

On Semi-Fredholm Band-Dominated Operators

Markus Seidel

Abstract

In this paper we study the semi-Fredholm property of band-dominated operators A and prove that it already implies the Fredholmness of A in all cases where this is not disqualified by obvious reasons. Moreover, this observation is applied to show that the Fredholmness of a band-dominated operator already follows from the surjectivity of all its limit operators.

MSC: Primary 47A53; Secondary 47L10, 47B36, 46E40

Keywords: Semi-Fredholm operator, Band-dominated operator, Limit operator, Operator spectrum

1 Introduction

We discuss the question whether or when the semi-Fredholm property of a band-dominated operator on $\mathbf{X} = l^p(\mathbb{Z}^N, X)$ already yields its Fredholmness. For classes of Toeplitz operators this is well known (see e.g. [2, 2.29, 2.47] and [15, 4.47, 4.108]). In particular, the abstract theory of continuous functions of shifts in [5] and its applications e.g. [15, Section 4] typically provide results of such type.

Here, as usual, $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^N, X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, denotes the space of all functions/generalized sequences $x = (x_n) : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow X$ with values in a Banach space X such that $\|x\|_p^p := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \|x_n\|_X^p < \infty$. Further $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^N, X)$ is the space of bounded sequences, i.e. $\|x\|_\infty := \sup\{\|x_n\|_X : n \in \mathbb{Z}^N\} < \infty$, and $l^0(\mathbb{Z}^N, X) \subset l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^N, X)$ is the subspace of all sequences with $\|x_n\|_X \rightarrow 0$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$.

In order to define band-dominated operators two basic families of generators are required:

- Every sequence $a = (a_n) \in l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^N, \mathcal{L}(X))$ defines a so called multiplication operator aI on \mathbf{X} by the rule $aI : (x_n) \mapsto (a_n x_n)$.
- Further, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, define the so-called shift operator V_k on \mathbf{X} as $V_k(x_n) := (x_{n-k})$.

Now, finite combinations $\sum_\alpha a_\alpha V_\alpha$ of these generators are usually referred to as band operators, and the elements in the closure $\text{BDO}(\mathbf{X})$ (w.r.t. the operator norm) of the set of all band operators are called band-dominated operators. This set $\text{BDO}(\mathbf{X})$ actually forms a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X})$, the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathbf{X} , and covers a lot of more particular operator classes such as Toeplitz and Laurent operators with continuous symbols, discrete Schrödinger and Jacobi operators, and by the particular choice $X = L^p[0, 1]$ also classes of convolution type integral operators [8, 17, 16, 12, 6, 18, 10, 4, 11, 13].

In the present paper we make the following observation: If X is an infinite-dimensional Banach space or if $N > 1$ then the answer to our question is “No”, as the almost trivial counterexamples 4.1, 4.2 will show. Surprisingly, the answer is “Yes” on all $l^p(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, $p \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty]$, $\dim X < \infty$.

Furthermore, this result will help to simplify the well known Fredholm criteria for band-dominated operators in terms of limit operators [8, 18, 10, 4, 11]: In the above mentioned cases, if all limit operators of a band-dominated operator A are invertible from one (and the same) side then A is Fredholm.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sections 2 and 3 the essential tools and techniques are introduced and shortly discussed: the lower approximation numbers of an operator, and appropriate notions of compactness and Fredholmness which permit to study our problems on l^p spaces for *all* p in an elegant and homogenous way. Section 4 is devoted to the main theorem on automatic Fredholmness and Section 5 finally addresses the characterization via limit operators.

2 Semi-Fredholm operators and approximation numbers

Let \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} be Banach spaces. A (bounded linear) operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ is said to be normally solvable if its range $\text{im } A$ is closed. It is well known that A is normally solvable if and only if its adjoint A^* is normally solvable. In that case for the dimensions of the respective kernels and cokernels it holds that $\dim \ker A = \dim \text{coker } A^*$ as well as $\dim \text{coker } A = \dim \ker A^*$. These observations can be found in any textbook on the subject, e.g. [7, 1].

(Semi-)Fredholm operators An operator A is called Φ_+ -operator if it is normally solvable and its kernel is finite-dimensional. An operator A is called Φ_- -operator if it is normally solvable and its cokernel is finite-dimensional. Operators in $\Phi_+ \cup \Phi_-$ are referred to as semi-Fredholm operators, and those in $\Phi := \Phi_+ \cap \Phi_-$ are Fredholm operators.

With the above we have that A is Φ (Φ_+, Φ_-) if and only if A^* is Φ (Φ_-, Φ_+ , respectively). Moreover, notice that operators A with $\dim \text{coker } A < \infty$ are automatically normally solvable.

Next, we want to continue discussing further tools which permit to study the Fredholm and semi-Fredholm properties of operators $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ and offer another perspective.

Lower approximation numbers For $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ the m -th approximation number from the right $s_m^r(A)$ and the m -th approximation number from the left $s_m^l(A)$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} s_m^r(A) &:= \inf\{\|A - F\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})} : F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \dim \ker F \geq m\}, \\ s_m^l(A) &:= \inf\{\|A - F\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})} : F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}), \dim \text{coker } F \geq m\}, \end{aligned}$$

($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), respectively. It is clear that $0 = s_0^r(A) \leq s_1^r(A) \leq s_2^r(A) \leq \dots$ and that the same holds true for the sequence $(s_m^l(A))_m$.

Lower Bernstein and Mityagin numbers Denote by $U_{\mathbf{X}}$ the closed unit ball in \mathbf{X} and by

$$\begin{aligned} j(A) &:= \sup\{\tau \geq 0 : \|Ax\| \geq \tau\|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{X}\}, \\ q(A) &:= \sup\{\tau \geq 0 : A(U_{\mathbf{X}}) \supset \tau U_{\mathbf{Y}}\} \end{aligned}$$

the injection modulus and the surjection modulus of an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$, respectively. Obviously, the equivalent characterization $j(A) = \inf\{\|Ax\| : x \in \mathbf{X}, \|x\| = 1\}$ holds, and therefore $j(A)$ is often called the minimum modulus or the lower norm of A . From [14], B.3.8 we know

$$j(A^*) = q(A) \quad \text{and} \quad q(A^*) = j(A). \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, for given closed subspaces $V \subset \mathbf{X}$ and $W \subset \mathbf{Y}$ let $A|_V : V \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ denote the restriction of A to V , and by π_W denote the canonical map of \mathbf{Y} onto the quotient \mathbf{Y}/W . Define the lower Bernstein and Mityagin numbers by

$$\begin{aligned} B_m(A) &:= \sup\{j(A|_V) : \dim \mathbf{X}/V < m\}, \\ M_m(A) &:= \sup\{q(\pi_W A) : \dim W < m\}. \end{aligned}$$

These characteristics have been discussed in [19], for instance. Note that the sequences $(B_m(A))$, $(M_m(A))$ are monotonically non-decreasing, too. Furthermore by [24, Equation (2.6)]

$$M_m(A) = B_m(A^*) \quad \text{for every } m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proposition 2.1. (cf. [24, Proposition 2.9]) *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$. Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\frac{s_m^r(A)}{2^m - 1} \leq B_m(A) \leq s_m^r(A), \quad \text{as well as} \quad \frac{s_m^l(A)}{2^m - 1} \leq M_m(A) \leq s_m^l(A).$$

Also notice that in case of \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} being Hilbert spaces one even has $s_m^r(A) = B_m(A) = \sigma_m(A)$ and $s_m^l(A) = M_m(A) = \sigma_m(A^*)$, where $\sigma_m(A)$ denotes the m th lower singular value of A , resp. (cf. [24, Corollary 2.12]). These geometric characteristics and the latter estimates which connect them now permit to look at and characterize the Fredholm properties of operators by another perspective.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$.*

1. *If A is normally solvable and $m > \dim \ker A$ then $s_m^r(A) > 0$.*
2. *If A is normally solvable and $m > \dim \operatorname{coker} A$ then $s_m^l(A) > 0$.*
3. *If A is normally solvable and $m \leq \dim \ker A \leq \infty$ then $s_m^r(A) = 0$.*
4. *If A is normally solvable and $m \leq \dim \operatorname{coker} A \leq \infty$ then $s_m^l(A) = 0$.*
5. *If A is not normally solvable then $s_m^r(A) = s_m^l(A) = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let A be normally solvable, $m < \infty$, and \mathbf{X}_1 be a m -dimensional subspace of $\ker A$. Then every subspace V of \mathbf{X} with $\dim \mathbf{X}/V < m$ has a non-trivial intersection with \mathbf{X}_1 , hence $B_m(A) = 0$. If $\dim \ker A < m < \infty$ then there exists a complement \mathbf{X}_2 of $\ker A$ and $A : \mathbf{X}_2 \rightarrow \operatorname{im} A$ is a bijection between Banach spaces, hence $j(A|_{\mathbf{X}_2}) > 0$. Thus, $B_m(A) > 0$. The previous proposition then yields the claims 1. and 3.

If A is not normally solvable, then for every m and every $\delta > 0$ there is a subspace \mathbf{X}_1 of \mathbf{X} , $\dim \mathbf{X}_1 = m$, $\|A|_{\mathbf{X}_1}\| < \delta$ (see e.g. the proof of [23, Theorem 3]). Every subspace V with $\dim \mathbf{X}/V < m$ has a non-trivial intersection with \mathbf{X}_1 , hence $B_m(A) \leq \delta$. With the previous proposition and since δ is arbitrary, we see that $s_m^r(A) = 0$.

For the analogous claims on the approximation numbers from the left we just recall that A is normally solvable if and only if A^* is normally solvable, that $M_m(A) = B_m(A^*)$, and that in case of normally solvable A it holds that $\dim \operatorname{coker} A = \dim \ker A^*$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$.*

1. *If $s_m^r(A) > 0$ for some m then $A \in \Phi_+$.*
2. *If $s_m^l(A) > 0$ for some m then $A \in \Phi_-$.*

3 Some auxiliary results in the \mathcal{P} -framework

During the last decades it turned out to be extremely fruitful to embed the class of band-dominated operators in another Banach algebraic framework which provides appropriate adapted notions of compactness, Fredholmness and convergence. We refer to [8, 20, 17, 18, 10, 4, 23, 24] and the recent survey article [21] for the details and further references. Here and in Section 5 we just cite the basic definitions and borrow some of the tools that we are going to exploit later on:

Definition 3.1. Introduce the collection $\mathcal{P} = (P_n)$ of the canonical projections $P_n := \chi_{\{-n, \dots, n\}^N} I$ on $\mathbf{X} = l^p(\mathbb{Z}^N, X)$,¹ and denote the respective complementary projections by $Q_n := I - P_n$.

A bounded linear operator K is said to be \mathcal{P} -compact if $\|KQ_n\| + \|Q_nK\|$ tends to zero as n goes to infinity (that is, the action of K is mainly concentrated near the origin of \mathbb{Z}^N). The set of all \mathcal{P} -compact operators is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$.

By $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ denote the family $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P}) := \{A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) : K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P}) \Rightarrow AK, KA \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})\}$.

Roughly speaking, one may say that $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ collects the operators which are compatible with the new family of \mathcal{P} -compact ones since it indeed turns out that the set $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ is a unital Banach algebra and contains $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ as a closed two-sided ideal. Moreover, a bounded linear operator A belongs to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ if and only if (see [18, Section 1.1])

$$\|P_k A Q_n\| + \|Q_n A P_k\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.1)$$

This, together with the fact that $\|P_n K P_n - K\| \leq \|P_n K - K\| \|P_n\| + \|K P_n - K\| \rightarrow_n 0$ for every $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$, easily show that in particular $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \text{BDO}(\mathbf{X}) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ holds.

Definition 3.2. An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ is said to be \mathcal{P} -Fredholm if there exists a bounded linear operator B (a so-called \mathcal{P} -regularizer for A) such that $I - AB$ and $I - BA$ are \mathcal{P} -compact.

One of the crucial and deep results ([24, Theorem 1.16]) of that theory states that such \mathcal{P} -regularizers B automatically belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$, hence \mathcal{P} -Fredholmness of A is equivalent to invertibility of the respective coset $A + \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ in the quotient algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})/\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$. We will address the role and importance of this alternative notion of Fredholmness in Section 5. The following results reveal that we can even capture the (classical) Fredholm and semi-Fredholm properties of operators $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ in terms of \mathcal{P} -compact projections:

Proposition 3.3. ([24, Proposition 1.27] or [21, Theorem 11]) *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$. Then*

- *A is Fredholm iff there are projections $P, P' \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ of finite rank with $\text{im } P = \ker A$ and $\ker P' = \text{im } A$.*
- *A is not Fredholm iff for every $\gamma > 0$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a projection $Q \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ with $\text{rank } Q \geq k$ such that $\min\{\|AQ\|, \|QA\|\} \leq \gamma$. (A is called \mathcal{P} -deficient in this case.)*

Corollary 3.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$. If $A \in \Phi_- \setminus \Phi$ (or $A \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi$) then, for every $\gamma > 0$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a projection $R \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ with $\text{rank } R \geq k$ such that $\|AR\| \leq \gamma$ ($\|RA\| \leq \gamma$, respectively).*

¹Here χ_U denotes the characteristic function of the set $U \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$.

Proof. If $A \in \Phi_- \setminus \Phi$ and $k > \dim \operatorname{coker} A$ then $s_k^l(A) > 0$ by the 2nd part of Corollary 2.2. Hence

$$C := \inf\{\|RA\| : R \text{ is a projection with } \operatorname{rank} R \geq k\} \geq s_k^l(A) > 0.$$

By the previous proposition for every $0 < \gamma < C$ there must be a \mathcal{P} -compact projection R with $\operatorname{rank} R \geq k$ such that $\|AR\| \leq \gamma$, since $\|RA\| \geq C$. The case $A \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi$ is analogous. \square

It has already been mentioned and exploited how the (semi-)Fredholm properties translate under passing to dual spaces and adjoint operators. Concerning the \mathcal{P} -notions on $\mathbf{X} = l^p(\mathbb{Z}^N, X)$, $p < \infty$, we obviously have $\mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{P}$ on $\mathbf{X}^* = l^q(\mathbb{Z}^N, X^*)$ where as usual $1/p + 1/q = 1$ if $p > 1$, $q = \infty$ if $p = 1$, and $q = 1$ if $p = 0$. From the definitions we immediately deduce that if A is \mathcal{P} -compact, in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$, or \mathcal{P} -Fredholm, then A^* is of the same type, respectively. Thus the above results are still useful for A^* . Finally, we point out that the case $p = \infty$ is somewhat more exotic than the cases $p < \infty$, since there passing to the dual space and to adjoint operators exceeds the scale of l^p -spaces. However, for operators in $\mathcal{L}(l^\infty, \mathcal{P})$ things are still under control by the following

Proposition 3.5. (cf. [24, Corollary 1.19]) *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(l^\infty, \mathcal{P})$. Then A maps the subspace l^0 to l^0 , hence $A|_{l^0} \in \mathcal{L}(l^0, \mathcal{P})$. Moreover, A is Fredholm if and only if $A|_{l^0}$ is Fredholm. In this case*

$$\dim \ker A = \dim \ker A|_{l^0}, \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \operatorname{coker} A = \dim \operatorname{coker} A|_{l^0}.$$

4 On semi-Fredholm band-dominated operators

This section is devoted to our main question whether or when the semi-Fredholm property of a band-dominated operator already implies its Fredholmness. We start with counterexamples:

Example 4.1. The operator $A : l^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C})$, $A := \chi_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_-} I + \chi_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}} V_{(0,1)}$ is semi-Fredholm, even one-sided invertible, but not Fredholm.

Example 4.2. Let X be a Banach space and $\dim X = \infty$. Then $A : l^2(\mathbb{Z}, X) \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, $A := \chi_{\mathbb{Z}_-} I + \chi_{\mathbb{N}} V_1$ is semi-Fredholm, one-sided invertible, but not Fredholm. The same observation holds for the operator $B := \operatorname{diag}\{\dots, \Psi, \Psi, \Psi, \dots\}$ with $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ being a non-invertible isometry.

Thus, the claim is not true on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^N, X)$ -spaces with $N > 1$ or $\dim X = \infty$. Actually these examples even demonstrate that one gets the same outcome for the modified question with ‘‘Fredholm’’ being replaced by ‘‘ \mathcal{P} -Fredholm’’. So, we are left with the following.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathbf{X} := l^p(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, $p \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty]$, $\dim X < \infty$. If A is a semi-Fredholm band-dominated operator on \mathbf{X} then A is Fredholm.*

Proof. As a start, we consider $A \in \Phi_- \setminus \Phi$ and set $m := \dim \operatorname{coker} A + 1$. Then $\epsilon := s_m^l(A) > 0$ by Corollary 2.2. Since A is band-dominated there exists an $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|P_{n-l} A Q_n\| \leq \epsilon/5$ for all $n > l$ (for band operators choose l larger than the band width; and for band-dominated operators this is possible by a simple approximation argument). Set $d := \dim X$.

By Corollary 3.4 with the particular choice $k = 2ld + m$ and $\gamma = \epsilon/(5(2^k - 1))$ there is a \mathcal{P} -compact projection R of rank $\tilde{k} \geq k$ such that $\|AR\| \leq \gamma$. Due to the \mathcal{P} -compactness of R we find that for a sufficiently large $n \geq l + \tilde{k}$ also $P_n R$ has rank \tilde{k} and $\|Q_n R\| \leq \gamma/\|A\|$. Thus $Y := \operatorname{im} P_n R$

is a \tilde{k} -dimensional subspace of $\text{im } P_n$ such that $\|A|_Y\| \leq 3\gamma$. To see the latter just notice that $\gamma \leq \|A\|/5$ and

$$\frac{\|AP_n R x\|}{\|P_n R x\|} \leq \frac{\|AP_n R\| \|R x\|}{\|R x\| - \|Q_n R\| \|R x\|} \leq \frac{\|AR\| + \|A\| \|Q_n R\|}{1 - \|Q_n R\|} \leq \frac{2\gamma}{1 - 1/5} \leq 3\gamma.$$

Now we consider the compressed operator $B := P_{n-l} A P_n : \text{im } P_n \rightarrow \text{im } P_{n-l}$. Then, clearly, $\|B|_Y\| \leq 3\gamma$. Thus, we get for its k th Bernstein number that $B_k(B) \leq 3\gamma$, since every subspace V of $\text{im } P_n$ with $\dim((\text{im } P_n)/V) < k$ has a non-trivial intersection with Y . From Proposition 2.1 we conclude $s_k^r(B) \leq 3\gamma(2^k - 1)$. Since $s_{\dim \text{im } P_{n-l} - \dim \text{im } P_{n+k}}^l(B) = s_k^r(B)$ by the definition and by Fredholm's alternative, and since

$$\dim \text{im } P_{n-l} - \dim \text{im } P_n + k = d(2(n-l) + 1) - d(2n+1) + (2ld + m) = m,$$

we arrive at $s_m^l(B) \leq 3\gamma(2^k - 1) = 3\epsilon/5$. This yields a contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned} s_m^l(A) &= \inf\{\|A - G\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})} : G \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}), \dim \text{coker } G \geq m\} \\ &\leq \inf\{\|A - Q_{n-l} A - P_{n-l} F P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})} : F \in \mathcal{L}(\text{im } P_n, \text{im } P_{n-l}), \dim \text{coker } F \geq m\} \\ &= \inf\{\|P_{n-l} A - P_{n-l} F P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \text{im } P_{n-l})} : F \in \mathcal{L}(\text{im } P_n, \text{im } P_{n-l}), \dim \text{coker } F \geq m\} \\ &\leq \inf\{\|P_{n-l} A P_n - P_{n-l} F P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \text{im } P_{n-l})} : F \in \mathcal{L}(\text{im } P_n, \text{im } P_{n-l}), \dim \text{coker } F \geq m\} \\ &\quad + \|P_{n-l} A Q_n\| \\ &\leq \inf\{\|B - F\|_{\mathcal{L}(\text{im } P_n, \text{im } P_{n-l})} : F \in \mathcal{L}(\text{im } P_n, \text{im } P_{n-l}), \dim \text{coker } F \geq m\} + \epsilon/5 \\ &= s_m^l(B) + \epsilon/5 < \epsilon = s_m^l(A). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Phi \setminus \Phi_-$ does not contain any band-dominated operator.

Let $p \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty)$ and $A \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi$ be band-dominated. Then $A^* \in \text{BDO}(\mathbf{X}^*)$ is in $\Phi_- \setminus \Phi$, contradicting the above, hence $\Phi_+ \setminus \Phi$ does not contain any band-dominated operator in the cases $p \neq \infty$. Finally, let $p = \infty$ and A be Φ_+ . Then by Proposition 3.5 the restriction $A|_{l^0}$ maps into l^0 , it is still band-dominated, it clearly has finite dimensional kernel and is normally solvable, hence it is Φ_+ on l^0 as well. Thus, we again get that $A|_{l^0}$ is Φ by the above, Proposition 3.5 gives $A \in \Phi$, and the proof is finished. \square

Remark 4.4. Note that in this proof we actually did not use that A or A^* are band-dominated, but only the property

$$\text{for every } \epsilon > 0 \text{ there is an } l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \|P_{n-l} A Q_n\|, \|Q_n A P_{n-l}\| \leq \epsilon/5 \text{ for every } n > l.$$

Thus, we could also consider the (more general) set of operators which are only subject to this condition, and we still have Theorem 4.3. Such operators are called quasi-banded operators, were introduced in [22] and studied in [21, Section 4], [13]. They form a Banach subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}, X), \mathcal{P})$ include all band-dominated operators, but also contain e.g. Laurent and Toeplitz operators with quasi-continuous generating functions, as well as the flip operator $J : (x_i) \mapsto (x_{-i})$.

5 Band-dominated operators and the operator spectrum

Here we will apply the above Theorem 4.3 on automatic Fredholmness in order to discuss a remarkable improvement of one of the most important results on band-dominated operators, the

characterization of their Fredholm property in terms of limit operators. We commence with the definition of \mathcal{P} -strong convergence and the operator spectrum, and we do that again in the broader framework $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$.

The operator spectrum

Definition 5.1. We say that a bounded sequence $(B_n) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ converges \mathcal{P} -strongly to an operator $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ if, for every m , $\|P_m(B_n - B)\| + \|(B_n - B)P_m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and we shortly write $B = \mathcal{P}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n$ in that case.

For an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ and a sequence $h = (h_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ of points which tend to infinity ² $A_h := \mathcal{P}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_{-h_n} A V_{h_n}$, if it exists, is called the limit operator of A w.r.t. the sequence h . The set

$$\sigma_{\text{op}}(A) := \{A_h = \mathcal{P}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_{-h_n} A V_{h_n} \text{ where } h = (h_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^N \text{ tends to infinity}\}$$

of all limit operators of A is called its operator spectrum. We further say that $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ has a rich operator spectrum (or we simply call A a rich operator) if every sequence $h \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ tending to infinity has a subsequence $g \subset h$ such that the limit operator A_g of A w.r.t. g exists.

Note that limit operators of limit operators of A are again limit operators of A (cf. [18, Corollary 1.2.4] or [10, Corollary 3.97]). The following results summarize that the operator spectrum is also stable under passing to regularizers, adjoints and restrictions in a sense:

Theorem 5.2. ([21, Theorem 16]) *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ be \mathcal{P} -Fredholm. Then all limit operators of A are invertible and their inverses are uniformly bounded. Moreover, the operator spectrum of every \mathcal{P} -regularizer B equals*

$$\sigma_{\text{op}}(B) = (\sigma_{\text{op}}(A))^{-1} := \{A_g^{-1} : A_g \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A)\}.$$

Proposition 5.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ be rich. If $p < \infty$ then $\sigma_{\text{op}}(A^*) = (\sigma_{\text{op}}(A))^*$. If $p = \infty$ then $\sigma_{\text{op}}(A|_{l^0}) = (\sigma_{\text{op}}(A))|_{l^0}$.*

Proof. Let $p < \infty$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ be rich. Then, by (3.1), $A^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}^*, \mathcal{P})$ and, by the definition of \mathcal{P} -strong convergence, $A_g \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A)$ always yields $(A_g)^* = (A^*)_g \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A^*)$. Conversely, if $(A^*)_h \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A^*)$ then, due to the richness of A , there is a subsequence g of h such that A_g exists, and then necessarily $(A_g)^* = (A^*)_g = (A^*)_h$. Similar arguments apply to $A|_{l^0}$ in the case $p = \infty$. \square

Band-dominated operators It is well known and easily checked that all limit operators of band-dominated operators are band-dominated. The already announced main advantage of limit operators in the Fredholm theory for band-dominated operators reads as follows:

Theorem 5.4. *Let A be a rich band-dominated operator. Then the following are equivalent*

- (a) A is \mathcal{P} -Fredholm.
- (b) all limit operators of A are invertible.
- (c) all limit operators of A are invertible and $\sup\{\|A_g^{-1}\| : A_g \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A)\} < \infty$.

²I.e. $|h_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Obviously, $(a) \Rightarrow ((b) \wedge (c))$ is due to Theorem 5.2. The implication $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$ was proved in e.g. [8, 18, 10, 4, 9]. The question whether (b) is also sufficient for the two other conditions was an open problem, the so-called “Big Question”, since the beginning of the story and was solved only recently in [11]. For its proof one considers the lower norms of the limit operators A_g instead of the norms of their inverses A_g^{-1} . Then the main theorem of [11] states that there exists a limit operator $C \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A)$ with lower norm $j(C) = \inf\{j(A_g) : A_g \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A)\}$. Thus, the invertibility of C already implies that *all* A_g are bounded below, i.e. $j(A_g) > 0$, even *uniformly*. A remarkable ingredient in its proof is given by the following localization property for the lower norm of band-dominated operators:

Proposition 5.5. (*[11, Corollary 7]*) *Let $A \in \text{BDO}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\delta > 0$. Then there exists a $D \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every operator $B \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A) \cup \{A\}$ and every subset $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ there exists an $x \in \mathbf{X}$ with $\|x\| = 1$, $\text{supp } x \subset F$, $\text{diam supp } x \leq D$, i.e. the support of x belongs to F and its diameter is not greater than D , and such that $j(B) \leq \|Bx\| \leq j(B) + \delta$.*

Here we are particularly interested in the case $\dim X < \infty$ for several reasons: Then it holds that band-dominated operators on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^N, X)$ with $\dim X < \infty$ are always rich (cf. [18, Corollary 2.1.17] or [10, Corollary 3.24]). Moreover, an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P})$ is \mathcal{P} -Fredholm iff it is Fredholm in this case (The implication \Leftarrow actually even holds in general by [21, Corollary 12], whereas the implication \Rightarrow follows from $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X})$ which is a consequence of the condition $\dim X < \infty$). Thus, Theorem 5.4 specifies as follows

Theorem 5.6. *Let A be a band-dominated operator on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^N, X)$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty]$, $\dim X < \infty$. Then A is Fredholm if and only if all limit operators of A are invertible. In that case their inverses are uniformly bounded.*

Actually, our observations of the previous section provide a further surprising simplification and extension of this criterium:

Theorem 5.7. *For a band-dominated operator A on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, $p \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty]$, $\dim X < \infty$, the following are equivalent*

- (i) A is Fredholm.
- (ii) All limit operators are invertible.
- (iii) All limit operators are invertible from the left.
- (iv) All limit operators are invertible from the right.
- (v) All limit operators are bounded below.
- (vi) All limit operators are surjective.

In this case $\sup\{\|A_g^{-1}\| : A_g \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A)\} = (\inf\{j(A_g) : A_g \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A)\})^{-1} < \infty$.

Proof. Theorem 5.2 provides $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ as well as the uniform boundedness of the inverses. Also recall that $\|B^{-1}\| = (j(B))^{-1}$ for every invertible operator B . The implication $(ii) \Rightarrow ((iii) \wedge (iv))$ is obvious. Moreover, $(iii) \Rightarrow (v)$ and $(iv) \Rightarrow (vi)$ are clear as well.

Let all limit operators be bounded below, i.e. (v) . As already mentioned, the main theorem of [11] states that there exists a $C \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A)$ with $\epsilon := j(C) = \min\{j(A_g) : A_g \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A)\}$. Assume that

A has infinite dimensional kernel or is not normally solvable. Taking Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 into account, the same holds true for the restrictions $AQ_m : \text{im } Q_m \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, hence $j(AQ_m) = 0$ for every m . By Proposition 5.5 there is a D such that for every m there exists $x_m \in \text{im } Q_m$, $\|x_m\| = 1$, $\text{diam supp } x_m \leq D$ and $\|Ax_m\| \leq \epsilon/2$. Now, for every m , choose “centralizing shifts” V_{s_m} such that $Q_D V_{-s_m} x_m = 0$, i.e. the shifted copies $y_m := V_{-s_m} x_m$ belong to the finite dimensional space $\text{im } P_D$. We can pass to a subsequence (y_{m_l}) which converges to a point $y \in \text{im } P_D$. Clearly $\|y\| = 1$. Since A is rich, we can pass to a subsequence of $g := (s_{m_l})$ such that the respective limit operator of A exists. W.l.o.g. let g already be this sequence. But then, for sufficiently large l , we obtain a contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned} j(A_g) &\leq \|A_g y\| \leq \|(A_g - V_{-s_{m_l}} A V_{s_{m_l}}) P_D\| \|y\| + \|V_{-s_{m_l}} A V_{s_{m_l}}(y - y_{m_l})\| + \|A x_{m_l}\| \\ &< \|(A_g - V_{-s_{m_l}} A V_{s_{m_l}}) P_D\| + \|A\| \|y - y_{m_l}\| + \epsilon/2 \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon/2 < j(A_g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(v) \Rightarrow A \in \Phi_+$ and, by Theorem 4.3, $A \in \Phi_+ \Rightarrow (i)$ also holds and finishes this step.

Finally, let (vi) hold. Then all A_g are in Φ_- and, by Theorem 4.3, all A_g are Fredholm. In case $p < \infty$ we get that all A_g^* are Fredholm and injective, and constitute the operator spectrum of A^* (cf. Proposition 5.3). The implication $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$, applied to A^* , yields that A^* is Fredholm, hence A is Fredholm as well. In case $p = \infty$ we obtain from Proposition 3.5 that the restrictions $A_g|_{l^0}$ are Fredholm and surjective on l^0 as well, and these $A_g|_{l^0}$ constitute the operator spectrum of $A|_{l^0}$ (cf. Proposition 5.3). By the already proved case the restriction $A|_{l^0}$ is Fredholm and again by Proposition 3.5 also A is Fredholm, that is we have finished $(vi) \Rightarrow (i)$. \square

Notice that the above Examples 4.1, 4.2 demonstrate that these one-sided characterizations are limited to the cases $l^p(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, $\dim X < \infty$. Further, the surjectivity in (vi) can be replaced by injectivity only in a particular situation, but not in general:

Proposition 5.8. *For every band-dominated operator A on $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ with $\dim X < \infty$, the following are equivalent*

(i) A is Fredholm.

(vii) All limit operators are injective.

In the cases $p < \infty$ this is not true.

Proof. The implications $(i) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (vii)$ are clear for all p . The operator $A = I - V_1$ is shift invariant, hence $\sigma_{\text{op}}(A) = \{A\}$. Furthermore, in every case $p < \infty$, A is injective on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$, but not Fredholm. Thus, $(vii) \Rightarrow (i)$ is false in these cases.

In the case $p = \infty$ the situation is different, for two reasons: the particular properties of the l^∞ -norm, and the fact that if for a bounded sequence $(y_n)_n \subset l^\infty$ the truncated sequences $(P_j y_n)_n$ converge for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ then the limits $P_j y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_j y_n$ always define a unique element $y \in l^\infty$ (one says that l^∞ is sequentially complete w.r.t. \mathcal{P} -convergence).

Assume that all limit operators of A are injective, but there exists one $B \in \sigma_{\text{op}}(A)$ which is not normally solvable. As in the proof of Theorem 5.7 we have that for every m also the restrictions BQ_m are not normally solvable, thus there always exists an element $x_m \in \text{im } Q_m$ with $\|x_m\| = 1$, $\|Bx_m\| \leq 1/m$, and with bounded support. Inductively we choose sequences $g := (m_l)$ and (x_{m_l}) of that type and such that the supports of these x_{m_l} are pairwise disjoint. Due to the definition of the l^∞ -norm there exist shifted copies $y_{m_l} := V_{-s_{m_l}} x_{m_l}$ such that $\|P_1 y_{m_l}\| = \|x_{m_l}\| = 1$. By a Bolzano

Weierstrass argument, we can pass to a subsequence $g^1 = (g_i^1)$ of g for which the sequence $(P_1 y_{g_i^1})_l$ converges. Further, there is a subsequence g^2 of g^1 such that $(P_2 y_{g_i^2})_l$ converges, etc. Continuing this, we obtain a family of nested sequences $g^1 \supset g^2 \supset \dots \supset g^k \supset \dots$ such that $(P_j y_{g_i^k})_l$ converge for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. Define a new sequence $h = (h_n)$ by $h_n := g_n^n$. Then $(P_j y_{h_n})_n$ converge for each j and as mentioned above this defines a unique element $y \in l^\infty$ with $P_j y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_j y_{h_n}$ for every j and, by the construction of the y_{h_n} , also $\|y\| = 1$.

Moreover, there is a subsequence of $(s_{h_n})_n$ such that the limit operator C of B w.r.t. this subsequence exists. W.l.o.g. let already (s_{h_n}) be this subsequence. Then C is also a limit operator of A , and our final step is to prove $Cy = 0$, which yields a contradiction. Indeed, with $t_n := s_{h_n}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_j C y\| &= \|P_j(C - V_{-t_n} B V_{t_n} + V_{-t_n} B V_{t_n} Q_k)y + P_j V_{-t_n} B V_{t_n} P_k(y - y_{h_n}) + P_j V_{-t_n} B V_{t_n} P_k y_{h_n}\| \\ &\leq \|P_j(C - V_{-t_n} B V_{t_n})\| + \|P_j V_{-t_n} B V_{t_n} Q_k\| + \|B\| \|P_k(y - y_{h_n})\| + \|P_j V_{-t_n} B V_{t_n} P_k y_{h_n}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the first term tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The second one is smaller than any prescribed positive ϵ if k is sufficiently large (note that B is band-dominated hence can be approximated in the norm by band operators), and the third term goes to zero as well for every fixed k as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The last one can be estimated by $\|P_j V_{-t_n} B V_{t_n} P_k y_{h_n}\| \leq \|P_j V_{-t_n} B V_{t_n} Q_k\| + \|B x_{h_n}\|$. Here the first part is again smaller than any prescribed positive ϵ if k is sufficiently large, and the last one tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This finishes the proof. \square

This characterization (vii) in the l^∞ -case is known as Favard's condition, and was studied even in more general situations, e.g. for $A = I - K$ on $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ with arbitrary Banach spaces X and K having collectively compact entries, see [3, 4, 21]. Probably, also the observations of the present work have respective extensions for such operators $A = I - K$, but this shall be a topic of some future work.

Example 5.9. Finally, one might ask, whether

(viii) *All limit operators are one sided invertible.*

i.e. a mixed version of (iii) and (iv), may also be an equivalent condition. This is false as the following example demonstrates: Let $I_n, U_n \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be the identity matrix and the shift by one position to the right, respectively. Define $A := \text{diag}(\chi_- I, I_1, U_1, I_2, U_2, I_3, U_3, \dots)$ on the space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$. Then the operator spectrum of A consists of the identity I , the bilateral shift V_1 and all shifted copies of the two operators $\chi_- V_1 + \chi_+ I$, $V_1 \chi_+ I + \chi_- I$. Clearly, each of these limit operators is one sided invertible, but A is not Fredholm.

References

- [1] P. Aiena, *Semi-Fredholm operators perturbation theory and localized SVEP*, IXX Escuela Venezolana de Matemáticas, Merida (Venezuela), 2007.
- [2] A. Böttcher, B. Silbermann, *Analysis of Toeplitz Operators*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [3] S. N. Chandler-Wilde, M. Lindner, Sufficiency of Favard's condition for a class of band-dominated operators on the axis, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), 1146-1159.

- [4] S. N. Chandler-Wilde, M. Lindner, *Limit Operators, Collective Compactness and the Spectral Theory of Infinite Matrices*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **210** (2011), No. 989.
- [5] I. C. Gohberg, I. A. Feldman, *Convolution equations and projection methods for their solution* Nauka, Moscow 1971; Engl. transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. of Math. Monographs 41, Providence, R. I., 1974; German transl.: Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1974.
- [6] R. Hagen, S. Roch, B. Silbermann, *C*-Algebras and Numerical Analysis*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, Basel, 2001.
- [7] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Reprint of the 1980 edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [8] B. V. Lange, V. S. Rabinovich, On the Noether property of multidimensional discrete convolutions, *Mat. Zametki* **37** (1985), No. 3, 407–421.
- [9] M. Lindner, *Fredholm Theory and Stable Approximation of Band Operators and Their Generalisations*, Habilitationsschrift, Chemnitz, 2009.
- [10] M. Lindner, *Infinite Matrices and their Finite Sections*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2006.
- [11] M. Lindner, M. Seidel, An affirmative answer to a core issue on limit operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** (2014), No. 3, 901–917.
- [12] M. Lindner, B. Silbermann, Invertibility at Infinity of Band-Dominated Operators on the Space of Essentially Bounded Functions, *Operator Theory: Adv. and Appl.* **147** (2003), 295–323.
- [13] H. Mascarenhas, P. A. Santos, M. Seidel, Quasi-banded operators, convolutions with almost periodic or quasi-continuous data, and their approximations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **418** (2014), No. 2, 938–963.
- [14] A. Pietsch, *Operator Ideals*, Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978.
- [15] S. Prössdorf, B. Silbermann, *Numerical Analysis for Integral and Related Operator Equations*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1991.
- [16] V. S. Rabinovich, S. Roch, B. Silbermann, Band-dominated operators with operator-valued coefficients, their Fredholm properties and finite sections, *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* **40** (2001), No. 3, 342–381.
- [17] V. S. Rabinovich, S. Roch, B. Silbermann, Fredholm theory and finite section method for band-dominated operators, *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* **30** (1998), No. 4, 452–495.
- [18] V. S. Rabinovich, S. Roch, B. Silbermann, *Limit Operators and Their Applications in Operator Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2004.
- [19] V. Rakočević, J. Zemánek, Lower s -numbers and their asymptotic behaviour, *Studia Math.* **91** (1988), No. 3, 231–239.
- [20] S. Roch, B. Silbermann, Non-strongly converging approximation methods, *Demonstr. Math.* **22** (1989), 3, 651–676.

- [21] M. Seidel, Fredholm theory for band-dominated and related operators: a survey, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **445** (2014), 373–394.
- [22] M. Seidel, On some Banach Algebra Tools in Operator Theory, Dissertationsschrift, Chemnitz, 2012.
- [23] M. Seidel, B. Silbermann, Banach algebras of structured matrix sequences, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **430** (2009), 1243–1281.
- [24] M. Seidel, B. Silbermann, Banach algebras of operator sequences, *Oper. Matrices* **6** (2012), No. 3, 385–432.