matter.
A Grand Juror, was interviewed at the U.S. District Court at Winston-Salem, North Carolina. Mrs. advised that she had been a Grand Juror since the Grand Jury was in session at Winston-Salem, North Carolina. At approximately 11:30 or 11:35, the Grand Jury took its luncheon recess, and she, was in the break room behind the deliberation room with a number of Grand Jurors. She stated that she heard a knock on the door and a number of the Grand Jurors said to come in, assuming it was the attorneys or the Marshals who were obtaining entrance for the afternoon session. advised that as she got up, to see who had entered the room, she started walking up into the deliberation room area and she observed enter the room. She stated she went into the deliberation room to see what he wanted, and as she approached him, she also saw Mr. approach him also. She stated that he was carrying a large amount of documents, and he was standing near the witness table and he was starting to place the documents on the table. She stated that she told him that he could not stay there and he turned to her and stated he was looking for Grand Jury, and that he had some papers to give him. Mrs. advised she told him he could not remain in the Grand Jury room and that he must see the U.S. Attorney. She stated that she pointed him in the direction of the U.S. Attorney's Office and directed him out the door. Standing in the doorway, with his head in the doorway looking around in the Grand Jury room was Mr. advised behind Mr. standing in the hallway area, was who had also testified before the Grand Jury. advised that as left the Grand Jury room area, he asked her to tell Mr. that was there and that he wanted to see him.

advised that it concerned her that entered the Grand Jury room in that manner, as an incident like that had never happened before, and no witness never came into the Grand Jury without being properly summoned.
Grand Juror, was interviewed at Winston-Salem, North Carolina, relative to the events of

Mr. advised that he had been impaneled as a

Grand Juror since and on they were in session, hearing evidence just prior to their deliberations. At approximately 11:30 AM, the Grand Jurors broke for lunch and some Grand Jurors left, others stayed in the deliberation room area, and others had gone back to the break room which was an adjoining room with the deliberation room.

advised that he heard a knock on the door, and several of the Grand Jurors, including himself, said come in.

was of the opinion that the knock on the door was either the Marshal or the attorney or the agent from the Grand Jury who was requesting permission to come in to obtain exhibits or prepare for the afternoon session.

For this reason, he said come in, assuming it was one of the attorneys and as he looked up, he observed carrying a large pack of papers walk into the Grand Jury room and walk directly towards the witness table. As he started to put the papers down, said that he wished to speak to Mr. the Grand Jury. advised that he told him that was not there and that the Grand Jury was at lunch and that he could not stay in there.

At that time, started moving towards and with her hands, began to order him out the door. stated that he never did put down the papers but held the papers as he walked backwards to the door and was telling him to leave the Grand Jury area and told him to go to the U.S. Attorney's Office.

advised that Mr. Mr. was not in the room but was standing in the doorway, looking into the room. He stated he did not hear state anything. He stated behind him was another individual, who was standing in the hallway of the Grand Jury room entrance. advised that after the incident, he immediately went to advise the attorneys and the FBI Agent of what had occurred.
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Grand Juror, was interviewed at Winston-Salem, North Carolina, relative to the events of 11. Mr. advised that he has been a Grand Juror since and on after a morning session, the Grand Jury broke for lunch at approximately 11:30 AM. Approximately 10 minutes later, advised he heard a knock at the door, and some of the Grand Jury members in the deliberation room area stated for the individual to come in. advised that his impression was that it was one of the attorneys returning back from lunch and getting prepared for the afternoon session.

advised that he saw who he recognized from his previous testimony, and also from his television appearances, enter the Grand Jury room. walked directly towards the witness table and was carrying a briefcase and a large volume of documents in his hands. He stated he started to put all the packages on the witness table, and as he was doing so was asking for the the Grand Jury, Mr. advised that one of the Grand Jurors, told another Grand Juror, told him that he could not be in the Grand Jury area and to get out of the area. advised she also told him to go to the U.S. Attorney's Office.

advised that directly behind standing in the doorway, was who he recognized from previous testimony. advised was in the doorway, and behind him was the and neither one actually went into the Grand Jury room other than the entrance of the doorway. advised that entrance into the Grand Jury room concerned him, and he immediately advised the FBI Agent who was assigned as investigator for the Grand Jury.
was interviewed at Winston-Salem, North Carolina, relative to the events which occurred on in the Federal Grand Jury room at the U.S. District Court at Winston-Salem, North Carolina. advised that she had been impaneled as a Grand Juror since and on they were deliberating and hearing evidence in Winston-Salem, North Carolina. advised that at approximately 11:30 AM, the Grand Jurors had recessed for lunch and sometime before 12:00, she was not sure of the exact time, after they had taken their lunch break, she heard a knock at the door. advised that there were six or seven Grand Jury members in the rear of the Grand Jury room having lunch and there were a few others in the deliberation room where they would hear evidence, and the government exhibits were on display. Mrs. advised that when she heard the knock on the door, she assumed it was a Grand Jury member returning from lunch, or one of the attorneys who was preparing for the afternoon session. Mrs. advised that no witness ever entered the Grand Jury room without being accompanied by an attorney and that the only people who would enter the room were the attorneys or the Marshals relative to obtaining documents in preparation for the session.

As the door opened, she observed walk into the room, and he was accompanied by another man who stood in the doorway and was looking around. She stated that was carrying a briefcase in one hand and had a large volume of documents in the other hand that he started to leave at the witness table. addressed one of the jurors as he came in and stated that he wanted to see Mr. the Grand Jury. One of the Grand Jurors stated to him that he could not come in the Grand Jury room. The other man with him, who she did not know, but was later identified to her as did not enter the room but stood in the doorway and was looking around in the Grand Jury room. She stated she never heard that man state anything while he was standing in the doorway.

Mrs. advised that this event scared her, and she was shocked to see enter the Grand Jury room in that manner.
Mrs. [blank] advised that she followed one of the other Grand Jurors into the deliberation room area, and that behind the individual who she learned to be [blank] was another man, [blank] who had also appeared before the Grand Jury. She stated that [blank] did not come in the Grand Jury room and he also did not say anything. Mrs. [blank] advised that [blank] the other Grand Juror, went directly to [blank] as he was standing in the room with the documents in his hand and told him that he could not come into this Grand Jury room, and to get out and he should contact the U.S. Attorney's Office.
Grand Juror for the

Grand Jury, was interviewed at Winston-Salem, North Carolina, relative to his observation of the events of

Mr. advised that he had been impaneled as a member of the Grand Jury and that during their recess for lunch on, sometime between 11:30 and 11:45 AM, he heard a knock on the door, and one of the Grand Jury members, whose voice he did not recognize, said come in.

advised that he assumed it was one of the attorneys who was returning to the Grand Jury room in preparation for the afternoon session and that whenever the attorneys or the Marshals needed entrance into the room, they would knock prior to entering.

advised that when the door opened up, came into the room and he had his hand full of various papers and documents and was also carrying a briefcase. advised that he heard speak to the Grand Jurors who were in the deliberation room and was asking for the another Grand Juror, told him that was at lunch, and at that point, another Grand Juror, began to motion to leave the room and ushered him out the door.
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a Grand Jury member, was interviewed at Winston-Salem, North Carolina, relative to the events of
advised that she had been a Grand Juror
since
advised the Grand Jury was in session on
advised the Grand Jury broke for lunch
at approximately 11:30 and somewhere just before 12:00, approximately
11:55, she heard a knock on the door, and the door opened.

advised that she was in the break room, and when she sat back to see
who was coming in, she saw entering the room. She
advised was carrying a large amount of papers in his hand,
and that immediately left the break room and went up and
told he could not stay in the Grand Jury room. She stated
that somebody else was in the doorway and she could see this
individual looking around the Grand Jury room but did not know who
this individual was. She stated that when confronted
and ordered him from the Grand Jury room,
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was interviewed at the U.S. District Court, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, relative to the events of

advised that she has been a Grand Jury member since [redacted], and that on [redacted], the Grand Jury was in session. She stated the Grand Jury had gone to lunch at approximately 11:30, and that she stayed behind and was having her lunch in the break room area.

stated that she was sitting at the table when she heard a little knock on the door, and then the door opened up and she saw [redacted] come in with his arms full of papers and carrying a briefcase.

advised that another man was standing in the doorway, directly behind [redacted] looking around the Grand Jury room.

advised that she did not hear what [redacted] said, as she became scared, when she realized who it was and did not know how he had gotten into the Grand Jury room. stated that all she knew was that other Grand Jury members approached [redacted] and ordered him out of the Grand Jury room.
was interviewed at the U.S. District Court, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, relative to the events of

advised that she had been a Grand Jury member since [redacted], and on [redacted], the Grand Jury was in session. At approximately 11:30 AM, the Grand Jury had broke for lunch and approximately 15 or 20 minutes later, she heard a knock on the door, and she assumed it was attorneys or some Grand Jurors returning back from lunch.

She stated the door opened up and she saw [redacted] enter the room. She stated she immediately recognized him from his other appearance in the Grand Jury. She stated there were two other men in the doorway, whom she did not know.

walked in the Grand Jury room and went over to the table used by the witnesses. She stated [redacted] was carrying a large amount of papers and a briefcase and that one of the Grand Jurors stopped him, and ordered him out of the Grand Jury room.
I was interviewed at the U.S. District Court, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, relative to the events of

advised that she had been a Grand Juror since ____ and that the Grand Jury was in session on ____. The Grand Jury had broke for lunch at approximately 11:30, and just before 12:00, she heard a knock on the door. ________________ advised she was sitting in the break room, and some Grand Jurors told the person to come on in. ________ advised that she assumed it was an attorney coming back from lunch, to get ready for the afternoon session, and when she looked up, she saw walk in the doorway. She stated ________________ stopped near the table where the foreman sits, and he was carrying with him a bunch of papers.

__________ advised she heard __________ ask for the _____, and that he had some notes for him.

__________ advised that ______ and ____ other Grand Jurors, immediately went up to ______ and escorted him out of the Grand Jury room and told him to go to the U.S. Attorney's Office.

She stated that accompanying was a man, a young man who was standing in the doorway and had his head in the Grand Jury room looking around. She stated that she did not know who this young man was, but understood it was
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During the afternoon recess for lunch for the Federal Grand Jurors at the U.S. District Court in Winston-Salem, North Carolina, the attorneys for the Grand Jury, and myself, were in the U.S. Attorney's Office having a conference relative to the summary testimony which was being presented to the Grand Jurors.

As I was on the phone talking with SA at Raleigh, North Carolina, relative to information which had been received from newspaper reporters regarding press release on April 20, 1983, the door to the U.S. Attorney's opened and entered the room without knocking. Because of the nature of the conversation that I was having with SA on the telephone, and were ordered to leave the U.S. Attorney's Office and told that when the attorneys were free, they would see them.

Shortly thereafter, was invited into the U.S. Attorney's Office and was asked in front of the three attorneys what information he had for the Grand Jury or for the Department of Justice attorneys relative to November 3rd that he was to furnish the Grand Jury. stated that he had no information he wished to give, and that he had been merely requested to accompany and into the Office of the U.S. Attorney.

At that time, was excused, and and were invited into the U.S. Attorney's Office.

When and arrived in the office, was carrying a large amount of documents, which he showed to the attorneys. and began talking with the attorneys relative to appearance before the Grand Jury so that he could furnish the documents which he gave to the attorneys to the Foreman of the Grand Jury.

The Departmental Attorneys, and began to review the documents which were furnished by and asked several questions of and as to the authorship of these documents.
At first, refused to answer the question relative to the authorship of the documents, and accused the attorneys of refusing to give the information to the Grand Jury and that the attorneys were in the process of "screening" the material that the Grand Jury was seeing.

After several more questions by the attorneys, finally acknowledged that the documents in his possession had been prepared by and by himself, and other attorneys of the Greensboro Justice Fund for presentation to the Grand Jury.

When asked by myself as to who would appear before the Grand Jury and swear under oath that the information contained in the documents to be presented to the Grand Jury was truthful, neither or would respond.

U.S. Department of Justice Attorney, demanded to know when these documents were prepared, and by whom, and during this part of the conversation, a knock came on the door and I answered it.

At that time, a Grand Jury member was at the door, and I stepped outside and talked to him. The Grand Jury member advised me that he wanted to alert that were in the area and that they had gone into the Grand Jury room and had attempted to see the Foreman of the Grand Jury.

The Grand Juror also advised me that they had in their possession these documents which they were looking to give Mr.

At that time, I returned back to the U.S. Attorney's Office where the conferences were taking place between and the Departmental Attorneys, and I advised to leave the U.S. Attorney's Office that I had discovered that they had made an unauthorized entrance into the Grand Jury room when the Grand Jury was at a recess, and that they should immediately leave the U.S. Attorney's Office and be aware of the fact that it was a violation of law to make such an entry into the Grand Jury room.

stated that if we wanted to talk about that, we would talk about that later, and again he was ordered to leave. At this point, U.S. Departmental Attorney advised that this was the office of the U.S. Attorney, and that he, as a representative of that office, was demanding that and
immediately leave the U.S. Attorney's Office until this matter could be further investigated.

Approximately 45 minutes later, during the period in which U.S. Magistrate ELIASON was advised of the intrusion into the Grand Jury room, and requested a full FBI investigation of the intrusion, _______ and _______ returned to the U.S. Attorney's Office, and _______ stated that he wanted the documents he left in the U.S. Attorney's Office returned. _______ was advised that the documents would not be returned, that they had been taken into my custody and I would maintain these documents and he should be further advised that he was under investigation for an unlawful attempt to influence the Grand Jury. _______ advised that he wanted to hear from _______ and he was told that he would not be permitted to see any of the attorneys, and that he had heard it from me and that was satisfactory. At that point, _______ and _______ made other accusations to the interviewer about his involvement in November 3, 1979, at which point the contact was terminated by interviewer closing the door on them.
To: DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTN: CIVIL RIGHTS DIV., RM 5131

From: SAC, CHARLOTTE (72-OFFICE INVESTIGATION)

Subject: GREENWAL CIVIL RIGHTS

Attached is a facsimile of an FD-302 interview with

Mr.

RECEIVED
TELETYPRE UNIT

Date 4/29/83

FBI

ATLANT.

CIVIL RIGHTS

ATTORNEY, GREENSBORO, N.C.

IMMEDIATE

44-8/1521-4/63X1

OCT 24 1986

17 NOV 1 2 1986
SAC, Charlotte (44-3527)
Attention: SA

Director, FBI (80-5)

POLYGRAPH MATTERS
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A technical review of the polygraph examination documents pertaining to the examination of ______ on 4/1/83 has been completed. This review disclosed that the examination is excellent in all aspects and review personnel concur with the results of the examination.

All documents are enclosed herewith, for appropriate filing.
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**POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Report</th>
<th>Date(s) of Examination</th>
<th>Bureau File Number</th>
<th>Field File Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 5, 1983</td>
<td>April 1, 1983</td>
<td>CE 44A-3527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Office or Agency Requesting Examination**

FBI, Charlotte

**Date Authorized**

March 25, 1983

**Authorizing Official**

SAC, Charlotte

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examinee Name (last, first, middle)</th>
<th>SSN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Birth</th>
<th>Place of Birth</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>□</th>
<th>□</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Case Synopsis**

was present during the violence at Greensboro, N. C., on November 3, 1979, but denies ever seeing any fellow Klansman firing a weapon.
Examination Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No Deception Indicated</th>
<th>Inconclusive</th>
<th>Pre-test Confession/Admission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deception Indicated</td>
<td>No Opinion</td>
<td>Post-test Confession/Admission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examiner's Conclusion

The polygraph examination included the following relevant questions:
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**POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION WORKSHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examinee Name (last, first, middle)</th>
<th>Alias and/or Nicknames</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Address</th>
<th>Marital Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination (was) (was not)</th>
<th>Number Years Education</th>
<th>Previous Polygraph Examination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requested by Examinee</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PERSONAL HISTORY OF EXAMINEE**

**Education**
- Dropped out of 10th grade.
- N.C.

**Employment History Incl. Military**
- This Examinee is usually employed as a
- He is currently employed at
- N.C.

**Arrests - Convictions**
- Arrested on 5 counts of

**Physical Health - Medication**
- Examinee advised he is in good health and experiencing no discomfort of any kind.

**TEST DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time In</th>
<th>Month/Day/Year</th>
<th>Time Out</th>
<th>Type of Test</th>
<th>ZOC</th>
<th>MGQT</th>
<th>R-I</th>
<th>POT</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/1/83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument Manufacturer</th>
<th>Model Number</th>
<th>Serial Number</th>
<th>Date Last Calibrated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**

A stimulation test was administered immediately following the first chart with the desired results.

44-81521-463X2

80-5-18036
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examiner (typed Name)  
Special Agent  
Field Office Assignment  
Charlotte
AIRTEL

5/24/83

Director, FBI
SAC, Charlotte (44A-3527)

GREENKIL: ROY CLINTON TONEY - FUGITIVE (A); ET AL
CR
Ø: Charlotte

Re Charlotte FD-65 dated 4/22/83.

On 5/13/83, a check of NCIC disclosed no FBI entry for captioned subject.

By return airtel, advise FBIHQ, Attn: Fugitive/General Government Crimes Unit, CID, of the status of this matter.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 5/24/83 BY SP02-FF0C

MAY 24, 1983

Execl AD Adm.
Execl AD Inv.
Execl AD LBS
Ass't Dir.:
Adm. Servs.
Crim. Inv.
Ident.
Inspr.
Intell.
Lab.
Legal Coun.
Rec. Mgmt.
Tech. Servs.
Training
Telephone Rm.
Director's Sec'y

MAIL ROOM

9 MAY 27, 1983
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-81521)

FROM: SAC, DENVER (157-2015) (RUC)

GREENKIL
CIVIL RIGHTS
OO: CHARLOTTE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 6/6/83

Re Charlotte teletype to the Bureau, 6/6/83.

For information, enclosed for the Bureau, Charlotte and Los Angeles are a copy each of 2 memorandums from SA dated 11/8/79 and 11/9/79, a 16-page insert provided by the New York Office and a photograph of ___

The insert from New York is self-explanatory and concerns background information regarding ___. The source of information referred to in Denver communication 11/9/79, is Mr. ___ of the group known as "The Jewish Shield." Denver has had no contact from ___ since that time and is in possession of no additional information than that provided with this communication, not already known to the Bureau and Charlotte.

Denver did not open a Civil Rights substantive file in this case. This was discussed with Division 6 on 11/8/79, which instructed Denver simply to handle this matter out of its 157-Civil Unrest case for the purpose of reporting any unsolicited information received by Denver.

It should be noted Denver had no further contact with SA since 11/9/79, due to the fact that at that time he advised he was threatening to send tapes of conversations between himself and FBI Agents (not further identified) to the press, noting that they (the tapes) could be damaging.

ENVELOPE

1 - Bureau (Enc. 4)
2 - Charlotte (Enc. 4) (44A-3527)
2 - Los Angeles (Enc. 4)
1 - Denver
    (7)

Approved: sir

53 JUN 29 1983
Memorandum

SAC, DENVER (157-2015) (P)  

DATE: 11/8/79  

FROM: SA  
SUBJECT: GREENKIL  

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  

On 11/8/79, an individual calling himself appeared at the Denver Office of the FBI and advised as follows:

He has for the last 1 1/2 years endeavored to identify members of the American Nazi Party and Ku Klux Klan. In doing so, he has obtained identifying data concerning these individuals, however, he has also been informally in the New York City area. He advised that AUSA in New York City may have a case against him. He requested that she be contacted concerning this matter, but would still not provide his name, stating only that the Agent use the name when asking about this matter. He stated that she would know who he was. He advised that if the FBI would attempt to help him with this charge, he would provide information concerning the Klan, its proposed violent activities, weapons and explosives caches and whether or not Klan members in the Denver area planned to go to Greensboro, North Carolina, over the weekend of 11/10-11/79. He stated he had

He cited as proof, the fact that on 11/2/79, at about noon, he made an anonymous phone call to SA of the Resident Agency and warned him that (FNU) and (FNU) (PHONETIC) were planning violence over the weekend of 11/3-4/79. He admitted that when talking with he was evasive and non-specific in providing information, fearing it could be traced back to him.

This individual had with him two notebooks, which he displayed, stating they contained notes concerning an estimated 1,000 American Nazi Party and KKK members. He would volunteer only the following information:

Knights of the KKK. He has living with him one also a Klan member. works for

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
is the Klan's leader. 
 is the leader of the Klan group.
 (phonetic) and his wife, are Klan members and live in phone

Klan members "stake out" the Federal Building and photograph all individuals they believe to be FBI Agents.

(phonetic) is the Klan . He resides at .
 is a Klansman, home phone . He is alleged to have a Thompson .45 caliber sub-machine gun, fully automatic.

is a Klansman, who owns many handguns.
 is a Klansman, however, the Klan is convinced he is an informant for the or .

is a Klan member and a , and resides with another Klans member.
 telephone

He advised that he was working on determining if any Klan members were going to Greensboro this weekend and planned any violent activities and would return to the Denver FBI Office with more information and photos of local Klansmen target practicing with weapons.

The writing Agent contacted AUSA NYC and explained the situation to her, requesting she identify this individual and any charges currently against him. She stated that he is known to her as or (phonetic) a somewhat led the . She suggested that NYO SA be contacted for further information.
At about this same time, Supervisor ______ was telephonically contacted and apprised of the situation. He stated Agent ______ was out of the office at that time, but confirmed that ______ had told him he had, in fact, received an anonymous phone call on 11/2/79, as the aforementioned individual had stated. He advised that ______ had stated the caller was very evasive and furnished no specific information that could be disseminated in order to prevent what actually occurred.

Supervisor ______ requested that any positive information concerning travel of Klan members from Denver to Greensboro for the weekend of 11/10-11/79, be furnished the Charlotte Office.

Shortly thereafter, SA ______, NYC, was contacted and advised that ______ is well known to members of his squad, especially SAs ______ and ______. He described ______ as ______. He stated that ______ was at one time ______ and that most recently, ______ has been ______. He stated he believes that a Grand Jury indictment may be forthcoming, but had no specific facts. He stated he would attempt to have SA ______ telephonically call the writing Agent on 11/8/79.

Shortly after this, ______ reappeared at the Denver Office with his aforementioned notebooks and a large brown folder. He requested to know if the interviewing Agent had contacted AUSA ______ and Agent ______ and he was advised of the above, to the extent that certain information had been verified. He was confronted with his true identity and admitted to same. He asked whether or not the FBI would assist him in having any charges in New York City dropped and was advised that no charges were currently outstanding. He was further assured that if a Grand Jury indictment was obtained, he would be afforded the opportunity to explain his reason for ______ produced several news publications and articles of Klan handouts and news letters as well as ______.
which he alleged was __________ He was advised that Attorney General's guidelines would preclude the FBI from copying these documents or recording any information other than the identities of members of the KKK or related organizations, who might have a propensity towards violence and engage in travel to the Greensboro, North Carolina, area for a proposed confrontation on the weekend of 11/10-11/79.

He advised that he wished to provide the following information to the FBI, inasmuch as he feels it might help identify those persons having a propensity towards violence, their sources of weapons and/or explosives and associates, who might also be prone towards violence:

The 1980 Mountain Bell Telephone Directory lists the Knights of the Ku Klux Klan as having phone number 232-8373. This phone is located __________ A second phone is located __________ subscribed to by __________.

__________ a Klansman, has weapons and explosives. His phone number __________ has been disconnected. Prior to having his phone disconnected, however, he made calls to the following:

Long Beach, California  
Omaha, Nebraska  
Morgantown, North Carolina  
Los Angeles, California  
Berkeley, California  

__________ (supra) resides at __________, leader of the __________ den, frequently calls the following numbers:

__________ Colorado  
Chicago, Illinois Coloradd  
El Monte, California and  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin
DN 157-2015

[redacted] has a friend named [redacted] who is believed to be a Klan member. She has a phone number of [redacted].

The [redacted] gunsmith is [redacted] telephone number [redacted] (possibly identical to the previously-mentioned [redacted]).

[redacted], Avenue, is a Klan member.

[redacted], head of the Klan in [redacted] used to call [redacted] (disconnected) in [redacted] on several occasions. He also called:

Dillon [redacted]
Littleton [redacted]

The Klan has a gun dealer as their main supplier in a place called Palmers Guns (504) 684-3479, somewhere in Louisiana.

[redacted], formerly with the American Nazi Party, now with [redacted] Klan group, is talking about [redacted] group, however, his intentions while in the area, are unknown. The group will [redacted] location unknown, telephone number [redacted] California, telephone number [redacted] may go to Greensboro and is usually heavily armed.

[redacted], telephone number [redacted], resides in [redacted] and has a lot of weapons.

[redacted] would not comment on his source for all of this information, however, he advised that the Klan is saying that "a lot of Commies are going to Greensboro."

In regard to the Denver problem, he advised that ever since [redacted] confronted the Klan has been calling advertisers who deal with this [redacted] and telling them that the Klan would "get them" if they did not drop their advertising.

He alleged that several Denver and Englewood policemen are either Klan members or sympathizers and that the SO in Georgetown has several Klan sympathizers.
He alleged that the Village Bar, I-70 and Federal, is a Klan meeting place.

At about 6:00 pm, this same evening, telephonically contacted the Denver FBI Office and spoke with Clerk. He stated that at about 5:30 pm, four or five Klan members had stopped the
where

At 6:00 am, 11/8/79, telephonically contacted the writing Agent to advise that four Klansmen were parked outside the aforementioned. He further stated he had information on Klan rallies coming up in Pennsylvania and California and would recontact the writing Agent.
TO: SAC, DENVER (175-2015) (P)  
FROM: SA  
SUBJECT: GREENKIL  
DATE: 11/9/79

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED.

On 11/6/79, SA interviewed Mr. of the telephone number expressed concern over the KKK and threats allegedly made by the Klan to certain members of the Jewish community of Denver. He mentioned his affiliation with Magan Jehudi (phonetic), which means the Jewish Shield and identified the following individuals as Klansmen:

- described as blond hair, years old, who allegedly has a
- described as, with brown hair
- years old, allegedly an
- a man whose last name is, possibly
- described as, pounds, usually armed, drives an
- and is the
- He stated that lives at and drives a
- He stated that his concern is that information has come to him that the Klan holds shooting practice sessions and has a new group called "The Inner Circle" that is violence prone and they are threatening
- He stated that they have a
- placed there by Mr.
- Mr., according to from Denver PD and currently the, is a former member of the Magan Jehudi, of which is the leader.
- source in the Jewish Community states that La Raza Unida is now calling the KKK because of their racist demeanor and that the Klan feels that the Jewish community is giving militant Chicanos their phone numbers and addresses.

On 11/8/79, Mr. confirmed the suspicions of the Klan, but denied that the Magan Jehudi is giving out such
information and expressed a desire to aid the FBI and Denver PD in attempting to quash any violence in the Denver area between the Klansmen and the Jewish community. He stated that he was setting up a meeting with Officer ______ and would provide any intelligence that came to his attention that might aid the FBI in identifying "rabble rousers" in either the Jewish community or among the Klan or Chicano communities.

Mr. ______ stated that he knew that one ______ had been making some phone calls possibly to Klansmen and stirring up trouble and that he had personally ______. He stated that if ______ calls him as he is supposed to, he is going to tell ______ now that he has confirmed his suspicions of ______ having made phone calls that he will "break both his arms and legs if he comes back into town." He stated that he is quite sure from talking to his intelligence sources that ______ is the cause of most of the harassment back and forth between the Jewish community and the KKK.
At the request of Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) Southern District of New York (SDNY), the following insert has been prepared. Attached as supplements to this insert are an interview of Lieutenant Jersey City Police Department on December 8, 1978, two interview on January 23, 1979 and January 26, 1979, and an interview of on February 23, 1979.

At approximately 1:15 a.m., October 28, 1977, the New York City Police Department (NYCPD) arrested for then a juvenile, allegedly. A search of disclosed numerous papers and pamphlets relating to the Jewish Defense League (JDL), including names and addresses of JDL members and organizations throughout the United States. refused to be interview by the arresting officers. Since this matter was not in Federal jurisdiction, the case was closed without obtaining final disposition on

At 12:40 a.m., February 7, 1978, Unknown Subject (Unsub) caller telephoned the New York Office and said or knows who committed the firebombing of the home of Office of Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations, on February 5, 1978.

Unsub said approaching at school would result in possible violence.

Unsub was highly inquisitive about Bureau policies and methods. Unsub made numerous attempts to start a conversation on the rights of individuals and whether the Weather Underground was still operational.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
A former confidential source, who has provided reliable information in the past, advised during the Spring of 1978, the source obtained and obtained

New York. The

Before reaching the

At approximately 4:00 a.m., on August 4, 1978, United Press International (UPI), New York, New York, received a telephone call from an anonymous male who stated "this is the Jewish Armed Resistance. We have a statement to make that any Nazi, neo-Nazi black or white, or any person who is anti-semitic will be taken care of under our auspices. We will not allow anybody to push Jews around in any manner. Tonight you will find out about a bomb going on involving a Nazi". The UPI reporter asked if a bomb had been planted. The caller stated "No, but we will". The UPI reporter stated the caller then began to rant about and at which time the call was terminated. At approximately 6:08 a.m., August 4, 1978, UPI received another telephone call from an anonymous male who sounded as though he were talking through a device to alter his voice. The caller sounded like a young male and made the following statement, "I have a press release. This is the Jewish Armed Resistance. At a bomb will go off in five minutes. The lives there. The National Renaissance Party is a neo-Nazi organization". NYPD responded to and located a Molotov Cocktail in the vestibule. The device consisted of a plain clear bottle containing a flammable liquid similar to mineral spirits such as paint thinner. Rags were stuffed in the top of the bottle for a fuse. The occupant of at the of the
The aforementioned former confidential source advised that one of his tactics is to have also called Nazi organizations and has felt that he is possibly involved in the attempted firebombing at his residence.

On December 1, 1978, a source advised he is acquainted with a person who stated he is unpredictable in his actions and is avoided by most who know him. He is secretive in nature, and refuses to tell anyone where he is living, stating he gives his address to no one.

On December 5, 1978, exact time unknown, UPI, New York City, received a telephone call from an anonymous male who stated, "We have just burned in New Jersey, this is the Jewish Armed Resistance, never again".
At 4:50 a.m., on December 5, 1978, a fire gutted the front portion of a residence at [redacted], New Jersey. Fire officials on the scene advised that it appeared that a flammable liquid was poured on the front of the residence and set afire.

Investigation at [redacted] determined the resident to be [redacted]. According to [redacted] mother, her son was associated with the Klu Klux Klan in 1958 and is or was affiliated with the Nazi movement.

At 5:00 a.m., on December 6, 1978, [redacted] observed an unidentified male lottering in front of his residence. [redacted] followed the male until he was able to notify Port Authority Police who detained individual until Jersey City Police arrived. Individual identified himself as [redacted]. Date of Birth [redacted]. Residence [redacted] Street, and [redacted] New York. [redacted] advised that the Street address is the residence of [redacted] with whom he stays on occasion (attached is FD 302 concerning [redacted] interview).

At approximately 3:15 a.m., December 14, 1978, a firebomb was thrown threw a window of St. Dimitru's Romanian Orthodox Church, 50 West 89th Street, New York, New York. Fire damage to this church extended from the main floor windows to the middle of the third floor. Extensive smoke and water damage resulted.
At 3:39 a.m. and 4:55 a.m., December 14, 1978, anonymous telephone calls were made to UPI, New York City. The caller stated the Jewish Armed Resistance placed a firebomb at the Romanian Church, 50 West 89th Street, because members of the Iron Guard hold meetings there.

Files reveal the Iron Guard was founded in Romania in 1922. The organization apparently is a Nationalistic organization and anti-semetic in nature. During World War II, the Iron Guard sided with Germany.

Investigation by the New York Fire Marshall's Office located traces of a flammable liquid on a window sill below the window outside. Also recovered in the debris were pieces of a Budweiser beer bottle, which also showed traces of a flammable liquid.

On December 16, 1978, aforementioned former confidential source advised is unstable and generally not trusted by anyone. No one will participate in an "action" with him. He is difficult to locate but sometimes he can be reached at telephone number

Investigation determined subscribed

to by Street, New York.

Same source also advised that from a description of the action against the Romanian Church, source feels is a likely suspect.

On January 23, 1979 appeared at the New York Office. (See attached interview, dated January 23, 1979.) refused to provide his home address. He stated he was not a member of the JDL, however, he has been associating with numerous members of the JDL and has spent several days hanging around the JDL offices in New York City reading numerous JDL publications.
admitted he was stopped and questioned by two police officers in Jersey City, New Jersey, on December 6, 1978. He admitted identifying himself as [Name]. He denied involvement in the firebombing at a residence in Jersey City, New Jersey. He stated he was in the area waiting for a bus to go to see friends in Bayonne, New Jersey. He refused to identify his friends in Bayonne, New Jersey, stating it was a very personal situation. He was asked if he was familiar with an individual named [Name], New Jersey. He corrected the interviewing agent's pronunciation of the last name and advised that at the time he was stopped in Jersey City he did not know where he was going. After the incident in Jersey City, he learned that an individual named [Name] was associated with a group of Nazi Party supporters. He also denied involvement in the attempted firebombing of the residence of [Name] in New York, and the firebombing of the Romanian Orthodox Church, 50 West 89th Street, New York, New York. He advised that he had no information, nor was he involved in any harassing phone calls to people involved in the Nazi Party Movement. He was asked for some residence or phone number where he could be located, and he again refused to provide the interview agents with any information as how he might be contacted at a later date. He advised that he was performing analysis and investigative work for a concern called the [Name]. He stated he was not an official employee of this group, however, he worked for an individual he refused to identify, who was in charge of work involving Nazi groups.

At the time of the interview, he had in his possession a notebook containing names of individuals he claims are in various right-wing organizations such as the Klu Klux Klan, the American Nazi Movement, and the Minutemen. He stated that he obtained these names, phone numbers, and in some instances telephone toll records, through his own investigation. He stated that in his opinion the group calling itself the Jewish Armed Resistance was not a group for organization but was the name used by individuals claiming credit for militant Jewish actions.
At this point, stated that the Jewish Armed Resistance could be a group of individuals belonging to a clandestine underground organization, and that during his association and investigation of different Jewish militant groups, he had received no information that a group called the Jewish Armed Resistance, nor did he have any information as to who might be a member of the Jewish Armed Resistance. stated he did not wish to provide interviewing agents with a tape recording of his voice, his fingerprints, or a photograph. provided the following descriptive information:

Name:
Also Known As:
Date and Place of Birth:
Height:
Weight:
Build:
Hair:
Eyes:

was again interviewed on January 26, 1979.

stated he was planning to leave the New York area and travel to Philadelphia for two or three weeks where he would be residing with a who could be contacted by telephone stated that following his visit in Philadelphia, he was planning to travel to Denver, Colorado, for approximately one month and then return to to attend school. added that is located near
was again asked if he had any knowledge of a group called the Jewish Armed Resistance and any knowledge concerning who may be responsible for several firebombings in the New York-New Jersey area, which are claimed by a group calling itself the Jewish Armed Resistance. denied any knowledge of a group called the Jewish Armed Resistance and denied any knowledge of who might be responsible for firebombings and arsons claimed by that group. admitted formerly residing at New York. stated this former address was part of the information he furnished to police officers in Jersey City, New Jersey, on December 6, 1978. At this point, advised he did not wish to be interviewed any further.

Federal Grand Jury, Southern District of New York (SDNY) on

On January 24, 1979,

advised has admitted to members of the JDL, names unknown, that he committed the firebombings at the residence of New Jersey on December 6, 1978, and the attempted firebombing of the residence of Street, New York, on August 4, 1978. stated also mentioned the firebombing at the Romanian Orthodox Church, 50 West 89th Street, New York, New York, on December 18, 1978, however, his comment did not indicate he actually committed the firebombing.

described and probably did the above actions alone, since no one wants to work with him. advised is friendly with

According to newspaper articles, on or about February 20, 1979, a white male described as wearing disheveled clothes and a white arm band with eagle and swastika, appeared alone at the United States National Park Service Office near Independence Hall in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The individual, apparently using a phony college identification card, made out an application for a permit to hold a Nazi rally at the Independence Mall in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on February 25, 1979. On the application, the individual
asked permission to shout "Hitler was right" and to chant "Gas Commie Jews". The application stated the individual promised 100 co-believers at the rally. The individual gave his name as stated on the application that his purpose was to "show white masses the unity of the White race and to show the world that niggers and Jews are cowards". used a 1975 identification card from a New York college bearing the name told a United States Park Ranger that was his middle name and that he had added an extra "n" to his last name to make it conform to the German spelling. gave references of the National Socialist White People's Party in Chicago, Illinois, and the New Jersey Ku Klux Klan.

On February 21, 1979, advised his organization has a tape recording of a man calling himself stated he was an associate of the individual who applied for the parade permit in Philadelphia. said that voice matches the voice of the person who answers the telephone at JDL Headquarters. stated his organization has a JDL infiltrator who found out that is really a young Jewish man named or

According to news articles, a JDL member in Philadelphia, was contacted and advised the news media that has been staying with him for approximately two weeks. would not say whether or not was actually
Further news articles indicate that according to testimony in United States District Court, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, student identification card was lost by a Belgian music student who lives in New York. According to interviews of the student advised he lost his passport and student identification card approximately two years ago. According to Philadelphia Police, the New York State Police have reportedly arrested who has used telephone number and address. was allegedly a former member of the.

According to news articles, on February 23, 1979, a Federal Judge cancelled the permit for the rally noting that legitimate questions had been raised about identity and political affiliations.

This incident caused widespread news coverage in the Philadelphia area, and several articles in the New York-New Jersey newspapers. Investigation is presently pending in the Philadelphia Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), whereby the United States National Park Service is seeking financial restitution, approximately $18,000, which they allegedly spent preparing for adequate security for this upcoming rally.
Investigation at Philadelphia determined ten United States Park employees saw the individual known as [redacted]. After viewing a photographic spread, six employees said the picture of [redacted] is the same as [redacted].

On February 23, 1979, [redacted] was interviewed at the Philadelphia Office. (See attached interview.) [redacted] advised [redacted] is a member of the [redacted] and that approximately [redacted] who claimed to be a member of the [redacted]. [redacted] stated [redacted] claimed he was interested in [redacted]. According to [redacted] arrived in Philadelphia approximately January, 1979, and stayed at the home of [redacted] of the JDL chapter. [redacted] visited [redacted] residence on three or four occasions and [redacted] advised [redacted] used
NY 174-2959

not only to places in the United States, but allegedly to places outside the United States. told that

who described as head of the Klu Klux Klan in

stated read in local Philadelphia newspapers that was telling the press that

and are all one in the same person. apparently


described as always wearing and always carrying a

in the United States.

in New York City, he was a and

resides in an apartment in Brooklyn, New York, address unknown. he was a member of JACOB, which are the initials of the Jewish Athletic Club of Brooklyn, Post Office Box Number 190 Brooklyn, New York.
Investigation by New York determined telephone number 627-9654 provided by the above operator is a pay telephone located in a subway station on Stillwell Avenue in Brooklyn, New York. Telephone number 434-4651 is subscribed to by the Jewish Athletic Club of Brooklyn, 810 East 64th Street, Brooklyn, New York. This line was disconnected in March 1, 1979.

On March 9, 1979, __________ appeared at the New York Office. __________ denied making any third party telephone calls. __________ stated all telephone calls he makes are charged to the telephone number of __________ New York, with whom he stays.

__________ acknowledged he received a lot of publicity concerning a Nazi rally in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. __________ had no further relevant statements to make regarding this incident. Conversation with __________ provided no coherent and relevant statements.

On March 12, 1979, __________ appeared at the New York Office wearing black pants, a brown shirt, and a black tie. A swastika was inked on to each collar tip. __________ was wearing a white arm band containing a swastika. He also wore a white knit cap with a swastika made out in red tape. __________ was interviewed for approximately 15 minutes and was totally incoherent.

During March, 1979, __________ was observed by the 101st Precinct, NYCPD, passing out leaflets in their precinct. The leaflets were handwritten and contained swastika. The leaflet read, "Who needs niggers or Jews? Join the American Nazi Party, Box 91, Matuschun (sic) New Jersey or call __________ White Power". Telephone
number ______ is subscribed to by _______, an alleged member of the American Nazi Party.

On April 9, 1979, ______ telephoned the New York Office and advised he is passing out leaflets in the 101st Precinct. ______ stated someone punched him while passing out these leaflets in the subway.

On April 25, 1979, ______ telephoned the New York Office and said he was no longer wearing a Nazi uniform. He said he was doing research, specifics unknown, and would not say where he was living.

On May 7, 1979, NYCPD Intelligence Division, advised that ______ was residing in a dormitory at ______, New York.

On June 1, 2, and 4, 1979, five bombs, mailed from New York City, were recovered in Lincoln, Nebraska, Arlington, Virginia, Cicero, Illinois, Chicago, Illinois, and Paterson, New Jersey. The bombs were all mailed to alleged Nazi war criminals or American Nazi Party members. In telephone calls to the news media on June 2 and June 4, 1979, credit was claimed for these devices by the International Committee Against Nazism (ICAN) and a Jewish Action Movement (JAM).

On the evening of June 4, 1979, ______ National Socialist Workers Party of America (NSPA) aka American Nazi Party, advised he received a telephone call from ______ was a recipient of a bomb in ______ on June 4, 1979. During the above telephone call, ______ stated he had sent the bombs to various NSPA members and inquired if ______ was dead yet.

_______ advised he received a call from ______ told ______ he would also receive a bomb in the mail.
On June 6, 1979, investigation at the New York Telephone Company concerning aforementioned phony credit card number 784-9132-020 disclosed numerous interstate telephone calls from various numbers in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to several places in the United States. Inquiry by the Bell Telephone of Pennsylvania disclosed many of these calls to telephone numbers in Southern Jersey were harassing telephone calls. Several of the victims identified as the caller. New York has obtained these records and will obtain any future records to the above phony credit card number.

On June 3, 1979, National Socialist White People's Party (NSWPP), received a telephone call from an anonymous male who stated he was a reporter with the Long Island Journal in New York. Caller wanted information on the bomb found in Chicago, Illinois. When would make no comment, the caller became very vocal saying he was with the Jewish Armed Resistance. Caller warned that more bombs were on the way.

On June 4, 1979, the same caller made telephone threats against NSWPP members. The caller described the bombs in general as a cigar box containing black powder and again warned that more devices would be expected. The caller claimed to be a former member of the Israeli Army and a present member of the JDL.

On June 6, 1979, was interviewed. advised he had read about the attempted bombings through the mail and the local newspapers but had no knowledge of these incidents. He stated that in the past he has called Nazi Party members and at one time infiltrated a Nazi Party meeting in New Jersey. advised he has stopped such calls and has not participated in such activity in several months. stated he has heard of the International Committee Against Nazism but he knows none of its members except whose name was published in the newspaper recently. He also advised that he has heard of the group called Jewish Action Movement, an offshoot of the JDL, but believes that this organization was dissolved approximately five years ago. advised previously he
had gathered numerous names and addresses of Nazi Party members in the United States but that his notebook containing these names was stolen from him several weeks ago. ____ advised he reported it to the police but the individual has not been apprehended. ____ stated the mugger told him he was a member of the JDL. ____ advised he had no information that would aid the FBI in regard to the letter bombs.

On June 13, 1979, a young white male stabbed New York. The intended target was one. Telephone calls to news media claimed credit for the stabbing by the Jewish Execution With Silence (Jews). Calls indicated that Jews was affiliated with ICAN and were responsible for the bombs through the United States Mail.

At 3:55 p.m., ____ telephoned WINS Radio News requesting information on the stabbing. WINS reporter advised that the voice of ____ is very similar to the individual who made telephone calls to WINS News on June 4, 1979, claiming credit for the bombs.
**ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT**

(Submit within 30 days from date of accomplishment)

**TO:** DIRECTOR, FBI  
**FROM:** CHARLOTTE (P)  
**SUBJECT:** GREENKILL  
**CIVIL RIGHTS (A)**  
(00: CHARLOTTE)

---

**A. Preliminary Judicial Process**
(Number of subjects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaints</th>
<th>Informations</th>
<th>Indictments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Arrests, Locates & Summonses**
(Number of subjects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Priority (See Reverse)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBI Arrests -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI Locates -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Summonses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C. Release of Hostages:**
(Number of Hostages Released)

Hostages Held By Terrorists: All Other Hostage Situations

**D. Recoveries, Restitutions, Court Ordered Forfeitures or Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property or PELP Type</th>
<th>Recoveries</th>
<th>Restitutions</th>
<th>Court Ordered Forfeitures</th>
<th>Potential Economic Loss Prevented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**E. Civil Matters**

Government Plaintiff  
Government Defendant

Amount of Suit $  
Settlement or Award $

Enter AFA Payment Here $  

**F. Final Judicial Process**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Judicial District</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Subject 1 - Name

Convictions - Enter conviction and sentence data in space at right. If more than four sections are involved, limit to the four most relevant.

- Felony  
- Misdemeanor

Plea  
Trial

Conviction  
In-Jail Term  
Suspended  
Probation  
Fine

Subject's Description Code

**Remarks:**

Subject Priorities for FBI Arrest or Locates:

A - Subject wanted for crimes of violence (i.e. murder, manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault) or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.

B - Subjects wanted for crimes involving the loss or destruction of property valued in excess of $25,000 or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.

C - All others

Claiming Convictions Other Than Federal:

It is permissible to claim a local (state, county or local) conviction if the FBI's investigation significantly contributed to the successful local prosecution. A succinct narrative setting forth the basis for claiming a local conviction must accompany this report. When claiming a conviction other than Federal, enter the word "LOCAL" in the "Conviction-Section" block, disregard the number of conviction counts, but enter the sentence in the appropriate blocks. Enter "LF" in the "In-Jail" block for all life sentences and "CP" for all capital punishment sentences.

Reporting Convictions:

- Convictions should not be reported until the sentence has been issued. There are two exceptions to this rule. The conviction information can be submitted by itself if:
  1. The subject becomes a fugitive after conviction but prior to sentencing.
  2. The subject dies after conviction but prior to sentencing.

An explanation is required in the Remarks section for either of the above exceptions.

Rule 20 Situations:

The field office that obtained the process (normally the office of origin) is the office that should claim the conviction, not the office where the subject enters the plea in cases involving Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures.

Investigative Assistance or Techniques (IA/Ts) Used:

-Since more than one IA/T could have contributed to the accomplishment, each IA/T must be rated.

-The IA/T used must be rated each time an accomplishment is claimed. (For example - if informant information was the basis for a complaint, an arrest, a recovery and a conviction and if separate FD-515s are submitted for each of the aforementioned accomplishments, the "Informant Information" block must be rated on each FD-515 even if it was the same information that contributed to all the accomplishments.)
ATTENTION CIVIL RIGHTS SUPERVISOR,

ATTENTION TECH. SERVICES, SECTION CHIEF JAY RÉAMES

GREENKIL; CIVIL RIGHTS; OO: CHARLOTTE

RE: CHARLOTTE TELETYPE TO FBIHQ, APRIL 22, 1983.

AS REPORTED IN REFERENCED TELETYPE, A FEDERAL GRAND JURY FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA, INDICTED 9 DEFENDANTS FOR CONSPIRACY AND VIOLATIONS OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT.

ON MAY 2, 1983, THE DEFENDANTS ENTERED PLEAS OF NOT GUILTY IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT, WINSTON SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA. NO TRIAL DATE WAS SET UNTIL AFTER THE DEFENSE ATTORNEYS HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE INDICTMENTS; AND MORE THOROUGHLY CONFER WITH THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ATTORNEYS RELATIVE TO DISCOVERY PROCEDURES.

66 JUN 2 1983

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 4/3/83 BY
IT IS GENERALLY BELIEVED THAT THE TRIAL OF THESE 9 DEFENDANTS WILL BEGIN SOME TIME IN AUGUST, 1983, AT WINSTON SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA, AND IT IS EXPECTED THE TRIAL WILL LAST FOR A PERIOD OF APPROXIMATELY 3 MONTHS. IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT WILL GIVE THE DEFENSE ATTORNEYS APPROXIMATELY 3 MONTHS; THAT IS THE MONTH OF MAY, JUNE AND JULY FOR DISCOVERY.


CHARLOTTE DIVISION HAS BUT 1 TELEVISION SET WHICH IS BASED AT CHARLOTTE HEADQUARTERS AND IS USED FREQUENTLY BOTH FOR APPLICANT AND UC INVESTIGATIVE MATTERS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE DIVISION. THE CONSTANT SHARING OF THIS TV SET OVER THE PAST 14 MONTHS BETWEEN WINSTON SALEM AND CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA, AS NEEDED FOR FEDERAL GRAND JURY, WAS DIFFICULT, HOWEVER, DID WORK OUT SATISFACTORILY, AS
THE GRAND JURY ONLY SAT 2 TO 3 DAYS A WEEK; AND FOR 2 WEEKS AT A TIME.

NOW A TV SET WILL BE NEEDED DAILY AT WINSTON SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA, FOR DISCOVERY BY DEFENSE ATTORNEYS, TRIAL PREPARATION, AND DURING TRIAL OF THE DEFENDANTS OVER THE PERIOD OF THE NEXT 6 MONTHS.

FBI HQ TECHNICAL SERVICES IS REQUESTED TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF A TV (COLOR) TO WINSTON SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA, FOR THE PERIOD OF THE NEXT 6 MONTHS.

AT THE TIME OF THE TRIAL, BECAUSE OF THE DESIGN OF THE JURY BOX IN USDC IN WINSTON SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA. REGULAR COLOR TELEVISION SETS WOULD BE INADEQUATE FOR DISPLAY OF THE VISUAL EVIDENCE TO THE JURY. IN ORDER FOR A TV MONITOR TO BE OBSERVED, IT MUST BE ON A STAND WHICH WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY 4 1/2 FEET IN HEIGHT. TO ADEQUATELY SERVICE THE EXPECTED JURORS (16) AT LEAST 3 TELEVISION SETS WOULD BE NEEDED FOR THE JURY; ONE FOR THE PRESIDING JUDGE; ONE FOR THE DEFENSE ATTORNEYS; AND ONE FOR THE PROSECUTION.

THE USE OF A VCR-COLOR PROJECTOR AND SCREEN COULD BE USED IN PLACE OF THE NORMAL TELEVISION. WITH THIS SYSTEM,
ALL PARTIES COULD EASILY OBSERVE THE VIDEO EVIDENCE BEING PRESENTED AND WOULD PRECLUDE NECESSITY OF HAVING 6 TV MONITORS IN THE COURT ROOM.

IF FBIHQ TECHNICAL SERVICES HAS A VCR COLOR PROJECTOR AND SCREEN, IT IS REQUESTED TO BE MADE AVAILABLE AT WINSTON SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA, APPROXIMATELY AUGUST 1, 1983. IF SUCH A SYSTEM IS UNAVAILABLE, IT IS REQUESTED THAT FBIHQ AUTHORIZE THE RENTAL OF SUCH A SYSTEM BEGINNING AUGUST, 1983.

NUMEROUS TAPE RECORDED INTERVIEWS WILL PROBABLY BE PLAYED TO THE JURY; HOWEVER, THE USE OF SOUND EQUIPMENT AND JURY RIG WILL BE COORDINATED WITH THE SOUND MAN FROM THE CHARLOTTE DIVISION.

IN JUNE, 1982, FBIHQ TECHNICAL SERVICES WAS PROVIDED COPIES OF THE TV FILMS TO BE USED IN THIS TRIAL AND INDIVIDUAL FRAMES WERE PHOTOGRAPHED. BECAUSE OF THE POOR QUALITY OF THESE PHOTOGRAPHS, ADDITIONAL WORK WILL BE NEEDED BEFORE THESE PHOTOGRAPHS ARE SATISFACTORY ENOUGH TO BE PRESENTED TO USDC.

THE ABOVE MATTERS HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED WITH FBIHQ-CIVIL RIGHTS UNIT
AND IT WAS SUGGESTED THAT CASE AGENT TRAVEL TO WASHINGTON, D. C., DURING THE WEEK OF MAY 9, 1983, TO MEET WITH TECH. SERVICES PERSONNEL AND OTHER LAB PERSONNEL REQUIRED FOR TESTIMONY IN THE GREENKIL PROSECUTION AT WINSTON SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA. IT IS SUGGESTED THAT USDJ ATTORNEYS WHO WILL BE PROSECUTING THIS CASE ALSO MEET WITH FBI LAB AND TECH SERVICES PERSONNEL TO GO OVER THE REQUIREMENTS THAT THEY FORESEE DURING PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE.

ARRANGEMENTS WILL BE MADE WITH USDJ ATTORNEYS, AND FBIHQ PERSONNEL FOR A CONFERENCE CONDUCTED DURING THE WEEK OF MAY 9, 1983, AT FBIHQ.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTN: FBI LABORATORY
ELEMENTAL COMPOSITION SECTION

FROM: SAC, CHARLOTTE (44A-3527) (P)
GREENKIL (00: CHARLOTTE)

Being forwarded to the FBI Laboratory, Elemental Composition Section, under separate cover, are six Remington Peters #4 buckshot shells previously submitted as Exhibits Q1056; Q1057; Q1059; Q1060; Q1061 and Q1062.

FBI Laboratory is requested to conduct elemental composition analysis of the shotgun pellets to assist in identifying the named manufacturer, and the elemental composition of these rounds as they compare with previously submitted shotgun ammunition forwarded in captioned investigation.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 6-11-84 BY SPAPPEL

44 - 81521 - 468

2 - Bureau
1 - Package
2 - Charlotte
TJB:jat
(5)

INDEX ESS
Approved
Transmitted (Number) (Time) Per
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-81521)
   Attn: Fugitive/General Government Crimes Unit, CID
FROM: SAC, CHARLOTTE (44A-3527) (P)

ROY CLINTON TONEY -
FUGITIVE (A);

FUGITIVE INDEX 2

Re Bureau airtel to Charlotte 5/24/83, which indicated check of
NCIC disclosed no FBI entry for captioned subject.

For information Bureau, ROY C. TONEY was entered in NCIC on 4/22/83,
located in Columbia Division 4/22/83, and cleared by Charlotte 4/22/83.
FD-515 (Rev. 12-15-80)
ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT
(Submit within 30 days from date of accomplishment)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, COLUMBIA
SUBJECT: GREEN KIL
OO: CHARLOTTE
Squad or RA Number: 4560

44-81521
Bureau File Number

44A-2149
Field Office File Number

Date: 5/4/83

Subject: ROY CLINTON TONEY, arrested by FBI at Anderson County, S.C., 4/22/83 on bench warrant issued by USDC, Middle District of North Carolina. Subject in fugitive status.

1. Preliminary Judicial Process
   Complaints
   Informations
   Indictments

2. Arrests, Locates & Summons
   Subject Priority (See Reverse)

3. Number of Subjects of FBI Arrests Who Physically Resisted

4. Number of Subjects of FBI Arrests Who Were Armed

5. Release of Hostages
   Number of Hostages Released

6. Civil Matters
   Government Defendant
   Government Plaintiff

7. Convictions
   Conviction
   In-Jail Term
   Suspended
   Probation

8. Subject's Description Code

9. Investigative Assistance or Techniques Used
   Were any of the investigative assistance or techniques listed below used in connection with accomplishment being claimed?
   □ No □ Yes - If Yes, rate each used as follows:
   1 = Used, but did not help
   2 = Helped, but only minimally
   3 = Helped, substantially
   4 = Absolutely essential

10. Recoveries, Restitutions, Court Ordered Forfeitures or Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP)

11. Final Judicial Process
   Subject 1 - Name
   Subject 2 - Name
   Subject 3 - Name

12. Attach additional forms if reporting judicial process on more than three subjects.

* See codes on reverse side. Subject description codes in Section 4 are required only when reporting a conviction.

TJD: jcc

THREE

FBI/DOJ
### Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP) Type Codes *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Blank Negotiable Instruments or Tickets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Counterfeit Stocks, Bonds, Currency or Negotiable Instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Counterfeit or Pirated Sound Recordings or Motion Pictures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bank Theft Scheme Aborted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Ransom, Extortion or Bribe Demand Aborted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Theft From, or Fraud Against, Government Scheme Aborted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Commercial or Industrial Theft Scheme Aborted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>All Other Potential Economic Loss Prevented (not falling in any category above)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The case file must contain an explanation of the computation of the recovery value or loss prevented. An explanation airtel must accompany this report if the recovery is $1 million or more, or if the PELP is $5 million or more.*

### Property Type Codes *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cash (U.S. and foreign currency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stock, Bonds or Negotiable Instruments (checks, travelers checks, money orders, certificates of deposit, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>General Retail Merchandise (clothing, food, liquor, cigarettes, TVs, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vehicles (autos, trucks, tractors, trailers, campers, motorcycles, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Heavy Machinery &amp; Equipment (heavy equipment, computers, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bulk Materials (grain, fuel, raw materials, metals, wire, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Jewelry (including unset precious and semiprecious stones)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Precious Metals (gold, silver, silverware, platinum, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Art, Antiques or Rare Collections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dangerous Drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Weapons or Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Businesses or Assets Forfeited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>All Other Recoveries (not falling in any category above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>All Other Potential Economic Loss Prevented (not falling in any category above)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If a subject can be classified in more than one of the categories, select the most appropriate in the circumstance.*

### Instructions

#### Subject Priorities for FBI Arrest or Locates:

- **A** - Subject wanted for crimes of violence (i.e. murder, manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault) or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.
- **B** - Subjects wanted for crimes involving the loss or destruction of property valued in excess of $25,000 or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.
- **C** - All others

#### Claiming Convictions Other Than Federal:

It is permissible to claim a local (state, county or local) conviction if the FBI's investigation significantly contributed to the successful local prosecution. A succinct narrative setting forth the basis for claiming a local conviction must accompany this report. When claiming a conviction other than Federal, enter the word “LOCAL” in the “Conviction-Section” block, disregard the number of conviction counts, but enter the sentence in the appropriate blocks. Enter "LF" in the "In-Jail" block for all life sentences and "CP" for all capital punishment sentences.

#### Reporting Convictions:

- The conviction information can be submitted by itself if:
  1. The subject becomes a fugitive after conviction but prior to sentencing.
  2. The subject dies after conviction but prior to sentencing.
  3. The subject enters the plea in cases involving Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures.
  4. The subject dies after conviction but prior to sentencing.

#### Rule 20 Situations:

- The field office that obtained the process (normally the office of origin) is the office that should claim the conviction, not the office where the subject enters the plea in cases involving Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures.

#### Investigative Assistance or Techniques (IATs) Used:

- Since more than one IAT could have contributed to the accomplishment, each IAT must be rated.
- The IAT used must be rated each time an accomplishment is claimed. (For example - if informant information was the basis for a complaint, an arrest, a recovery and a conviction and if separate FD-515s are submitted for each of the aforementioned accomplishments, the "Informant information" block must be rated on each FD-515 even if it was the same information that contributed to all the accomplishments.)

### Subject Description Codes *

- Enter Description Code Only When Reporting a Conviction -

**Organized Crime Subjects:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Boss, Underboss or Consigliere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Capo de Cosa Nostra or Soldier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Possible LCN Member or Associate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>OC Subject Other Than LCN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Known Criminals (Other Than OC Members):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Top Ten or L.O. Fugitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Top Thief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Top Con Man</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Foreign Nationals:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>Legal Alien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Illegal Alien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Foreign Official Without Diplomatic Immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>U.N. Employee Without Diplomatic Immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E</td>
<td>Foreign Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F</td>
<td>All Others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Terrorists:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>Known Member of a Terrorist Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B</td>
<td>Possible Terror Member or Sympathizer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Union Members:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5A</td>
<td>International or National Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5B</td>
<td>Local Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5C</td>
<td>Union Employee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Government Official Or Employees:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6A</td>
<td>Federal - Elected Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6B</td>
<td>Federal - Nonelected Executive Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6C</td>
<td>Federal - All Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6D</td>
<td>State - Elected Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6E</td>
<td>State - Nonelected Executive Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6F</td>
<td>State - All Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6G</td>
<td>Local - Elected Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6H</td>
<td>Local - Nonelected Executive Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6J</td>
<td>Local - All Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bank Officers Or Employees:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7A</td>
<td>Bank Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7B</td>
<td>Bank Employee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**All Others:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6A</td>
<td>All Other Subjects (not fitting above categories)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTENTION SUPERVISOR, CIVIL RIGHTS UNIT, CID;
ATTENTION SUPERVISOR, FOIPA SECTION, RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION, ATTENTION LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION, CIVIL LITIGATION UNIT
GREENKIL; CIVIL RIGHTS; OO: CHARLOTTE
RE CHARLOTTE TELCAL TO FBIHQ, MAY 23, 1983.
USA, MIDELE DISTRICT NORTH CAROLINA (MDNC) AND ATTORNEYS FROM DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION, ARE PRESENTLY ON AND MADE ENTRY INTO THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY (FGJ:) ROOM HEARING EVIDENCE ON CAPTIONED MATTER AND ATTEMPTED TO DEPOSIT WITH THE GRAND JURORS VARIOUS DOCUMENTS AND STATEMENTS FROM THE COMMunist WORKERS PARTY (CWP) REGARDING ALLEGATIONS AGAINST FEDERAL OFFICIALS.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
ONE OF THE STATEMENTS BEING FURNISHED TO THE GRAND JURY

CONTAINED


DOCUMENT BEING FURNISHED TO GRAND JURORS BY [NAME] AND [NAME].

CHARLOTTE DIVISION IS NOT IN RECEIPT OF ANY INFORMATION WHICH MAY HAVE BEEN FURNISHED TO [NAME] THROUGH FOIPA. CHARLOTTE REQUESTS THAT FOIPA REVIEW ANY INFORMATION FURNISHED [NAME] AND ADVISE CHARLOTTE AS TO.
THE CONTENTS OF RELEASE. ADDITIONALLY, IT IS REQUESTED THAT THE
RELEASE BE REVIEWED FOR INFORMATION WHICH COULD BE INTERPRETED AS
SUPPORTING THE ALLEGATIONS OF [BLANK] AND [BLANK].

ANOTHER DOCUMENT FURNISHED BY [BLANK] TO THE GRAND JURY WAS
THE CWP SUIT AGAINST THE FBI AND OTHER AGENTS IS "WALLER ET AL VS. BUKOVICH, ET AL, CIVIL CASE #80-605-G". (CE FILE 197-42). THE TITLE FOR LITIGATION FILED FOR A SPECIAL PROSECUTOR IS UNKNOWN TO CHARLOTTE DIVISION.

LEGAL COUNSEL IS REQUESTED TO MAKE A DETERMINATION THROUGH USDJ ATTORNEYS HAVING REFERENCED CIVIL LITIGATIONS IN USDC, MDNC, AND USDC, DC AS TO WHETHER AN INTERNAL MEMORANDUM OF BATF MAY HAVE BEEN FURNISHED TO CWP ATTORNEYS.

THIS INVESTIGATION IS BEING COORDINATED BY CIVIL RIGHTS SUPERVISOR [REDACTED] AND IS REQUESTED THAT ANY INFORMATION DEVELOPED CONCERNING THIS MATTER BE FURNISHED TO HIM FOR IMMEDIATE DISSEMINATION TO THE CHARLOTTE DIVISION. USDJ ATTORNEYS CURRENTLY
IN MDNC REQUESTED AN IMMEDIATE RESPONSE TO ABOVE AS MATTERS WILL BE PRESENTED TO FGJ.
Enclosed are two copies of a self-explanatory Departmental Letter dated May 18, 1983 along with two copies of its enclosures.

Charlotte forward necessary videotapes and film to FBHQ, attention: Technical Services Division.

Furnish appropriate identifying data to Identification Division.

Enclosures (4)
Memorandum

To: Assistant Director
   Criminal Investigative Division

From: Legal Counsel

Subject: GREENKIL

PURPOSE: To respond to a request for information regarding discovery in civil suits related to the captioned investigation.

SYNOPSIS AND DETAILS: By teletype dated 5/13/83, captioned as above, the Charlotte Field Office requested that Legal Counsel determine from the appropriate Departmental officials whether an internal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (BATF) memorandum had been furnished to attorneys for the Communist Workers Party (CWP) in the course of two civil actions relating to the captioned investigation.

On 5/25/83, Torts Branch, Civil Division, advised that no discovery has taken place in the matter of James Waller, et al. v. Bernard Butkovich, et al., (U.S.D.C., M.D.N.C.), Civil Action No. 80-605-G, and that no documents have been released by the Government in that case. In addition, Mr. contacted Ms., Federal Programs Branch, Civil Division, who is handling the matter of Martha Nathan, et al. v. William French Smith, et al., (U.S.D.C., D.C.), Civil Action No. 82-2716. Mr. stated that Ms. had advised that no discovery has as yet occurred in the Nathan case.

RECOMMENDATION: That CID provide this information to the Charlotte Field Office.

APPROVED:

1 - Mr. Attn: Mr. 1 - Mr.
1 - Mr.

FAX: kjb (4)

THEY SUPPORTED THEIR ALLEGATIONS BY ADVISING THE NEWS MEDIA


CALLER ADVISED SA , RALEIGH RA, THAT HE HAD RECEIVED INFORMATION KLAN UNITS, AT READING, PA., WERE TRAVELING TO GREENSBORO, N. C., TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ANTI-COMMUNIST DEMONSTRATION ON NOVEMBER 11, 1979.
DENVER, BY TELETYPEx ON NOVEMBER 9, 1979, ADVISED THAT A RECENT SOURCE OF THE DENVER DIVISION, WITH WHOM ONLY RECENT CONTACT HAS BEEN MADE, BUT WHOM TO DATE HAD FURNISHED RELIABLE INFORMATION, ADVISED FBI DENVER ON NOVEMBER 9, 1979, THAT A [REDACTED] HAD MADE STATEMENTS TO OTHER KLAN MEMBERS THAT HE AND MEMBERS OF THE KLAN WOULD TRAVEL TO GREENSBORO, N. C., ON NOVEMBER 10, 1979, IN ORDER TO COUNTER DEMONSTRATE AGAINST THE PLANNED COMMUNIST FUNERAL MARCH.


LOS ANGELES DIVISION IS REQUESTED TO INTERVIEW
In care of the Jewish Defense Organization,

Calif., Telephone

Number

Relative to the information he furnished of the Greensboro Justice Fund and

of the CWP.

Should not be made aware that the Charlotte files identified his phone call to the Raleigh RA as being on November 7, 1979, and not November 2; nor should he be made aware that

may be identical with the source of the Denver Division who advised them of similar information on November 9, 1979. Should only be interviewed as to his alleged phone call to the Raleigh RA; obtain copies of any statements which he furnished the Greensboro Civil Rights Fund; and obtain copies of any information he has received from the Freedom of Information Act from FBIHQ, Washington, D.C., which are pertinent to allegations being made.

Is not to be told of investigation which discredits his previous statements furnished to the Greensboro Civil Rights Fund.

Denver is requested to furnish the Charlotte Division
BACKGROUND INFORMATION RELATIVE TO THE UNIDENTIFIED SOURCE WHO
ADVISED THEM ON NOVEMBER 9, 1979, OF THE KLAN UNITS FROM READING,
PA., TRAVELING TO GREENSBORO, N. C., TO COUNTER DEMONSTRATE THE
COMMUNIST FUNERAL MARCH.

AFTER COMPLETION OF THE ABOVE INVESTIGATION, THIS MATTER WILL
BE FURNISHED TO THE U. S. ATTORNEY, MDNC. A DECISION WILL BE
MADE WHETHER OR NOT THE AFFIDAVITS PREVIOUSLY FURNISHED BY
AND CONSTITUTE PERJURY OR FURNISHING FALSE STATEMENTS TO THE

BT
CE0009 1742243Z
RR HQ
DE CE
R 232047Z JUN 83
FM CHARLOTTE (44A-3527) (P)
TO DIRECTOR (44-81521) ROUTINE
BT
UNCLASS
GREEN KIL: CIVIL RIGHTS. 00: CHARLOTTE.
THIS IS TO ADVISE THAT ALL NECESSARY VIDEO EQUIPMENT REQUESTED IN CAPTAINED MATTER HAS BEEN RECEIVED AND COMMENCING JUNE 27, 1983, WILL BE TOTALLY AVAILABLE TO DEFENSE ATTORNEYS KEEPING WITHIN PROPER DISCLOSURE GUIDELINES.
BT

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 6-11-84 BY S. A. REFLER

44-81521 475
10 JUL 1 1983
64 JUL 1983
FOR INFORMATION OF THE IDENTIFICATION DIVISION, REFERENCED,
AIRTEL ENCLOSED A DEPARTMENTAL LETTER, DATED MAY 16, 1983, WHICH
ENCLOSED A LIST OF ALL DEFENDANTS AND ALL WITNESSES IN CAPTIONED
MATTER. THE DEPARTMENT REQUESTED IT BE FURNISHED A CRIMINAL
RECORD OF EACH OF THE LISTED INDIVIDUALS.

A REVIEW OF CHARLOTTE INDICES IN CHARLOTTE FILE 44A-3527
ENTITLED "GREENKIL," REVEALED THE FOLLOWING AVAILABLE DESCRIPTIVE
INFORMATION OF THE LISTED INDIVIDUALS:

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 6-22-83
DIV-6.
6-22-83
ONE
Div-6.
8-6 JULY 1983
RAEFORED MILANO CAUDLE, WHITE MALE, BORN SEPTEMBER 9, 1942, 96-454-E
SSAN 233-66-792,
FBI NO. 96454.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

MALE, BORN

MALE, BORN

MALE, BORN

FEMALE, BORN

FEMALE, BORN

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

FEMALE, BORN

FEMALE, BORN

FEMALE, BORN

FEMALE, BORN
PAGE FOUR CE 44A-3527 UNCLAS

27. NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

30. EDDIE DAWSON, WHITE MALE, BORN MARCH 16, 1918.


32. HAROLD DEAN FLOWERS, AKA. HAROLD, WHITE MALE, BORN DECEMBER 25, 1945, CATAWBA COUNTY, N.C., SSAN 238-74-2539.

33. BILLY JOE FRANKLIN, WHITE MALE, BORN AUGUST 3, 1946.

35. NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

36. NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

37. AKA. MALE, BORN N.C.

38. NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

39. AKA. MALE, BORN N.C., SSAN
WHITE MALE, BORN: S. C.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

AKA: NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

AKA: NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

AKA: MALE, BORN: N. C., SSAN

AKA: FEMALE, BORN: N. C.

AKA: MALE, BORN: N. C., SSAN

AKA: MALE, BORN: N. C.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

AKA: MALE, BORN: COUNTY, N. C.

AKA: FEMALE, BORN
NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE. 1 DRAWER

BORN VA., SSAN

AKA. NEGRO MALE, BORN COUNTY, N. C. USAF SERIAL NUMBER

AKA. NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE. 30 TRIES

AKA. NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE. 30 TRIES

AKA. FEMALE, BORN M. C., SSAN

AKA. BORN SSAN

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

AKA. MALE, BORN COUNTY, N. C.

AKA. MALE, BORN

AKA. MALE, BORN N. C.
AKA. MALE, BORN

AKA. MALE, BORN

S. C.,

AKA. MALE, BORN

N. C., SSAN

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

FEMALE, BORN

COUNTY, N. C.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

LISFORD CARL NAPPIER, SR., AKA. CARL, WHITE MALE, BORN NOVEMBER 5, 1918. AKA. FEMALE, BORN

SSAN

AKA. MALE, AGE

AKA. MALE, BORN

SSAN

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.
NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

AKA. DOB

N. C., SSAN

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

MALE, BORN

N. C., SSAN

MALE, BORN

COUNTY, NM C.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

MALE, BORN

COUNTY

MALE, BORN

SSAN

AKA.
ROY CLINTON TONEY, AKA. ROY, WHITE MALE, BORN JUNE 23, 1941, 478-230-06

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

NO DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.
ROLAND WAYNE WOOD, WHITE MALE, BORN FEBRUARY 2, 1945, WINSTON-SALEM, N. C., SSN 243-70-5293, FBI NO. 767906D.

THE IDENTIFICATION DIVISION IS REQUESTED TO SEARCH THE ABOVE NAMES AND ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY AND FURNISH A COPY OF IDENTIFICATION RECORDS OF THESE INDIVIDUALS TO CIVIL RIGHTS UNIT, ATTENTION SA

BI
RE: Charlotte Teleprint, Dated June 18, 1983, and
Charlotte Telephone call to Los Angeles, Dated June 28, 1983.

Is scheduled to appear in local court in

On June 27, 1983, Attorney ___________ has notified Los Angeles that _______ will consent to interview on July 1, 1983
in Los Angeles.

Los Angeles will interview _______ and promptly report the
results to Charlotte and the Bureau.

All information contained herein is unclassified.

Date 6-11-83 by spec	ile.
RE CHARLOTTE TELETYPE TO FBIHQ, LOS ANGELES, AND DENVER, JUNE 6, 1983.

TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CHIEF OF THE CRIMINAL SECTION, CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, AND FBI HEADQUARTERS, THIS DATE, HAS DETERMINED THAT INFORMATION REGARDING AS SET FORTH IN REFERENCED TELETYPE IS ESSENTIAL FOR EVALUATION IMMEDIATELY; AND IT IS REQUESTED THAT DENVER AND LOS ANGELES CONDUCT THE REQUESTED INVESTIGATION AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE AND SUTEL RESULTS TO FBI HEADQUARTERS AND CHARLOTTE.

IF MR. IS RELUCTANT TO FURNISH THE FOI MATERIAL WHICH HE HAS ALLEGEDLY RECEIVED FROM FBIHQ, APPROPRIATE SUBPOENAS WILL JUN 14 1983

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 6-11-83 BY SP/2/FLP/CE

64 JUL 12 '83
BE TECLEOPIED TO LOS ANGELES FOR SERVICE UPON MR.

BEFORE A FEDERAL GRAND

JURY ON

BT
To: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-81521)
From: SAC, CHARLOTTE (44A-3527)
Subject: CIVIL RIGHTS CO: CHARLOTTE

Date: 5/25/83
Time: Transmitted
Initials:

Special handling instructions:
HAND CARRY TO SUPERVISOR
CIVIL RIGHTS UNIT.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 6-11-84 BY SP2 Phelps

44-81521-479

JUN 16 1983

[Signature]
FM CHARLOTTE (157-10168) (P)
TO DIRECTOR PRIORITY-1564
PHILADELPHIA PRIORITY-1489
NEW YORK PRIORITY-1653
BT
UNCLAS
DEMONSTRATION SPONSORED BY WORKERS VIEWPOINT ORGANIZATION (WVO),
AKA. COMMUNIST WORKERS PARTY OF NORTH CAROLINA, GREENSBORO, N. C.,
NOVEMBER 11, 1979, POSSIBLE CIVIL UNREST.

RE CHARLOTTE TELETYPE, NOVEMBER 6, 1979.

ON NOVEMBER 7, 1979, AN ANONYMOUS CALLER IDENTIFIED ONLY AS

[Box unchecked]

TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED THE RALEIGH RA REGARDING

CAPTIONED MATTER. THE CALLER WAS SUBSEQUENTLY IDENTIFIED AS

[Box unchecked]

(PHONETIC), CURRENTLY UNDER INVESTIGATION BY NEW YORK OFFICE FOR HARRASSING TELEPHONE CALLS. CALLER STATED THAT HE HAD INFORMATION THAT THE KLAN HAD A PLANNED RALLY SCHEDULED FOR NOVEMBER 9, 1979, AT READING, PENNSYLVANIA, WHICH RALLY HAS

JBR: [Signature]

(1) [Handwritten note]

[Handwritten note]

[Handwritten note]

Approved: [Signature]

Telecopier: 11-8-79

Transmitted: 11-8-79

3144
PAGE TWO CE 157-10168 UNCLAS

BEEN CANCELED IN FAVOR OF THE PARTICIPANTS TRAVELING TO GREENSBORO,
N. C., FOR CAPIERED DEMONSTRATION.

LEADS, PHILADELPHIA AT READING, PENNSYLVANIA, ATTEMPT TO
VERIFY THE ABOVE INFORMATION.

BT
DE LA 007
U 17 0700Z JUNE 83

FM LOS ANGELES (44-3786) (C-2) (P)

TO DIRECTOR (44-81521) IMMEDIATE CHARLOTT (44-3527) PRIORITY
DENVER (157-2015) (INFO) PRIORITY

BT

UNCLAS

GREENKIL: CIVIL RIGHTS, CO: CHARLOTTE

RE CHARLOTTE TELS DATED JUNE 6 AND JUNE 8; AND DENVER ARTEL DATED JUNE 7, 1983.

LOS ANGELES HAS BEEN INSTRUCTED TO INTERVIEW PER REFERENCED TELETYPES.

LOS ANGELES HAS THUS FAR BEEN UNABLE TO LOCATE FOR INTERVIEW. THE ADDRESS GIVEN FOR AND THE JEWISH DEFENSE ORGANIZATION IS A MAILBOX. CALLS TO THE PHONE NUMBER GIVEN IN REFERENCED TELETYPES REACH ONLY A RECORDED ANNOUNCEMENT AND A MESSAGE MACHINE. HAS FAILED TO RETURN ALL CALLS.

LOS ANGELES HAS BEEN CONTACTED BY A LOS ANGELES ATTORNEY WHO SAID THAT HE REPRESENTS

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 6-11-84 BY SIG.

54 JUL 26 1983
TWO (LA 44-3786) NCLAS
SAID THAT WOULD CONSENT TO BE INTERVIEWED BY THE FBI
ONLY IF THE INTERVIEW TAKES PLACE IN OFFICE, BE
ALLOWED TO TAPE RECORD THE CONVERSATION, AND HE BE INTERVIEWED
ONLY CONCERNING HIS SIGNED STATEMENT. THE BUREAU HAS APPROVED
THOSE CONDITIONS.

ON JUNE 16, 1983, ADVISED LOS ANGELES THAT HE HAS
BEEN UNABLE TO CONTACT FOR SEVERAL DAYS TO SET UP THE
INTERVIEW BUT WILL CONTINUE TRYING.

IT IS NOTED THAT SA LOS ANGELES, HAS
ADVISED THAT DUE LOCAL COURT SOON ON THE
ALL THIS RESULTING FROM
HIS ANTI-NAZI ACTIVITIES. ALSO ADVISED THAT
CHANGES RESIDENCES FREQUENTLY.

LOS ANGELES WILL CONTINUE EFFORTS TO INTERVIEW AND
WILL PROMPTLY REPORT WHEN ACCOMPLISHED.

BT
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

RE LOS ANGELES TELETYPE TO CHARLOTTE DATED JUNE 17, 1983.

CHARLOTTE CASE AGENT SA AND UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE SENIOR TRIAL ATTORNEY WASHINGTON D. C. ARE EXPECTED TO ARRIVE IN LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA ON TUESDAY NIGHT JUNE 21, 1983 IN ORDER TO MEET WITH OFFICIALS OF A MOVIE STUDIO WHO WILL BE ATTEMPTING TO ENHANCE VIDEO FILM OBTAINED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1979 SHOWING THE SHOOTING INCIDENCE BETWEEN MEMBERS OF THE KU KLUX KLAN, AND COMMUNIST WORKERS PARTY.

IN VIEW OF CASE AGENT TRAVEL TO LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES IS REQUESTED TO CONTACT ATTORNEY AND ATTEMPT TO ARRANGE AN INTERVIEW WITH MR. AT MR. OFFICE SOMETIME DURING THE PERIOD OF JUNE 21 THROUGH 23, 1983. AN INTERVIEW WILL BE CONDUCTED BY LOS ANGELES CASE AGENT, CHARLOTTE CASE AGENT AND USA D. J. ATTORNEY

54 JUL 26 1983
IF INTERVIEW CAN'T BE CONDUCTED AT THAT TIME, GREENSBORO CASE AGENT WILL MEET WITH LOS ANGELES CASE AGENT AT LOS ANGELES AND THOROUGHLY BRIEF HIM FOR ANY FURTHER INTERVIEW WITH WHICH WILL BE GRANTED BY MR. [ ]

LEADS:

LOS ANGELES AT LOS ANGELES WILL CONTACT [ ] AND DETERMINE IF IT IS POSSIBLE FOR INTERVIEW TO BE CONDUCTED BETWEEN JUNE 21, 22 AND 23 1983.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, CHARLOTTE (44A-3527) (P)
GREENKIL (CO: CHARLOTTE)

Re Charlotte teletype to Bureau, 7/6/83.

Enclosed for the Bureau is one copy of a letter from the Greensboro Civil Rights Litigation Fund to U.S. Attorney dated 7/2/83, and one copy of a Communist Workers Party leaflet which is being passed out in Greensboro, N.C.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 6-11-84 BY WALTERC

44-81521-482

(2) Bureau (Encs. 2)
2 - Charlotte
TJB: jat
(4)

ENCLOSED

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED TO JUL 11 1983
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, CHARLOTTE (44A-3527) (P)

Enclosed is one copy of a letter from the Greensboro Civil Rights Litigation Fund to U.S. Attorney dated 7/2/83, and one copy of a CWF leaflet which is being passed out in Greensboro, N.C.

GREENKIT
(00: CHARLOTTE)
United States Attorney  
Middle District of North Carolina  
Post Office Box 1858  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27402

Re:  

Dear Mr.    

As attorneys for the victims of the November 3, 1979 Greensboro Klan-Nazi murders, we call for the immediate termination of FBI Special Agent    as case agent in charge of the Justice Department's Klan-Nazi investigation and prosecution, and for his indictment for obstruction of justice in connection with one of the cases he handled in that role, that of    

First, termination of Agent    role as case agent is necessary because his background, ties to principal actors in the case, and prior conduct in the case made him unsuitable for the position in the first place, while his conduct in the position has confirmed his unsuitability:

a) Well before November 3, 1979, Agent knew and had paid money (allegedly for work on his house) to    the FBI informant and paid Greensboro police informant who organized and lead the Klan to attack the anti-klan demonstrators on November 3, 1979;

b) According to recent court testimony, Agent had worked closely for many years with Greensboro police detectives and intelligence officers, including those who were involved in supervising informants such as    

c) Agent had been with the Greensboro FBI office for some ten years before November 3, 1979, including during the weeks immediately preceding November 3, 1979, when the FBI is known (through FOIA documents) to have been investigating the same WVO/CWP members who were killed November 3rd, and when the FBI was being warned by its sources in the Klan and Nazis that they planned to attack the anti-klan demonstrators on November 3rd;

d) On November 3, 1979, Agent erased 20-30 minutes of the taped confession of Klansman made that day following arrest,
during which he described his role that day and events leading up to the murders.

Since there is a well documented pattern of FBI use of local police as part of COINTELPRO operations against the Black Liberation Movement and other political groups; and since the November 3rd grand jury was supposed to be investigating police and FBI involvement in the November 3rd murders, the ties of Agent to the very people and agencies he was supposed to be investigating constitute grounds for removing him as case agent for the November 3rd case.

Furthermore, Agent conduct in the role of chief investigator for the November 3rd case has been quite inappropriate for one in that position. In addition to the two cases outlined in detail below, we note that according to the affidavit of professor at a local college, Agent in August 1982, while acting as chief investigator for the grand jury, stated to her, in the presence of Justice Department attorneys, that should a special prosecutor be appointed in the Greensboro case from outside the Justice Department, he could still withhold and manipulate the evidence!

Secondly, Agent conduct in the case of appears to constitute obstruction of justice and to require indictment of Agent on such charges.

Mr. a Black man from Greensboro, was indicted by the federal grand jury investigating the November 3rd Greensboro murders on April 21, 1983, two days after testifying to the grand jury. The indictment charged that he grand jury when he made the following accusations against the Greensboro police: (a) that shortly before November 3, 1979, Greensboro police detective asked him to infiltrate the Workers Viewpoint Organization and report on it to the police; (b) that also shortly before November 3rd, police officer gave him a gun and asked him to shoot a Black leader of the Workers Viewpoint Organization (now Communist Workers Party), who was injured November 3rd; and (c) that he was given a lie detector test by the Greensboro police, during which he reported officer request to shoot

Agent as chief investigator for the November 3rd grand jury was assigned on April 13, 1983 to investigate the accusations of Mr. against the Greensboro police, since they were relevant to the grand jury's investigation of possible police involvement in the November 3rd murders. Mr. was convicted of the Although we do not know if his allegations against the Greensboro police were true or not, we do know from his trial that no bona fide investigation of his very serious charges was done, prior to or after his indictment, and that the sole objective of Agent from the beginning was to secure conviction of perjury so as to discredit his accusations against the police.

Agent work on the case consisted exclusively of contacts with the police officers accused by i.e.: (a) one phone conversation with Officer which the agent initiated by telling that he was attempting to indict for perjury; (b) a fifteen minute conversation with Detective and (c) a total of ten minutes of meetings with Detective a police polygraph examiner. (All this is according to trial testimony of the police officers.) The police officers' naked denials of Mr. allegations against them were the sole evidence of perjury presented at Mr. trial. None of the officers was subjected to a polygraph exam, and Agent did not afford Mr.
the opportunity to take a polygraph.

Although Agent[removed] is reputed to be a "paper chase" expert in fraud cases, he did no investigation of Officer[removed] finances to corroborate accusations that he had a personal relationship with Officer[removed] that involved financial corruption. Furthermore, he did no independent investigation of Detective[removed] alleged request for information about the WVO, even though Detective[removed] was (according to his own testimony) a founding member of the Greensboro "Red Squad", and one of those known to have surveilled and harassed and other leaders of the Black Liberation Movement in Greensboro in the late 60s and early 70s. In view of trial testimony concerning the longstanding close working relationship between Detective[removed] and Agent[removed] we assert that this relationship, among other factors, motivated Agent[removed] to refuse to investigate the accusations against[removed].

Furthermore, although the proclaimed purpose of the grand jury included investigation of police involvement in the November 3rd events and/or cover up of such involvement, Agent[removed] took no steps to investigate why Greensboro police officials sat on Mr[removed] allegations for six weeks and withheld them from the U. S. Attorneys supervising the grand jury. The allegations only came to the attention of the supervising attorneys finally through the efforts of attorney[removed] of our legal team and Mr.[removed] attorney[removed].

Thirdly, we wish to bring to your attention the conduct of Agent[removed] on April 20, 1983, when he falsely accused[removed] one of our clients, and[removed] of attempting to place documents on a table and leave them in the presence of the grand jury. This accusation was baseless and irresponsible.

Our requests that Agent[removed] be terminated as case agent, and that he be indicted and prosecuted for obstruction of justice for his conduct in the case are not made lightly. After all, Dr. James Waller, Sandra Smith, Cesar Cauce, William Sampson, and Dr. Michael Nathan were killed on November 3, 1979, and eight of our clients, including[removed] were injured that day, under circumstances which have lead many people to question the roles of the Greensboro police, the FBI and the BATF. The public interest in finally determining the truth, bringing those responsible to justice and allaying fears of government cover up surely is not served by retaining Agent[removed] and allowing his conduct to further cloud investigation and prosecution of the November 3rd case.

We request a prompt reply from you on this pressing matter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
On Tuesday, June 14th, a trial began in Greensboro involving an alleged assassination plot. A man named Henry C. Byrd has sworn before a federal grand jury that he was being blackmailed by Greensboro policeman Raymond Bell to kill CWP leader Nelson Johnson.

We do not know Henry Byrd. We cannot say whether he is telling the truth or not. What is needed is a detailed investigation of these deadly serious charges.

FBI agent Thomas Brereton has emerged as a central figure in squelching any investigation of these charges. Brereton was the chief field investigator for the federal grand jury investigating the November 3rd murders — the same one that heard testimony. Brereton was also working out of the Greensboro office at the time of the Klan attack that took lives of five CWP leaders who were doing union organizing and African liberation support work. Thomas Brereton has become notorious for his role in the cover-up of the murders of Sandi Smith, Jim Waller, Bill Sampson, Mike Nathan, and Cesar Cauce.

On allegations of plotting to kill Nelson:
1. When Byrd's charges were made, he refused to carry out a good faith investigation of Bell or the Greensboro Police Department, but instead plotted to have Byrd charged with perjury.
2. He consciously misrepresented portions of Byrd's testimony to the grand jury.
3. He grilled Byrd extensively without notifying his lawyer.
4. According to Byrd, he falsely identified himself to Byrd as a U.S. Attorney and stole Byrd's papers.
5. According to Byrd, he offered to "lift Byrd and his family out of Greensboro" if Byrd lied and blamed Greensboro Civil Rights Fund lawyer Lewis Pitts for putting him up to making all these charges.

History in CWP 5 murders:
1. He had financial dealings with indicted Klansman/informant Edward Dawson.
2. He aided former D.A. Schlosser in the "prosecution" of Klansmen and Nazis charged with the murder in the 1980 trial. All of them were acquitted.
3. He erased a portion of the tape-recorded testimony of Klansman Jerry Paul Smith charged with murder in 1980.
4. He threatened to obstruct the investigation and trial if a Special Prosecutor were brought in to take over the grand jury.
5. He threatened to bring charges against Nelson Johnson for attempting to legally bring information about FBI involvement in the murders before the grand jury.
Government-Instigated Assassination of Leaders Must Stop!

Malcolm X — Assassinated February, 1965

Dr. Martin Luther King — Assassinated April, 1968

Dr. Martin Luther King and Malcolm X were effective organizers and leaders. They were assassinated.

In the 1960's, Dr. King and Malcolm X were in the streets and among the people organizing. They locked arms with the poor and suffering, fighting together for jobs, peace, and freedom. Martin and Malcolm inspired, educated, and mobilized the sons and daughters of former slaves to ride up with dignity and determination to abolish the condition of suffering and abuse of all people.

In February of 1965 Malcolm X was assassinated; in April of 1968 Dr King was assassinated. Many experts believe both were instigated by the FBI because they were effective organizers and leaders. There are many other lesser-known organizers and leaders, including Medgar Evers and Fred Hampton who were shot down. Hampton's murder was proven in court to have been carried out by the FBI.

The CWP 5 Were Effective Organizers and Leaders. They Were Assassinated.

In 1979, times were hard at the mills as they are today. Lay-offs were coming down; Klan and Nazi types were being promoted all over the state and country; sexist and racist attacks were battering people inside and outside the plant. CWP organizers Sandi Smith, Jim Waller, Bill Sampson, Mike Nathan and Cesar Cauce and others were inspiring, educating and organizing the workers. Jim Waller has been elected president of his union. All the others were respected for their hard work and dedication.

They had come to see the need not only for a few immediate improvements, but for fundamental structural changes. This meant revolution and the building of a new socialist system with the workers and formerly oppressed and poor people in charge. They were effective organizers who communicated their dream. On November 3rd, 1979, the federal government, working through the local police, Klan and Nazis, had them assassinated.

Nelson Johnson is an Effective Organizer and Leader.

Nelson Johnson has a long history of work in Greensboro and around the country, particularly among Black people. Going back to the mid-sixties, Nelson worked among the poorest Black communities for better housing, street improvement, education, recreation, and jobs. He played a leading role in organizing the Greensboro Association for Poor People (GAPP). Nelson, like the CWP 5, sees the need for fundamental structural changes. He actively fights for a socialist system. He believes the African-Americans have been the victims of cruel and vicious oppression. Nelson and the CWP believe that Black people form a Nation in the Black Belt South and have a right to self-determination — full democracy. Black people have the right and duty to fight and win their freedom. This is an important part of the struggle of the whole working class and oppressed people all over the world to be free.

Although Nelson has been slandered and physically attacked, he has not sold out and bowed to the criminals who dominate this country.

We Must Unite.

The known facts showing government involvement in the planning, execution and cover-up in the November 3rd murders is enormous. It is far too much to discuss in a leaflet. We must emphasize, though, that the government (and agent Brereton) are still desperately looking for a way to bring charges against CWP members and friends, particularly Nelson.

Now more than ever, we must put aside secondary differences and work together on many different questions, including grassroots community problems, voter registration, as well as the November 3rd murders.

We must:
1. Demand the firing of FBI Agent Thomas Brereton.
2. Continue to fight for a Special Prosecutor to investigate fully the government involvement in the murders of Jim, Sandi, Bill, Cesar, and Mike.
3. Unite and Organize. If you would like for Nelson or other persons active in the struggle to talk with you or a small group about the facts around the November 3rd murders and how it relates to the day-to-day struggle to survive, please call us at 273-4929 or 273-1592.

Communist Workers Party
P.O. Box 6674
Greensboro, N.C. 27405
AIRTSEL
7/22/83

Director, FBI (44-81521)

SAC's, Charlotte (44A-3527)
Washington Field Office

Re Charlotte teletype to FBIHQ 7/8/83 and Butelcals to WFO 7/19-20/83.

Enclosed are two copies of a self-explanatory Departmental letter dated 7/14/83.

On 7/19/83, a meeting was held between officials of the Department of Justice, FBIHQ, the United States Attorney, Middle District of North Carolina and SA[ ], Charlotte Division to discuss scope of the requested investigation.

On 7/20/83, Special Agents[ ] and[ ] of the Washington Field Office were briefed by SA[ ] as to the scope of the investigation to be conducted by Washington Field.

SA[ ] was requested to prepare an L&MM and airtel setting forth specific investigation to be conducted.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE: 1/18/84 BY 52A

44=81521=486

TO JUL 28 1983

MAIL ROOM
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HERIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 6-1-84 BY S87RHEL

GREEN KIL: CIVIL RIGHTS; 00: CHARLOTTE
PERJURY; CE FILE 74-105; 00: CHARLOTTE

RE CHARLOTTE TELCALL TO FBIHQ JULY 5, 1983.

ON JULY 5, 1983, ATTORNEYS FOR THE GREENSBORO CIVIL RIGHTS LITIGATION FUND AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNIST WORKERS PARTY (CWP), GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA, HELD A PRESS CONFERENCE ON THE STEPS OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT AT GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA, AND AT THIS PRESS CONFERENCE DISTRIBUTED A COPY OF A LETTER WRITTEN BY ATTORNEYS FOR THE GREENSBORO CIVIL RIGHTS FUND; ATTORNEY

LETTER BEING 81521 - 487

DIRECTED TO U.S. ATTORNEY FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA, GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA. LETTER
CALLED FOR, "THE IMMEDIATE TERMINATION OF FBI SPECIAL AGENT

AS CASE AGENT IN CHARGE OF THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

KLAN-NAZI INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION AND FOR HIS INDICTMENT FOR

OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN CONNECTION WITH ONE OF THE CASES HE

HANDLED IN THAT ROLE, THAT OF

LETTER CONTINUES ON TO MAKE NUMEROUS ALLEGATIONS SIMILAR TO

ALLEGATIONS MADE IN CIVIL LITIGATION, AND IN WRIT OF MANDAMUS

FOR SPECIAL PROSECUTOR. IN REFERENCE TO MR. ALLEGATIONS

WERE MADE THAT AGENT FOR THE

NOVEMBER 3 GRAND JURY WAS ASSIGNED ON APRIL 13, 1983, TO

INVESTIGATE THE ACCUSATIONS OF MR. AGAINST GREENSBORO POLICE

OFFICERS. WHILE THE LETTER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT MR. WAS

CONVICTED OF PERJURY ON JUNE 21, 1983, THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE

GREENSBORO JUSTICE FUND STATE THE POSITION THAT "NO BONA FIDE

INVESTIGATION OF THESE VERY SERIOUS CHARGES WAS DONE PRIOR TO

OR AFTER HIS INDICTMENT AND THAT THE SOLE OBJECTIVE OF

AGENT FROM BEGINNING WAS TO SECURE CONVICTION OF

PERJURY SO AS TO DISCREDIT HIS ACCUSATIONS AGAINST THE POLICE.

WAS TRIED IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN THE

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA COMMENCING ON JUNE 14, 1983, FOR
FOUR COUNTS OF PERJURY AS A RESULT OF HIS GRAND JURY TESTIMONY ON
AND AFTER A 7 DAY TRIAL, A JURY FOUND HIM GUILTY OF
4 COUNTS OF PERJURY WHICH RELATED TO THESE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST
GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA, POLICE OFFICERS. IS SCHEDULED
FOR SENTENCING DURING THE WEEK OF JULY 11, 1983.

THIS LETTER FOLLOWs A SERIES OF POSTERS WHICH HAVE BEEN
CIRCULATED BY THE CWP THROUGHOUT THE CITY OF GREENSBORO. POSTERS HAD
AFFIXED A PHOTOGRAPH OF SA TAKEN BY THE PUBLIC NEWS MEDIA
IN 1977. THIS LETTER DEMANDS THAT SA BE FIRED FROM THE FBI,
BE PROSECUTED FOR HIS ROLE IN THE COVER-UP OF THE INVESTIGATION OF
THE DEATHS OF THE 5 CWP DEMONSTRATORS ON NOVEMBER 3, 1979, AND THAT
A SPECIAL PROSECUTOR BE APPOINTED TO INVESTIGATE SA ROLE IN THESE KILLINGS AND THE COVER-UP.

USA, MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA, IS PRESENTLY ON ANNUAL
VACATION AND HAS NOT RECEIVED COPY OF THIS LETTER; HOWEVER, CHIEF
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY HAS ADVISED HE WILL MAKE
NO RESPONSE TO THE LETTER AS HE FEELS IT DOES NOT DESERVE A RESPONSE.
MR. POSITION IS THE JURY HAS FOUND MR. GUILTY AND HE HAS NO DESIRE TO HAVE ANY FURTHER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THESE ATTORNEYS FROM THE GREENSBORO JUSTICE FUND.

THE PUBLIC CAMPAIGN PRESENTLY BEING INITIATED BY THE CWP AND THE GREENSBORO JUSTICE FUND AGAINST SA IS THE CULMINATION OF APPROXIMATELY 18 MONTHS OF CONTINUOUS ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SA SINCE HE WAS ASSIGNED AS THE THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY THAT HEARD EVIDENCE ON CAPTIONED GREEN KIL INVESTIGATION. IT IS BELIEVED THESE ALLEGATIONS ARE INCREASING BECAUSE A PENDING INVESTIGATION OF FOR THE GREENSBORO JUSTICE FUND, AND FOR THE CWP, IS BEING CONDUCTED RELATIVE TO THEIR ATTEMPTS TO FURNISH TO THE GRAND JURY VARIOUS DOCUMENTS SETTING FORTH THEIR POSITION ON POLICE AND FBI INVOLVEMENT INTO THE EVENTS OF NOVEMBER 3, 1979. ON AND WITHOUT AUTHORITY, ENTERED THE GRAND JURY ROOM AND ATTEMPTED TO DISTRIBUTE SUCH DOCUMENTS AND WHEN THIS INFORMATION WAS BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF SA, HE IMMEDIATELY SEIZED THE DOCUMENTS AND ADVISED AND THEY WERE UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR POSSIBLE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN ATTEMPTING TO INFLUENCE THE GRAND JURY BY FURNISHING WRITTEN DOCUMENTS.
THIS MATTER IS STILL BEING CONSIDERED FOR PROSECUTION BY USDJ ATTORNEYS AND USA, MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA.

COPIES OF THE ABOVE LETTER AND PAMPHLET BEING DISTRIBUTED IN GREENSBORO WILL BE FORWARDED BY AIRTEL TO FBIHQ THIS DATE.

BT
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED.

DATE 6-11-84

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

RE CHARLOTTE TELCALL TO SUPERVISOR ON JULY 8, 1983.

ON JULY 7, 1983, (PROTECT IDENTITY),

CONTACTED SA AT HIS RESIDENCE AND REQUESTED TO MEET WITH HIM IN ORDER TO FURNISH SOME CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.

HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY INTERVIEWED ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS SINCE 1979, AND WAS A RESIDENT OF NORTH CAROLINA.

WAS LAST INTERVIEWED IN
Made entry into the grand jury room and attempted to find the foreman of the grand jury in an effort to deliver numerous documents making accusations against agents of the FBI for their criminal involvement in the events prior to, on November 3, 1979, and after November 3, 1979. This matter is being investigated under a separate file for obstruction of justice and is presently under consideration for prosecution by U.S.D.J.

Initially stated that his reason for contacting SA was to furnish him information that he had received according to information from a source that had on one or more occasions inadvertently overheard telephone conversations from SA. The only information could recall being told was that one conversation concerned SA.
CONFIDENTIAL NATURE THAT WAS OVERHEARD, NOR DID INDICATE

DURING THE COURSE OF THIS INTERVIEW, ALSO ADVISED THAT
HE HAD BEEN

(IN PHONETIC). DURING THESE HAS FURNISHED
INFORMATION TO THE EFFECT THAT, OF THE

ABOUT WHAT IS
TAKING PLACE IN THIS INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION. ADVISED FOR WHAT HAPPENED BEFORE
THE GRAND JURY IN KNEW THAT THE MATTER HAD ADVISED THAT AND THE HEAD
OF THE POSSIBLY NAMED HAD PREPARED A LETTER OR WAS SENDING A LETTER TO ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL, CRD,
RELATIVE TO THE PENDING INVESTIGATION AGAINST

THE ABOVE INFORMATION WAS FURNISHED TO CURRENTLY IN GREENSBORO. CONFIRMED
A LETTER HAD BEEN RECEIVED BY AFTERNOON JULY 7,

REGARDING

ALSO ADVISED THAT THIS SOURCE IN

THIS MEMORANDUM, ALLEGEDLY FROM ATF INVESTIGATIVE FILES, WAS PUBLISHED IN A PRESS RELEASE BY THE GREENSBORO JUSTICE FUND IN
Re Butel call to Charlotte 7/13/83.

Enclosed are two copies of a self-explanatory Departmental letter dated 7/13/83.

Concerning paragraph 1 of Departmental letter, retelcal requested it be determined if original blueprints or drawings are available showing the height elevations for the Morningside Administration Building and the apartment across the street at the intersection of Carver and Everitt. If available, immediately forward by Air Express to FBIHQ, Attention: Civil Rights Unit.

Paragraph 2 of Departmental letter will be handled through liaison between the Department and the Civil Rights Unit and Special Projects Section of FBIHQ.

Expeditiously complete and forward information requested in items numbered 1 through 5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECTOR, FBI (44-81521)</td>
<td>TO FBI CHARLOTTE (44A-3527) PRIORITY</td>
<td>UNCLAS GREENKL, CIVIL RIGHTS, 00: CHARLOTTE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BY LETTER DATED 8/4/83 THE DEPARTMENT REQUESTED SA BE PRESENT AT FBIHQ AND THE DEPARTMENT ON 8/8-9/83 FOR REVIEW OF TRIAL EXHIBITS AND TO ASSIST IN PRETRIAL PREPARATION.

FBIHQ AUTHORITY IS GRANTED FOR TRAVEL

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 6-12-84 BY   [Handwritten]

44-81521-492

DO NOT TYPE MESSAGE BELOW THIS LINE

AUG 11 1983

APPROVED BY [Signature]

DRAFTED BY ECH: MJ H [31]

DATE 8-5-83

ROOM 5131 TELE EXT. 4272

1 - MR.

1 - FOF

DO NOT FILE WITHOUT COMMUNICATIONS STAMP
Memorandum

To: FBI Laboratory Division
    Evidence Control Center
    Room 3223, TL 241

From: [Signature]

Subject: GREENKIL, CIVIL RIGHTS

Date: 7/22/83

Section & Symbol: SPS-A5

TESTIMONY

Buffer # [44-81521]
(circle one) Court Grand Jury Other -
Supporting: (circle one) Bureau Federal Local
City & State ____________________________ Category 1 2 3 4
Testified? □ Yes □ No If No, then circle Reason Below for Appearing in Court but not Testifying.
01. Guilty Plea 03. Case Dismissed 05. Testimony not Needed
02. Case Continued 04. Stipulation 06. Mistrial
07. Other ____________________________

Results of Trial ____________________________ Judge __________________
Prosecuting Attorney ____________________________ Defense Attorney __________________

OTHER COMMITMENTS

Buffer # [44-81521]
Agency US DEPT OF JUSTICE
(circle one) Bureau Federal Local
City & State GREENSBORO, N.C.
Dates 7/19/83-7/21/83
Workdays __3_

Purpose: (circle one)
01. Lecture/Training (Others)
01A. Tours, Training, etc., at FBIHQ
02. Training (Self)
03. Speech
04. Pretrial Conference
05. Field Examination of Evidence
06. Research/Data Acquisition
07. Investigative Support
08. Communication Support
09. Conference/Meeting
10. Deposition
0

Details/Unusual or Interesting Circumstances

OBTAINED INFORMATION TO CONSTRUCT A 3-DIMENSIONAL SCALE MODEL TO BE USED IN TRIAL.

Details/Unusual or Interesting Circumstances

REVIEWED BY [Signature]

Date: 8/16/83
Memorandum

To: FBI Laboratory Division
   Evidence Control Center
   Room 3223, TL 241

From: [Redacted]

Subject: Green kilo, Civil Rights

TESTIMONY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testified?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Reason Below</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of Trial: [Redacted]

Prosecuting Attorney: [Redacted]

OTHER COMMITMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose: (circle one)</th>
<th>01. Lecture/Training (Others)</th>
<th>03. Case Dismissed</th>
<th>05. Testimony not Needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02. Case Continued</td>
<td>04. Stipulation</td>
<td>06. Mistrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>07. Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of Trial: [Redacted]

Prosecuting Attorney: [Redacted]

NOT RECORDED

10 AUG 16 1983

Details/Unusual or Interesting Circumstances

Obtained information to construct a 3-dimensional scale model to be used in trial.
Reference is made to your memorandum dated July 14, 1983 (your file DJ#144-54M-351).

There is enclosed one copy of the report/LHM of Special Agent dated ____________________________ at___________________________.

A. ☐ This contains the results of an investigation and no further action will be taken by this Bureau unless the Department so directs.

B. ☐ The investigation is continuing and you will be furnished investigative results as they are received.

C. ☐ The investigation requested by you has now been completed. Unless advised to the contrary no further inquiries will be made by this Bureau.

D. ☐ Pursuant to instructions issued by the Department, no investigation will be conducted in this matter unless specifically directed by the Department.

E. ☐ Please advise whether you desire any further investigation.

F. ☐ This is submitted for your information and you will be advised of further developments.

G. ☐ This is submitted for your information and no further investigation will be conducted unless specifically requested by the Department.

H. ☐ This covers the receipt of a complaint and no further action will be taken by this Bureau unless the Department so directs.

Enc.  NOTE: Pursuant to your request dated above, the FBI is conducting investigation to determine the identity of any Departmental employees who may have provided or released unauthorized information and documents obtained by the Department during the course of the ongoing investigation. To assist in this investigation, please provide the FBI a list of all Civil Rights Division Employees, their home telephone numbers and home addresses for investigative purposes.
REFERENCE LOS ANGELES TELETYPE TO CHARLOTTE DATED JUNE 28, 1983; AND BUREAU TELEPHONE CALL TO LOS ANGELES DATED JULY 1, 1983.

INTERVIEWED ON JULY 1, 1983, IN THE PRESENCE OF ATTORNEY IN LOS ANGELES. FURNISHED A ONE PAGE SIGNED STATEMENT WHEREIN HE CLAIMS THAT HE SPOKE WITH AGENT RALEIGH RESIDENT AGENCY, ON NOVEMBER 2, 1983. HE CLAIMS HE GAVE AN ANONYMOUS TIP THAT OF THE NATIONAL SOCIALIST PARTY, PLANNED TO ATTACK AND POSSIBLY KILL PEOPLE AT AN ANTI-KLAN GATHERING THAT WEEK IN NORTH CAROLINA.

STATED THAT HE CALLED FROM A PAY PHONE IN KANSAS.
AND HAD THE CALL BILLED TO HIS NEW YORK RESIDENCE, OR POSSIBLY A FRIEND'S HOUSE. HE REFUSED TO SUPPLY THE TELEPHONE NUMBERS WHICH MIGHT HAVE BEEN BILLED SAYING THAT HE DID NOT WANT HIS RECORDS TO BE SUBPOENNAED BY THE FBI. HE CLAIMED HIS SOURCE OF INFORMATION WAS "SOURCES," WHOM HE DECLINED TO IDENTIFY.

STATED THAT SEVERAL DAYS AFTER THE GREENSBORO INCIDENT HE WAS IN DENVER, RECEIVED INFORMATION FROM HIS SOURCES THAT THE KLAN WAS GOING TO PULL A GUN ON A RADIO ANNOUNCER, AND FURNISHED THAT INFORMATION TO AGENT OF THE DENVER OFFICE. AFTER THE INCIDENT OCCURRED, THE DENVER OFFICE INVITED HIM DOWN TO THE OFFICE WHERE HE GAVE THEM INFORMATION ON THE KLAN. HE SAID HE SPOKE WITH THE DENVER OFFICE TWO OR THREE TIMES BY TELEPHONE.

ALSO CLAIMED THAT HE HAD BEEN SUBPOENNAED TO TESTIFY BEFORE A GRAND JURY IN NEW YORK BOTH BEFORE AND AFTER THE GREENSBORO SHOOTING. SHORTLY AFTER THE SHOOTING, ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (AUSA) NEW
YORK, MADE A STATEMENT THAT [__] HAD GIVEN SOME KIND OF TIP ABOUT SOMETHING HAPPENING IN GREENSBORO THAT WAS TRUE. SHE SAID THEY DID NOT WANT TO DISCUSS IT ANYMORE AND IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED THE GRAND JURY INQUIRY.

[__] WAS ASKED ABOUT HIS HAVING OBTAINED DOCUMENTS FROM FBI HEADQUARTERS THROUGH FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT WHICH SUBSTANTIATE HIS ALLEGATIONS. [__] STATED THAT, BECAUSE OF LITIGATION HE REFUSED TO DISCUSS ANYTHING HAVING TO DO WITH HIS RECORDS SINCE HE DID NOT WANT THEM TO BE SUBJECT TO SEIZURE.

[__] STATED THAT HE HAS FURTHER PROOF THAT HE MADE THE CALL TO THE RALEIGH RESIDENT AGENCY BUT HE IS HOLDING ONTO THIS PROOF UNTIL THE "RIGHT TIME."

FD-302 FOLLOWS.
AIRTEL

7/25/83

Director, FBI (44-81521)

SAC, Charlotte (44A-3527)

GREENKIL

CIVIL RIGHTS

OQ: CHARLOTTE

Re Butelcal to Charlotte 7/25/83 and Bureau Facsimile to Charlotte 7/25/83.

Enclosed are two copies of a Departmental letter dated July 22, 1983, which was previously furnished to Charlotte by facsimile.

Charlotte prepare 4 copies of each serial requested. Forward to reach FBIHQ before COB 7/28/83.

For information Charlotte, Legal Counsel Division and Organized Crime Intelligence Unit, FBIHQ have approved release of serials from ________

NOTE: On 7/25/83, ___________ Unit Chief, Civil Litigation Unit, Legal Counsel Division, and ___________ Unit Chief, Organized Crime Intelligence Unit, Criminal Investigative Division, approved release of serials from ________ the informant file of ________

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 6-11-83 BY SPM

44-81521-495
Director, FBI
SAC, Charlotte
GREENBELE CIVIL RIGHTS

Re: Departmental letter dated 7/13/83 from Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division, requesting a scale model be prepared by the Special Projects Section, Laboratory Division.

This is to confirm travel arrangements previously made by Visual Information Specialists (VIS) and VIS of the Special Projects Section, Laboratory Division.

VIS and VIS will travel to Greensboro, North Carolina, to obtain information and make on-site survey for the preparation of a three-dimensional scale model. They will depart Washington, D.C., on Tuesday 7/19/83, Piedmont Flight 71 at 8:30 a.m. and arrive in Greensboro, N.C., at 3:53 a.m. same date.

Transportation and accommodations are being arranged by the Greensboro Resident Agency.

NOTE:
This matter has been coordinated with SA Criminal Investigative Division.

1 - Criminal Investigative Division

LMM:skg (6)  
\[ 44 - 81521 = 496 \]

2 JUL 22 1983.  
54 AUG 24 1983
TO: Director (44-81521)
FROM: Los Angeles (44-3786)(RUC)
SUBJECT: GREENKILL CIVIL RIGHTS
OO: Charlotte

Ré Los Angeles teletype dated 6/28/83.

Enclosed for the Bureau are two copies of an FD-302 containing the interview of [Redacted]. Enclosed for Charlotte is the original and one copy of the same 302. Also enclosed for Charlotte are the agents notes and a copy of the cassette recording made during the interview.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 6/28/84

Per

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1982 0 - 369-895
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HERE IS UNCLASSIFIED

Date of transcription 7/8/83

Los Angeles, California, was interviewed at the Law Office of, was advised that he was being interviewed because he was reported to have issued a signed statement regarding the shooting of several persons during a civil rights demonstration in Greensboro, North Carolina in 1979.

The interview of , was recorded by SA using a tape recorder which was in plain sight on the table in front of during the interview. consented to have the interview recorded. also recorded the interview in the same manner.

furnished a one page document entitled, "Affidavit of", which acknowledged as his true statement of the facts surrounding a telephone call which he placed to the Raleigh, North Carolina office of the FBI on November 2, 1979. He said that this is the only document which he signed and issued concerning this phone call. He noted that he has had an exchange of documents with the Communist Workers Party and Civil Rights Groups in Greensboro and it is possible that some of these documents might have been attached to his signed statement and represented as a multi paged document. This signed statement is attached.

stated that the telephone call to the Raleigh office of the FBI was placed from somewhere in Kansas, at approximately 2 or 3 PM on the Friday before the Greensboro shooting incident. He called from a pay phone and had the charges billed either to his own residence in New York or the residence of a friend. He refused to supply the numbers which might have possibly received the billing saying that he did not want his records to be subpoenaed and furnished to the FBI.

asked for by name because his "sources down there" had told him about and that was supposed to be anti-Klan. did not identify himself by name but explained that he was a person who has done a lot of research on the Nazis and the Klan. In order to lend credence to his information,

Investigation on 7/1/83 at Los Angeles, California File # LA 44-3786

by / jdd Date dictated 7/8/83

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
spent 2 minutes telling about personal background. Then told the information as set forth in affidavit, which is attached.

asked, "Who is this?". said that he wanted to remain anonymous but had a reliable tip. replied that there was no current investigation on or the National Socialist Party, knew of no illegal activities, and abruptly hung up. The call lasted approximately 7 or 8 minutes.

was asked about the source of his information and he replied that he had "sources" which he refused to identify. stated that he and his associates monitor Klan and Nazi activities. know who most of the members are and what they are doing.

Several days after the Greensboro incident, was in Denver for an anti-Klan demonstration. He received information from his sources that the Klan was planning to pull a gun on a local radio announcer. called the Denver office of the FBI, talked with and told him what was going to happen. Subsequently, the of the Klan, went to a local radio station and pulled a gun on the announcer.

was then invited to go down to the Denver office of the FBI where he gave them some information on the Klan. He called the Denver office a total of 2 or 3 times.

Since that time, he has made no other calls to the FBI in order to provide tips because he has become disillusioned about the willingness of the FBI to do anything about these violent groups. He noted that he has been interviewed by the FBI and has been subpoenaed because of his Jewish Defense activities.

Both prior to and following the Greensboro shootings, was Federal Grand Jury in New York. One day, following the shootings, AUSA New York said to the persons present that had given some sort of tip about something happening in Greensboro, that was true, and that they did not want to discuss it anymore. then abruptly stopped the Grand Jury inquiry and told he did not have to appear anymore. New York attorney, phone is also familiar with this incident.

noted that the November 2nd call was the only one which he has ever made to the Raleigh office of the FBI.
was asked about any documents which he might have received from the FBI through the Freedom of Information Act, documents which were mentioned in a press release by civil rights groups in Greensboro. said that he is involved in current litigation in Los Angeles and many of his documents have been seized from him. He is also being. Because of this, he has to be careful with his files and will not discuss anything which is in his files since he does not want them to be subject to seizure.

noted that he has further proof to back up his claim that he called the Raleigh office of the FBI on the day before the Greensboro shooting but he is holding it until the "right time".

gave his date of birth as.
AFFIDAVIT OF

I, [Name], declare that on November 2, 1979, I called the Raleigh, North Carolina office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). I spoke to an FBI agent named [Name]. I gave Agent [Name] the following statement:

"I'd like to give you an anonymous tip. I have information that [Name] of the National Socialist Party of America is up to heavy illegal activity. [Name] has been training in the Jefferson County area with illegal weapons. He and his group have plans to attack and possibly kill people at an anti-Klan gathering this week in North Carolina. He is going to attack his opponents at this gathering."

I further declare that Agent [Name] stated the following in response to my statement:

"We are not allowed to investigate the Nazis. I don't know of any illegal activities by the Nazis. We have no current investigation pending against [Name] or his group."

I further declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California and the United States that the above statement is true and correct.

Executed on Monday, April 18, 1983, in Los Angeles, California

[Stamp]
On April 20, 1983, for the Communist Worker's Party of the United States, Greensboro, North Carolina, held a press conference in Greensboro, North Carolina, and distributed to the news media a statement which he was preparing to present to the Federal Grand Jury which was convened at Winston-Salem, North Carolina, hearing evidence into the violence of November 3, 1979, and related matters.

After the press conference, and one of his attorneys, of the Greensboro Civil Rights Litigation Fund, came to Winston-Salem, North Carolina, and without authority obtained entrance into the Federal Grand Jury Room and according to Grand Jurors in the room at the time, attempted to locate the Foreman of the Grand Jury, in order to leave with him a large packet of material.

This material, among other things, contained a statement of to the November 3, 1979, Grand Jury in which he alleges in paragraph 3 of page 1 of that statement:

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS NEITHER RECOMMENDATIONS NOR CONCLUSIONS OF THE FBI. IT IS THE PROPERTY OF THE FBI AND IS LOANED TO YOUR AGENCY; IT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE YOUR AGENCY.

44-81521

ENCLOSURE
Permission for the undercover Special Agent's attendance at KKK and NSPA rallies and meetings was granted by Assistant Director, Criminal Enforcement (ADCE) on a case by case basis after conference with the firearms and Charlotte SACs."

The statement of also includes how the memorandum sets forth the details of the contact by undercover agent with members of the Nazi organization on June 24 in Palmer, Ohio.

The last area where quotes from this briefing paper is as follows:

attempted to furnish this document, as well as others, to the Grand Jurors in an effort to influence the Grand Jury that Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation were knowledgeable of the undercover operation of and the ATF in the Nazi organization, Forsyth County, North Carolina, prior to November 3, 1979. Investigation has determined that the quotations contained in the statement of were adapted from an. The document, which has been located among the Grand Jury exhibits, marked as

Since that date, copies of these have been filed and maintained at U.S. Department of Justice headquarters in Washington, D.C.

The information contained in statement as to the code JA-66 is inaccurate as it relates to the ATF briefing memo of July 16, 1980, in that the initials of the author of the memo are JA, but the numerical designation is not 66, but 44.

It is also noted that the code 5a, or 5b, does not appear at the bottom of this memorandum, however, this code does
appear on numerous transmittal letters internally within the ATF and the agent's initials JA is the most frequent author of these internal memos.

On July 19, 1983, a conference was held at FBI Headquarters, Washington, D.C., which was attended by the supervisory staff of the Civil Rights Section, Federal Bureau of Investigation; the Chief and Deputy Chief of the Criminal Section of the Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice; U.S. Attorney, Middle District of North Carolina; and Charlotte Case Agent. It was concluded at this conference that an appropriate investigation should be conducted by the FBI into the determination of how Grand Jury material in the control of the U.S. Department of Justice and/or ATF documents came into possession of attorneys for the Christic Institute; Greensboro Civil Rights Litigation Funds; and members of the Communist Worker's Party of the United States at Greensboro, North Carolina.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-81521)
FROM: SAC, CHARLOTTE (44A-3527) (P)

Re conference conducted USDJ, FBI Headquarters, 7/19/83.

Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and three copies of an LHM and for WFO two copies setting forth background relative to the information developed that possible Grand Jury materials regarding ATF's investigation are in possession of attorneys of the Christic Institute; Greensboro Civil Rights Litigation Fund; and members of the Communist Worker's Party. One copy of this LHM being furnished to the U.S. Attorney's Office, Greensboro, N.C.

The purpose of this airtel, other than forwarding the LHM for dissemination, is to set forth a summary of the Greenkil investigation for agents at WFO who have the responsibility of investigation of the leak of information by unknown subjects, U.S. Department of Justice, to attorneys for the Christic Institute and the Greensboro Civil Rights Litigation Fund.

BACKGROUND OF INVESTIGATION - GREENKIL

On 11/3/79, members and supporters of the Worker's Viewpoint Organization, now known as the Communist Worker's Party, at Greensboro, N.C. sponsored a parade and rally entitled
Death to the Klan. This parade and rally was well-advertised throughout the Piedmont Area of North Carolina by members of the Worker's Viewpoint Organization (WVO) and they made extensive use of the news media, and publishing and distributing pamphlets advertising their Death to the Klan rally.

The theme Death to the Klan had originated over a period of several months after the organizers of the WVO were successful in getting a large number of Black residents of a town called China Grove, N.C., to protest the Klan's showing of the film Birth of a Nation at the China Grove Civic Center in 7/79. As a result of that success, the organizers of the WVO planned for a large scale parade and demonstration in the city of Greensboro with the theme Death to the Klan, and promoted their rally predominantly in the Black communities of the city of Greensboro and the surrounding area.

The location for the assembly and parade was the Morningside Project, a low-rental Black community in the city of Greensboro.

As a result of the large amount of publicity generated by the WVO and their theme, Death to the Klan, members of the Invisible Empire of the Ku Klux Klan from Lincolnton, N.C., and members of the Nazi Party from Raleigh and Winston-Salem, N.C., made plans to come to Greensboro, N.C., to disrupt; harass and intimidate the persons assembled for the Death to the Klan rally.

On 11/3/79, at approximately 11:21 AM, a caravan of nine vehicles occupied by approximately 40 members and supporters of the Ku Klux Klan and Nazi Party arrived at the intersection of Everitt and Carver Street in Greensboro, N.C., at the Morningside Project where members of the WVO and people from the local Black community were assembling for the parade.

As the Klan-Nazi caravan drove through on Everitt Street, words were exchanged between the demonstrators and the occupants of the vehicles and demonstrators spontaneously began attacking the Klan vehicles with 2' x 2's and clubs.
This resulted in initial gunfire by the Klan from the caravan area and then erupted into a street fight in the intersection of Everitt and Carver Street.

As the gunfire continued by the Klan, other Klan members who were involved in the street fight ran back to their vehicles which were parked on Everitt Street and removed a series of shotguns, an AR-180, and hand guns and began firing into the fleeing crowd. Several members of the Communist Worker's Party (CWP) present in the street returned fire with hand guns but were totally ineffective.

As a result of the gunfire from the Klan-Nazi group, five Communist demonstrators were killed and nine other individuals were wounded.

When the police arrived at the scene, they arrested 14 Klan-Nazi demonstrators and 6 were subsequently indicted for First Degree Murder and tried by the Superior Court for the State of North Carolina.

The 6 Klan-Nazi demonstrators who were tried were acquitted by a jury and as a result of their acquittal, and the subsequent pledge of cooperation by Communist demonstrators, the U.S. Department of Justice began a Federal Grand Jury inquiry into the events of 11/3/79, on 3/22/82. The Grand Jury was convened at Winston-Salem, N.C., and sat for 14 months hearing approximately 150 witnesses; receiving over 2,000 exhibits; and subpoenaing tens of thousands of pages of material from the various law enforcement agencies which participated in the investigation prior to and after the violence of 11/3/79.

Since the very first day of the violence, members of the CWP and their attorneys began a media campaign that agents of the U.S. Government, specifically the FBI, the Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms, and the City Police of Greensboro, were involved in a massive conspiracy to promote the violence which occurred on 11/3/79. The CWP and their attorneys have filed a $48 million law suit naming 96 defendants,
the majority of whom are employees of the U.S. Government, and city and state of North Carolina, with a conspiracy to violate the civil rights of the dead and injured of the 11/3/79 violence.

As a result of these public allegations, the Federal Grand Jury who heard evidence for approximately 14 months, considered not only evidence relative to the violation of the Civil Rights Act by members of the Nazi-Klan organizations who participated in the violence on 11/3/79, but heard a volume of evidence on the public allegations involving the FBI, ATF, and the City Police of Greensboro.

On 4/21/83, the FGJ returned a 14-count bill of indictment charging 9 members of the Ku Klux Klan and Nazi organizations with various violations of civil rights to include conspiracy, 12 substantive counts of violation of civil rights causing injury or death, and conspiracy to obstruct justice.

On 4/20/83, the day before the Grand Jury was to deliberate on the indictments presented to them by the U.S. Department of Justice, of the CWP, and of Greensboro, N.C., made an unauthorized entrance into the Grand Jury Room during the lunch hour and attempted to locate the Foreman of the Grand Jury to present to them a series of documents for the Grand Jury's consideration.

However, in Mr. statement to the Grand Jury which he had typewritten, Mr. acknowledged that he and his lawyers had obtained from a source who was a law enforcement official,
The information contained in Mr.

However, the information contained in

While the majority of the information contained in

Approved: ____________________ Transmitted ____________________ Per ____________________
(Number) (Time)
These documents were maintained until 8/82, at the vault of the U.S. District Court in Winston-Salem, N.C., and a limited number of people had access to them. These individuals were the Departmental Attorneys involved in the investigation, and case agent of the FBI of the Greensboro RA, and SC of the Greensboro RA. It is extremely doubtful any of the__ were received by attorneys for the CWP as early as 8/82, as their history and use of the public media demonstrates that shortly after receiving such documentation, they began a media campaign in order to influence the local community as to government involvement in 11/3/79.

However, after 8/82, copies of all these__ were maintained in Washington, D.C., in the Criminal Section of the Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice. While at USDJ headquarters in Washington, D.C.,__ were not maintained in a vault, but were maintained in an office of attorney__ and were kept in a large cabinet which could not be locked. There were approximately 30-40 volumes of material maintained in this cabinet and most of the documentation was labeled by
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, CHARLOTTE (44A-3527)(P)
SUBJECT: GREENKIL

Re telcall from Supervisor Civil Rights Unit, FBIHQ, 7/25/83.

Enclosed herewith are four copies each of 13 serials listed in Department of Justice memo to the FBI, dated 7/22/83. These serials have been duplicated from Informant File of

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 6-11-84 BY SPC-TKL

ENC. BEHIND FILE

17 NOV 9 1983
Evidence Receipt (to be used in lieu of correspondence covering evidence submissions to the Laboratory)
7-16 (5-9-55)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency submitting evidence</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEPT. OF JUSTICE</td>
<td>8/25/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivered by</th>
<th>Accepted by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>SA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspect</th>
<th>Victim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ET AL (K.K.</td>
<td>CAUSE, ET AL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offense</th>
<th>Place and date of offense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATION</td>
<td>GREENSBORO, N.C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief Facts covering case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COLOR STILL PHOTOS GREENK11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAKEN FROM THREE (3) VIDEO-TAPES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prev. exams this case</th>
<th>Evid. Located</th>
<th>Report to be directed to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>MR. CHIEF, CRIMINAL SECTION, CIVIL RIGHTS DIV.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Copies to</th>
<th>Evidence to be returned to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Room #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of hearing, grand jury, trial, or reason why expeditious handling is necessary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST 31, 1983</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evidence

**RESUBMISSION OF:**

1. DIRECT COPY OF 0354
2. 0358
3. 0359

3/4" U-MATIC VIDEO CASSETTE TAPES

(Use reverse side if necessary for additional evidence)
To:  Mr. Chief, Criminal Section
     Civil Rights Division
     Department of Justice
     Washington, D.C.

Re:  CAUCE, ET AL - VICTIM
     GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA
     CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATION

Examination requested by:  Address
Reference:  Evidence received 8/25/83
Examination requested:  Video Tape
Specimens received:  8/25/83

Resubmission of:  (1) Direct copy of Q354
                 (2) Q358
                 (3) Q359
                 3/4" U-matic video cassette tapes
VIDEO WORKSHEET

TAPE FORMAT
U-MATIC REEL-TO-REEL
VHS 1/2"
BETA 1"
OTHER

VIDEO FORMAT
REAL TIME
STILL FRAME
TIME-LAPSE
SPLIT FRAME
TIME-CODE
SLO-MO
COLOR
OTHER

AUDIO SIGNAL
AUDIO (Y) or (N)
NOISE
WEAK STRONG
STEREO/MONO

PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS
OXIDE WORN
TAPE DIRTY
TAPE STRETCHED TORN, CUT
TAPE CRINKLED HOUSING
EDGE FEATHERED TAPE JAMMED

VIDEO SIGNAL CHARACTERISTICS
SYNC
CONTROL TRACK
NOISE
VIDEO SIG. STRENGTH
ROLL
TEAR
COLOR PHASE
COLOR STRENGTH

SET-UP

SONY BVX-30 TBC H, V-3 NG-3 MB-2

VIDEO OUT

BARCO VIDEO MONITOR CTVM 3151

TOPCON 35mm CAMERA

TWO ROLLS OF 35mm COLOR PRINT FILM TAKEN OF PICTURES FROM 0354, 0358 AND 0359

PECIMEN DESCRIPTION
0354, 0358, 0359 3/4-INCHE U-MATICS REMAINED IN CUSTODY OF MS

DOJ NEEDS COLOR NEGATIVES TO PRODUCE PICTURES OF SUBJECTS FOR USE IN COURT

VIDEOTAPE COPIES/PHOTOGRAPHS

35mm FIlM ROLLS TAKEN TO PHOTOGRAPHIC UNIT FOR PROCESSING

WILL PICK-UP PROCESSED FILM DIRECTLY FROM PHOTO UNIT

AS SELECTED BY

3082505788

LAB. NO.

BUFILE NO.

DATE

AGENCY/FIELD OFFICE

SPECIMEN(S) 0354, 0358, 0359

RESUB OF 0354 (D.C.)
EVIDENCE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Received from

(Name and Title)

Justice

(Department or Agency)

10th & Penn  Wash.  DC  633-3470
(Address)  (Phone Number)

3-3/4" V-MATIC VIDEO TAPE CASSETTES

□ box(es), □ bag(s), □ envelope(s), □ vial(s), □ object(s)  Evidence Should Be

of sealed evidence for examination in connection with case number 144-54M-351
entitled US v Griffin, et al (Greenkill)

This evidence will remain in the custody of the FBI Laboratory while the examinations are being conducted. Following completion of the examinations, a report containing the results of the examinations and the disposition of the evidence will be forwarded to your department. If evidence is picked up personally, your representative should identify it with the Laboratory case number(s) assigned in the FBI Laboratory report.

ITEMS REMAINED IN CUSTODY OF

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FBI/DOJ
Reference is made to your memorandum dated 7/22/83 (your file 144-54M-351 ).

There is enclosed one copy of the report/LHM of Special Agent at  

A. □ This contains the results of an investigation and no further action will be taken by this Bureau unless the Department so directs.  

B. □ The investigation is continuing and you will be furnished investigative results as they are received.  

C. □ The investigation requested by you has now been completed. Unless advised to the contrary no further inquiries will be made by this Bureau.  

D. □ Pursuant to instructions issued by the Department, no investigation will be conducted in this matter unless specifically directed by the Department.  

E. □ Please advise whether you desire any further investigation.  

F. □ This is submitted for your information and you will be advised of further developments.  

G. □ This is submitted for your information and no further investigation will be conducted unless specifically requested by the Department.  

H. □ This covers the receipt of a complaint and no further action will be taken by this Bureau unless the Department so directs. 

Enc. Enclosed are 13 serials from the informant file(s) pursuant to your request.
FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-91521)
TO: SAC, CHARLOTTE (44A-3527)

Re Departmental letter of 7/13/83.

There is being forwarded to Federal Courthouse Building
Main Street
Winston-Salem, North Carolina
Att: SA

by Profit By Air, Inc., B/L 92528914

the following one large wooden crate containing trial exhibit

prepared by Special Projects Section, Laboratory Division, re captioned matter.

The following action should be taken by your office:

TRIAL EXHIBITS OR OTHER VISUAL AIDS

☐ Check the above items against submitted work papers or roughs.
☒ Advise FBIHQ, attention Special Projects Section, Laboratory Division, (within ninety-days), re the use and effectiveness of these items, including all pertinent comments.

ARTIST'S CONCEPTIONS OR RETOUCHED PHOTOGRAPHS

☐ Have witness(es) view item(s) for evaluation.
☐ If modification is necessary, submit additional information and any pertinent comments of witness(es) to FBIHQ, Special Projects Section, Laboratory Division.
☐ Advise (within ninety-days) re the use and effectiveness of the above items. Upon apprehension of subject(s), submit arrest photographs.
ARRAIGNMENT

Rule 16

(a) Disclosure of Evidence by the Government.

(b) Statement of Defendant. Upon request of a defendant the government shall permit the defendant to inspect and copy or photograph any relevant written or recorded statements made by the defendant, or copies thereof, within the possession, custody or control of the government, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the attorney for the government; the substance of any oral statement which the government intends to offer in evidence at the trial made by the defendant whether before or after arrest in response to interrogation by any person then known to the defendant to be a government agent; and recorded testimony of the defendant before a grand jury which relates to the offense charged. Where the defendant is a corporation, partnership, association or labor union, the court may grant the defendant, upon its motion, discovery of relevant recorded testimony of any witness before a grand jury who (1) was, at the time of his testimony, so situated as an officer or employee as to have been able legally to bind the defendant in respect to conducting the offense, or (2) was, at the time of the offense, personally involved in the alleged conduct constituting the offense and so situated as an officer or employee as to have been able legally to bind the defendant in respect to that alleged conduct in which he was involved.

(B) Defendant's Prior Record. Upon request of the defendant, the government shall furnish to the defendant such copy of his prior criminal record, if any, as is within the possession, custody, or control of the government, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the attorney for the government.

(C) Documents and Tangible Objects. Upon request of the defendant the government shall permit the defendant to inspect and copy or photograph books, papers, documents, photographs, tangible objects, buildings or places, or
Enclosed for information, are two copies of a Departmental letter dated 8/8/83, along with two copies of Rule 16(a)(1)(A), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

The attached Departmental letter has been discussed with both the Organized Crime Intelligence Unit (OCIU), Criminal Investigative Division (CID), and the Legal Research Unit (LRU), Legal Counsel Division (LCD). Both the OCIU, CID, and LRU, LCD, have stated the informant file should not be released in its entirety as requested by the Department. The OCIU, CID, and LRU, LCD, agree that specific documents may be furnished to the Department under the following conditions and procedures.

The informant file maintained in the Charlotte Division Headquarters Office may be further reviewed by Departmental Attorney along with the Charlotte Principal Legal Advisor (PLA), who will provide copies of any documents which reflect information furnished by while he was an FBI informant. Excluded from release to Attorney are copies of documents which reveal the identity of other informants whose names or symbol numbers may appear on the documents. Administrative documents which do not reflect information furnished by should also be withheld. If a question arises concerning the release of any document, immediately contact FBIHQ so that a resolution of the matter can be made.

The Department has been advised of the conditions imposed by the OCIU, CID, and LRU, LCD, for further review and/or release of documents from the informant file. Charlotte will be advised when Attorney plans to review the file.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
By letter dated 8/8/83, the Department requested a complete copy of the informant file for review, in order that a determination can be made as to whether the Government is in compliance with Rule 16, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (FRCP). Counsel for defendant has requested the Government turn over all statements of the defendant pursuant to Rule 16(a)(1)(A) FRCP. SA OCUI, CID; and SA LRU, LCD, have reviewed the request and imposed restrictions on the release of the informant file as noted above. was an FBI informant of the Division from . By letter dated 8/25/83, the Department was advised of the conditions under which the informant file may further be reviewed.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-81521)
FROM: SAC, WFO (44A-1194) (P)

GREENKIL

Reference Charlotte airtel to Bureau dated 7/27/83.

Enclosed for Cincinnati and Milwaukee are one copy each of referenced airtel along with a copy of a letterhead memorandum (LHM) setting forth background relative to a leak investigation involving the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Section, Civil Rights Division. Also enclosed for Cincinnati is a DOJ memorandum from [redacted] former student intern, Criminal Section, DOJ.

For information of receiving offices enclosed LHM and airtel provide a complete synopsis of captioned matter. The LHM relates to the current investigation being conducted by Washington Field relative to the possible leaking of several
to representatives of the Christic Institute; Greensboro Civil Rights Litigation Fund; and members of the Communist Workers Party (CWP). [redacted] and were in possession of DOJ attorneys, Washington, D.C., at the time they were leaked.

The subpoenas [redacted] were being kept in the office of [redacted] Departmental Attorney, handling captioned matter. Prior to the discovery that information had been leaked no security measures were employed by DOJ to secure the integrity of the [redacted]. To date, no real suspects have been developed, however the name of [redacted] Departmental Attorney, General Litigation Section, has surfaced. Investigation has determined.

Approved: Transmitted (Number) (Time) Per

2 AUG 29 1983
that in January, 1983, [redacted] was observed leaving the office of [redacted]. [redacted] was confronted by [redacted], former [redacted] who was involved with captioned matter. When [redacted] was questioned by [redacted] regarding his presence in [redacted] office he could provide no logical response. In addition, former [redacted] who shared an office with [redacted] also had a conversation with [redacted] around the same time period. According to [redacted] in January, 1983, [redacted] talked with [redacted] who admitted being in their office and stated he was interested in a civil rights case involving Indian Rights. It appears the response he provided to [redacted] was somewhat different than what he had relayed to [redacted]. [redacted] is no longer with [redacted] but is presently employed with the [redacted] office telephone [redacted]. As noted, [redacted] is assigned to [redacted] and would have had no reason to be in the Civil Rights unit regarding his employment responsibilities. [redacted] should also be approached as a possible suspect relating to the documents.

For Cincinnati information, the enclosed memorandum explains the encounter of [redacted] who is presently residing at [redacted]. During interview of [redacted] attempt to discreetly determine if he has maintained any contact with [redacted]. If so, determine if he is aware of associations with the Christic Institute, Greensboro Civil Rights Litigation Fund, and the CWP. [redacted] should be interviewed in detail relative to any conversation he had with [redacted] regarding the investigation in which he participated in as a law clerk. Also determine whether or not he disclosed any internal documents while employed as an [redacted]. It should also be discussed as to whether or not he would consent to a possible polygraph examination in the future regarding this situation.

Receiving offices are requested to expedite the interview in this matter based on the importance of the investigation. It is also requested that interviews be documented in FD-302 suitable for dissemination by Washington Field. Submit any significant information to WFO, and set forth appropriate leads.

LEADS

CHARLOTTE

AT GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA. Forward results of FD-302 relating to the interview of [redacted] conducted by Special Agent [redacted].
CINCINNATI

AT HILLIARD, OHIO. Locate and interview [ ] relating to his encounter with [ ] explained in enclosed memorandum.

MILWAUKEE

MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN. Locate and interview [ ] office telephone [ ] regarding her encounter and conversation with [ ], along with appropriate questioning relating to her possible involvement with the documents.

WFO

AT WASHINGTON, D.C. Will interview [ ] and initiate interviews previously discussed with FBIHQ and the DOJ.

PURPOSE: To set forth a written record in the form of a Technical Services Division (TSD) report detailing the results of the requested work.

DETAILS: Attached is the TSD report detailing the results of the requested video tape duplication.

RECOMMENDATION: That the attached TSD report be placed in file and Laboratory case number 30324062 E QE be closed.

Enclosures
1 - Mr. Attention: SA, Rm. 5131
1 - Mr.
1 - Mr.
1 - Mr.
1 - Mr.
1 - Mrs

NH: appb (7)
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION:
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Worksheet

To: Mr. Revell
Criminal Investigative Division
FBIHQ

Re: GREENKIL

FBI FILE NO. 44-81521-504
LAB. NO. 30324062 E QE
YOUR NO. 04343

Examination by: [Blank]

Examination requested by: [Blank]
Reference: Evidence Receipt dated 3/23/83
Examination requested: Duplication
Specimens received: 3/24/83, personally delivered by SA

Q1981 - one video tape
VIDEO WORKSHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAB. NO.</th>
<th>30324 0626EDE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>3/21/83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUFILE NO.</td>
<td>44-01521</td>
<td>SPECIMEN(S)</td>
<td>8/981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENCY/FIELD OFFICE</td>
<td>H C W C (C-ID)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **TAPE FORMAT**
  - U-MATIC / REEL-TO-REEL
  - VHS
  - BETA
  - OTHER

- **VIDEO FORMAT**
  - REALTIME
  - STILL FRAME
  - TIME-LAPSE
  - SPLIT FRAME
  - TIME-CODE
  - SLO-MO
  - COLOR
  - OTHER

- **AUDIO SIGNAL**
  - AUDIO
  - NOISE
  - WEAK
  - STRONG
  - STEREO
  - MONO

- **PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS**
  - OXIDE WORN
  - TAPE DIRTY
  - TAPE STRETCHED
  - TORN, CUT
  - TAPE CRINKLED
  - HOUSING
  - EDGE FEATHERED
  - TAPE JAMMED

- **VIDEO SIGNAL CHARACTERISTICS**
  - SYNC
  - SCAN
  - CONTROL TRACK
  - NOISE
  - VIDEO SIG. STRENGTH
  - ROLL
  - TEAR
  - COLOR PHASE
  - COLOR STRENGTH

- **SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION(S)**
  - AMPEX KCA-60 U-MATIC VIDEO CASSETTE
  - MKD: "LIE-DETECTOR PROGRAM 2/22/83 START AT TAPE COUNTER 254 - 401"

---

**SET-UP**

- **SONY VO-2800**

---

**VIDEO TAPE COPIES/PHOTOGRAPHS**

- **ONE EACH (2)**
  - UCA-30
  - U-MATIC VIDEO CASSETTE COPIES
To: Mr. Oliver B. Revell
Criminal Investigative Division
FBIHQ

Re: GREENKIL

To: Mr. Oliver B. Revell
Criminal Investigative Division
FBIHQ

Attention: SA
Room 5131

Specimens received
Personally delivered by SA on March 23, 1983.

QL981 One Ampex KCA-60 U-matic video cassette tape marked in part "Lie Detector Program 2/22/83."

Result of examination:
Two direct video/audio copies of QL981 were made, each copy consisting of one UCA-30 U-matic video cassette tape.

QL981 and the copies of QL981 were personally delivered to SA Criminal Investigative Division, on March 29, 1983.
8/8/83

JUDGE:

Re: GREENKILL

Re note to you dated 7/19/83 (attached).

On 8/1/83, Section Chief Criminal Investigative Division (CID) advised that he is aware of the current investigation of the possible unauthorized release of information and/or documents by a DOJ employee in the captioned investigation. [Section Chief] advised he was in attendance at the 7/19/83 meeting when Justice requested an investigation. [Justice] stated that those attending were Section Chief, Civil Rights Division, DOJ; Deputy, Civil Rights Division, DOJ; United States Attorney, District of North Carolina; Charlotte FBI Case Agent and Special Agents and himself for FBIHQ. [Deputy] said he was not aware if OPR/DOJ was contacted regarding this matter, however, he agrees that OPR/DOJ should be advised in view of the possible DOJ employee involved in this investigation. [Deputy] was advised that Counsel, OPR/DOJ, would be orally briefed on this matter.

On 8/1/83, Deputy Counsel, OPR/DOJ was fully advised of the information known regarding the possible leak of information or documents by a DOJ employee. [Deputy] advised that he was not aware of this matter but would bring it to attention. [Deputy] said that from the facts known to him, no investigation will be requested of the OPR/Inspection Division. OPR/DOJ will monitor the investigation through the Civil Rights Division/DOJ.

On 8/5/83, [Deputy] advised that [Deputy] was not aware of this matter and was appreciative of us bringing it to his attention. Mr. [Deputy] advised that Mr. [Deputy] is in agreement with him that this is not a matter for OPR/ID but it should be handled by CID.

Enc. ENCLOSED

1 - Mr.
1 - Mr.
1 - Mr.
Judge:

RE: GREENKIL

Information has been received that a document described as a

On 7/7/83, in Greensboro, North Carolina, voluntarily contacted the case FBI Agent. They have advised he has had frequent contact with Washington, D.C., who is also the

This unidentified employee of the Department is furnishing information to also advised that

This was sent to the head of the Civil Rights Division for consideration. also told that

between and Mr. relative to the investigation and possible prosecution of. The Department has advised this contacted the case Agent.

(CONTINUED - OVER)

For Information Only.
RE: GREENKIL

The case Agent believes this information to have credibility and supported his contention by stating that members of the CWP and Greensboro Justice Fund made no attempt to demonstrate or issue press releases at the time the FGJ reconvened in [redacted]. This lack of activity by the CWP and Greensboro Justice Fund during the [redacted] FGJ Session indicates that the CWP and Greensboro Justice Fund had advance information that no indictments were to be sought at this time but rather at a later FGJ Session.

On [redacted], the FGJ met to [redacted], and [redacted]. On [redacted], a CWP member, attempted to enter the FGJ meeting room to deliver documents to the FGJ. [redacted] was also present but did not enter the Grand Jury room. [redacted] had previously testified before the FGJ and was invited to testify again on [redacted]; however, he advised he was not available.

The Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, has requested the FBI investigate this matter to determine if any Departmental employees may have released documents or furnished information without authorization.

On 7/19/83, a meeting was held at FBIHQ between Departmental attorneys and FBIHQ officials to discuss the Department's request for investigation. Investigation is being instituted by our Charlotte and Washington Field Offices.

O. B. Revell

[Handwritten notes on the page]
Memorandum

To: Assistant Attorney General
   Civil Rights Division

From: Director, FBI

Subject: GREENKIL
       CIVIL RIGHTS
       OFFICE: CHARLOTTE

Reference is made to your memorandum dated August 2, 1983, (your file DJ 144-54M-351).

There is enclosed one copy of the report/LHM of Special Agent dated at .

A. This contains the results of an investigation and no further action will be taken by this Bureau unless the Department so directs.

B. The investigation is continuing and you will be furnished investigative results as they are received.

C. The investigation requested by you has now been completed. Unless advised to the contrary no further inquiries will be made by this Bureau.

D. Pursuant to instructions issued by the Department, no investigation will be conducted in this matter unless specifically directed by the Department.

E. Please advise whether you desire any further investigation.

F. This is submitted for your information and you will be advised of further developments.

G. This is submitted for your information and no further investigation will be conducted unless specifically requested by the Department.

H. This covers the receipt of a complaint and no further action will be taken by this Bureau unless the Department so directs.

Enc. Your request for a complete copy of the informant file maintained by the Charlotte Office of the FBI has been reviewed by the Legal Counsel Division and the Criminal Investigative Division, FBIHQ.

It is the position of the Legal Counsel Division and the Criminal Investigative Division that the informant file may not be released in its entirety; however, Attorney has been authorized to further review the file at our Charlotte Headquarters Office along with the Charlotte Principal Legal Advisor.
During this review, Attorney will be furnished copies of any documents not now in his possession, in which furnished information to the FBI and which do not reveal the identities of any other informant. Further excluded from production are any documents of an Administrative nature which do not contain information furnished by

Please advise when Attorney will be available to review the file at the Charlotte Office.
APRIL, 1980. WITH THE EXCEPTION OF A CHANGE IN THE CODE NUMBER, THIS MEMO IS IDENTICAL IN LANGUAGE TO AN INTERNAL AFFAIRS MEMO OF THE ATF.

ATTORNEY CRD, ASSISTED BY SA CRD, ADVISED HE WOULD DISCUSS THIS MATTER WITH ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL THIS DATE AND MAY REQUEST A FULL FBI INVESTIGATION INTO THE LEAK OF INFORMATION FROM USDJ TO GREENSBORO JUSTICE FUND.

WAS NOT REQUESTED TO HAVE ANY FURTHER CONTACT WITH BUT ADVISED THAT IF HE RECEIVED ANY OTHER INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS MATTER, HE FELT IT WAS HIS OBLIGATION TO REPORT IT TO THE FBI, AS HE BELIEVES NOW THAT HE HAS . INDICATED THAT HE WOULD SUPPLY INFORMATION CONFIDENTIALLY IF HE DETERMINED ANY MORE INFORMATION REGARDING THE ABOVE CAPTIONED MATTERS AND REQUESTED THAT HIS IDENTITY BE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL BECAUSE OF HIS
CHARLOTTE IS CONDUCTING NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION IN THIS
MATTER UNTIL FBI HQ AND US DJ, ADVISE OF SPECIFIC STEPS TO BE TAKEN.
CHARLOTTE WILL CONTINUE TO ACCEPT INFORMATION VOLUNTEERED BY
UACB.
Reference is made to __________ memorandum dated __________ (your file __________).

There is enclosed one copy of memorandum a teletype dated __________ at __________ (44A-3527).

A. □ This contains the results of an investigation and no further action will be taken by this Bureau unless the Department so directs.

B. □ The investigation is continuing and you will be furnished investigative results as they are received.

C. □ The investigation requested by you has now been completed. Unless advised to the contrary no further inquiries will be made by this Bureau.

D. □ Pursuant to instructions issued by the Department, no investigation will be conducted in this matter unless specifically directed by the Department.

E. □ Please advise whether you desire any further investigation.

F. □ This is submitted for your information and you will be advised of further developments.

G. □ This is submitted for your information and no further investigation will be conducted unless specifically requested by the Department.

H. □ This covers the receipt of a complaint and no further action will be taken by this Bureau unless the Department so directs.

Enc. (1)